Real-world dapagliflozin treatment patterns in Portuguese patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

Aurora Andrade Sara Gonçalves Ana Batista Ana Teresa Timóteo Ana Oliveira Soares Fátima Franco Otília Simõ es Marisa Pardal Mário Almeida Margarida Lopes Filipa Bernardo José Silva Cardoso

PII: S0870-2551(25)00346-4

DOI: https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.repc.2025.08.007

Reference: REPC 2506

To appear in: Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia

Received Date: 17 September 2024

Accepted Date: 25 August 2025

Please cite this article as: Andrade A, Gonçalves S, Batista A, Timóteo AT, Soares AO, Franco F, Simõ es O, Pardal M, Almeida M, Lopes M, Bernardo F, Silva Cardoso J, Real-world dapagliflozin treatment patterns in Portuguese patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, *Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia* (2025), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2025.08.007

This is a PDF of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form. As such, this version is no longer the Accepted Manuscript, but it is not yet the definitive Version of Record; we are providing this early version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that Elsevier's sharing policy for the Published Journal Article applies to this version, see: <a href="https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies-and-standards/sharing#4-published-journal-article">https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies-and-standards/sharing#4-published-journal-article</a>. Please also note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia.

# Real-world dapagliflozin treatment patterns in Portuguese patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

### Padrões de Tratamento com Dapagliflozina no Mundo Real em Doentes Portugueses com Insuficiência Cardíaca com Fração de Ejeção Reduzida

Aurora Andrade <sup>a,\*</sup>, Sara Gonçalves <sup>b</sup>, Ana Batista <sup>c</sup>, Ana Teresa Timóteo <sup>d,e</sup>, Ana Oliveira Soares <sup>f</sup>, Fátima Franco <sup>g</sup>, Otília Simões <sup>h</sup>, Marisa Pardal <sup>i</sup>, Mário Almeida <sup>i</sup>, Margarida Lopes <sup>i</sup>, Filipa Bernardo <sup>i</sup>, José Silva Cardoso <sup>j,k,†</sup>

E-mail address: amvandrade@hotmail.com (A. Andrade)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS do Tâmega e Sousa - Centro Hospitalar do Tâmega e Sousa – Hospital Padre Américo, Penafiel, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS da Arrábida - Centro Hospitalar de Setúbal – Hospital de São Bernardo, Setúbal, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro - Centro Hospitalar de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro – Hospital de São Pedro, Vila Real, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS de São José - Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central – Hospital de Santa Marta, Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> NOVA Medical School, Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS Amadora-Sintra - Hospital Professor Doutor Fernando Fonseca, Amadora, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS de Coimbra - Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS Almada/Seixal - Hospital Garcia de Orta, Almada, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> AstraZeneca Portugal, Lisboa, Portugal


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João, Porto, Portugal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> Faculdade de Medicina, ULS São João - Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal

<sup>†</sup> By definition, the National Coordinator was considered the last author

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

#### Graphical abstract



#### Resumo

#### Introdução e objetivos

A insuficiência cardíaca com fração de ejeção reduzida (ICFEr) representa um desafio para os cuidados de saúde. Após a demonstração do valor terapêutico da dapagliflozina na ICFEr através dos ensaios clínicos, é essencial compreender os padrões de tratamento e as características dos doentes num contexto de prática clínica. O estudo EVOLUTION-HF pretende colmatar esta lacuna através da análise de dados do mundo real.

#### Métodos

Estudo de coorte retrospetivo, realizado em oito centros portugueses, que incluiu doentes que iniciaram o tratamento com dapagliflozina para a ICFEr. Através da revisão de registos clínicos, foi recolhida informação na data de início da dapagliflozina (*index date*) e após 6 e 12 meses.

#### Resultados

Foram incluídos 228 doentes, maioritariamente do sexo masculino, com fração de ejeção do ventrículo esquerdo média de 29% e 85% em classe NYHA II. As comorbilidades mais prevalentes foram a dislipidemia (59%) e a hipertensão arterial (56%). Na *index date*, observou-se uma elevada utilização de terapêutica recomendada para a ICFEr: 92% de bloqueadores beta (BB), 71% de antagonistas da aldosterona e 55% de antagonista do recetor da angiotensina-inibidor da neprilisina. No final do seguimento, registou-se um aumento da dosagem de BB e uma diminuição da dosagem de diuréticos de ansa. A taxa de descontinuação de dapagliflozina foi de 5%.

#### Conclusões

Os resultados sustentam o já estabelecido perfil de segurança da dapagliflozina. As características dos doentes no estudo EVOLUTION-HF assemelham-se às do ensaio clínico

DAPA-HF. Os padrões de tratamento observados realçam a otimização da Terapêutica Médica Recomendada.

#### Palayras-chave

Cardiovascular; Insuficiência cardíaca; Fração de ejeção reduzida; Dapagliflozina; iSGLT2; Mundo real

#### **Abstract**

#### **Introduction and Objectives**

Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) poses a significant challenge to healthcare. While clinical trials have shown the therapeutic benefits of dapagliflozin in patients with HFrEF, it is essential to understand treatment patterns and patient characteristics in real-world settings. The EVOLUTION-HF study sought to address this gap by analyzing real-world data.

#### Methods

EVOLUTION-HF is a retrospective cohort study conducted at eight sites in Portugal, which included patients who began treatment with dapagliflozin for HFrEF. Medical records were reviewed to collect information on the initiation date of dapagliflozin (the index date) and at the six- and 12-month follow-ups.

#### Results

This study included 228 patients. The majority were male, with an average age of 65 years, a mean left ventricular ejection fraction of 29%, and 85% classified as NYHA class II. More prevalent comorbidities were dyslipidemia (59%) and arterial hypertension (56%). On the index date, baseline medications showed a high utilization of standard HFrEF therapies: 92% of patients received betablockers, 71% were on aldosterone antagonists, and 55% were taking angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors. At the end of the follow-up period, there was a significant increase in the dosage of beta-blockers and a decrease in the dosage of loop diuretics. A low discontinuation rate of 5% was observed for dapagliflozin.

#### Conclusions

These findings support the established safety profile of dapagliflozin. The patient characteristics in the EVOLUTION-HF study closely resemble those in the DAPA-HF randomized trial. The observed treatment patterns indicate an optimization in guideline-directed medical therapy.

#### Keywords

Cardiovascular, heart failure, reduced ejection fraction, dapagliflozin, SGLT2i, real-world

#### **Main Text:**

#### Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a chronic condition that poses a significant challenge for healthcare<sup>1</sup>. In developed countries, the prevalence of HF is estimated to be between 1% and 2% of the general adult population<sup>2</sup>. Studies conducted in the Portuguese population indicate a higher prevalence. EPICA <sup>3</sup>, a community-based epidemiological study conducted over 25 years ago with subjects over 25 years attending primary care units, estimated an overall prevalence of HF of 4.36%. Recently, the PORTHOS<sup>4</sup> study showed an overall estimated HF prevalence of 16.54% in community-dwelling individuals aged 50 years or older. In both studies, HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) was the most common HF phenotype, while HF with reduced and mildly reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) estimated prevalence was 1.3% in both EPICA (ejection fraction <45%) and PORTHOS (ejection fraction <50%). The estimated prevalence of HFrEF in the PORTHOS study was 0.35%. Although the increase in overall HF prevalence is driven by HFpEF, managing HFrEF continues to pose significant challenges<sup>5</sup>.

The health costs of HF are expected to increase in the coming years<sup>6–8</sup>. Gouveia et al. estimated that, in 2014, HF direct costs (hospitalizations, medications, diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, medical appointments, and emergency and long-term care) in Portugal amounted to €299 million, with indirect costs (employment-related) totaling €106 million<sup>9</sup>. By 2036, total costs were projected to rise from €405 million to €503 million<sup>8</sup>.

Both cardiac and non-cardiac comorbidities are prevalent among patients with HF. HFrEF presents a grim outlook as patients face a at high risk of disease progression, worsening symptoms, hospitalization, and death<sup>10</sup>. Despite advancements in HF treatments, mortality remains high. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Heart Failure Long-Term Registry reports 1-year mortality of 23% for acute HF and 6% for chronic HF<sup>11</sup>. Furthermore, patients with HF experience a variety of symptoms that significantly impair their quality of life and daily activities<sup>12</sup>.

Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) were initially developed to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). However, during clinical trials, researchers observed a significant reduction in HF hospitalizations among patients with T2DM taking SGLT2i<sup>13</sup>. The Dapagliflozin and Prevention of Adverse Outcomes in Heart Failure (DAPA-HF) study then evaluated the efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in patients with HFrEF regardless of the presence of T2DM. Notably, dapagliflozin was well-tolerated, with no significant difference in safety events

compared to placebo<sup>10</sup>. Beyond its impact on mortality and hospitalization, dapagliflozin also improved symptoms, physical function, and quality of life on top of optimization of HF treatment<sup>10,14</sup>. Based on the robust efficacy and safety profile demonstrated in DAPA-HF<sup>10</sup>, dapagliflozin was recommended to reduce mortality and morbidity in HFrEF patients<sup>15</sup>.

However, like most clinical trials, the DAPA-HF study had specific eligibility criteria, which limits its external validity for a broader population. Therefore, it was important to explore real-world data (RWD), to analyze the population under dapagliflozin treatment for HFrEF in a real-world clinical setting<sup>10</sup>.

#### **Objectives**

EVOLUTION-HF sought to characterize patients who initiated dapagliflozin for HFrEF treatment and to provide early insights regarding real-world dapagliflozin treatment patterns.

#### Methods

#### Study design and population

This retrospective cohort study was conducted in the cardiology department of eight Portuguese sites which have expertise in HFrEF management and diversely distributed from north to south of the country. The study analyzed electronic medical records data of adult patients previously diagnosed with HFrEF, defined by a documented left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of ≤40% and confirmed through the physician's clinical judgment. The analysis focused on patients who initiated dapagliflozin for HFrEF between 1 March 2021 and the 31 October 2021; it included outpatients and inpatinets. Patients were enrolled at least 30 days following initiation of dapagliflozin. Clinical assessments and supporting laboratory data (e.g., NT-proBNP levels) were also considered as part of routine diagnostic evaluations.

Patients with prior SGLT2i treatment, those who received dapagliflozin for reasons outside the approved HFrEF indication in Portugal, and those diagnosed with type 1 diabetes before enrollment were excluded. Data analysis occurred at three different time points: baseline, sixmonths (6M), and 12-months (12M) follow-up visits, aligning with routine clinical visits. The

study received favorable ethical approval from each site's committee, including approval for a waiver of informed consent since only secondary data were used.

#### **Data collection**

The variables collected included demographics, present illness, past medical history, medication and devices, physical examination, symptoms, electrocardiogram (ECG), and laboratory and imaging tests.

#### **Statistics**

A descriptive analysis of the patients was conduct4ed using the statistical software R. The descriptive categorical variables are described using the number of observations (N), number and percentage (%) within each category, number of missing observations, and 95% confidence intervals (Clopper-Pearson method). Numeric variables are described using the number of observations (N), mean and standard deviation, minimum, maximum, median, and interquartile range, number of missing observations, and 95% confidence intervals. A Cox proportional hazards model was planned to evaluate the association between defined baseline characteristics, such as sex, age, NYHA class, and LVEF, and 1) dapagliflozin discontinuation; and 2) compliance with other HF medication.

#### Results

#### Sociodemographic characteristics

A total of 231 patients were included from November 2022 to 2023. After excluding three individuals (two with prior SGLT1i treatment, and one for having ejection fraction ≥40%), data from 228 participants were included in this analysis.

The baseline characteristics measured at the time patients began dapagliflozin treatment (index date) showed that the population was predominantly male (72.8%) with a mean age of 64.7 years (± standard deviation [SD] 13.0). Table 1 provides a detailed overview of the sociodemographic and disease characteristics.

#### **Disease characteristics**

The majority of participants (54.4%) had non-ischemic HF, 84.2% were in NYHA class II, with mean (SD) LVEF of 29.1 (6.6) %, and mean (SD) eGFR of 76.5 (24.9) mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>. In terms of prior hospitalizations, 17.5% of patients had been hospitalized for heart failure (HF) within the past year. The median time since their last hospitalization to the initiation of dapagliflozin was 3.0 months, with an interquartile range of 5.2 months.

We further analyzed the available ECG results from patients before they started dapagliflozin (n=191), and 24.1% of them were not in sinus rhythm. Among these abnormalities, atrial fibrillation was the most common, occurring in 84.8% of cases. Atrial flutter (8.7%), atrial tachycardia (2.2%), and other unspecified arrhythmias (4.3%) were also noted. The available ECGs revealed abnormalities in 93.7% of patients, including non-specific repolarization changes in 21.2% of cases and complete left bundle branch blocks in 14.0% of cases.

#### **Medical conditions**

Dyslipidemia and hypertension were the most prevalent comorbidities, affecting 59.2% and 56.1% of patients, respectively. Additionally, 26.8% had atrial fibrillation, 19.3% had type 2 diabetes, and 8.3% had chronic kidney disease (CKD). Regarding interventions and/or devices, implantable cardioverter-defibrillators were placed in 29.8% of patients, 19.3% had undergone coronary revascularization, and 10.5% had cardiac resynchronization therapy.

#### Study outcomes

#### Dapagliflozin discontinuation

The study revealed a very high rate of treatment compliance throughout the 12-month follow-up period. Only a small proportion of patients (5%) discontinued dapagliflozin, with most discontinuations occurring in the first six months of treatment (Figure 1).

The reasons for dapagliflozin discontinuation included adverse drug reaction/adverse event (n=5), poor/non-adherence (n=2), switch to other SGLT2i (n=2), other (n=2), and unknown reasons (n=1).

#### Cardiovascular medications at index date and changes after dapagliflozin initiation

The most commonly used CV medication classes at index date were betablockers (92%), aldosterone receptor antagonists (MRAs) (71%), loop diuretics (71%), and angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors (ARNIs) (55%) (Figure 2A). Specific medications within each class are described in Figure 2B-2D.

The most frequent changes in medication after the start of dapagliflozin treatment involved betablockers, loop diuretics and ARNIs. There were 44 increases in beta-blocker dosages, 40 decreases in loop diuretics dosages, and 34 increases in ARNIs dosages. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) were the most often discontinued medication class (Figure 3).

#### Glucose-lowering drugs at index date and changes after dapagliflozin initiation

A total of 40 patients were taking glucose-lowering agents at index date. Of these, metformin was the most common medication (75.0%), while 32.5% were taking dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP-4i), 15.0% were taking insulin and sulphonylureas, and 5.0% were taking glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP1-RA).

Over the 12-month follow-up period, changes in glucose-lowering medications were not significant, with three patients discontinuing metformin.

#### Discussion

The EVOLUTION-HF study aimed to characterize patients with HFrEF who initiated treatment with dapagliflozin in a real-world setting in Portugal. Our findings indicate that the majority of patients in our study are young males who exhibit mild HF symptoms, with dyslipidemia (59%) and hypertension (56%) being the most prevalent comorbidities. The results indicate a high utilization of guideline directed medical therapy (GDMT) for HFrEF at baseline: 92% received betablockers, 71% were on MRAs, and 55% on ARNIs. Most patients (95%) adhered to dapagliflozin treatment, and there was an increase in the prescription and/or dosage adjustment of beta-blockers, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs), and angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors (ARNI). In contrast, loop diuretics and ACE inhibitors were reduced or discontinued during the 12-month period. This is the first study, to our knowledge, that characterizes a real-world cohort of HFrEF patients treated with dapagliflozin across various regions of Portugal.

The high adherence to dapagliflozin suggests that it is well tolerated; in fact, only 2% of those who stopped taking the medication did so due to adverse events. We were unable to carry out the planned analysis of the factors influencing the discontinuation of dapagliflozin due to the small number of cases.

Compared to contemporary trials including HFrEF patients, the cohort from EVOLUTION-HF presented a high level of GDMT use at index date, according to the 2021 ESC HF Guidelines<sup>16</sup>. Data from Registries show a much lower level of HF GDMT implementation<sup>17</sup>.

By comparing clinical characteristics and treatment patterns of patients included in the DAPA-HF randomized trial<sup>10</sup> and the EVOLUTION-HF study, similar characteristics in terms of age, sex, mean LVEF, and baseline eGFR were observed. Notwithstanding, it is worth mentioning that patients in the EVOLUTION-HF study tend to have a higher percentage of NYHA II (85% vs 68%) and lower median NT-proBNP value (920 vs. 1428, pg/ml) in comparison with DAPA-HF.

Additionally, lower comorbidities in this study when comparing to DAPA-HF, such as CKD (8% vs 41%, respectively), might reflect a tendency to initiate dapagliflozin in patients with less burden of comorbidities, as these were the first subset of HF patients being treated with the drug. Regarding treatment patterns, the EVOLUTION-HF study showed a tendency for higher use of ARNI and lower use of diuretics at the index date. These differences can be attributed to the time elapsed between the DAPA-HF read-out date (March 2019), the update of HF guidelines<sup>16</sup>, and inclusion of patients in EVOLUTION-HF. This indicates the gradual integration of evidence and updated guidelines into clinical practice, particularly concerning the use of ARNI.

The higher level of prescription and/or up-titration of betablockers, MRAs, and ARNI after dapagliflozin initiation, while loop diuretics and ACE inhibitors were down-titrated/withdrawn, aligns with findings from other studies suggesting that dapagliflozin initiation may facilitate a better optimization of GDMT<sup>19,20</sup>. One of the reasons for such an effect might be that dapagliflozin is associated with a lower risk of hyperkalemia, an adverse event responsible for the down-titration/discontinuation of MRA or ARNIs. Moreover, we can argue that dapagliflozin's effect on the cardiac cell<sup>20,21</sup> allow for a more favorable cardiac environment which might grant the opportunity for enhanced therapeutic benefits, although further randomized research is warranted. It is also important to note that the 2021 ESC HF Guidelines<sup>16</sup> advise for a more aggressive treatment regimen, where the four pillars of GDMT (iECA/ARA or ARNI, betablockers, MRA, and SGLT2) should be introduced as soon as possible – EVOLUTION-HF's results might again be reflecting the gradual integration of said guidelines into clinical practice throughout the duration of the study.

This study has some limitations. It is important to acknowledge the site selection bias, since participating sites were chosen for their expertise in HFrEF management and are not representative of HF clinical practice in Portugal. Nevertheless, it was not an aim of the

EVOLUTION-HF study to fully capture the Portuguese clinical practice, but to analyze the first real-world experiences of dapagliflozin use in this new setting of patients. Since the study relied on existing medical records, data collection was limited by the information documented for each patient. Another relevant aspect to consider is that real-world HFrEF populations may differ depending on the clinical setting in which they are managed. While our study was conducted exclusively in Cardiology departments and ambulatory patients, other settings, such as Internal Medicine departments, might exhibit different patients' baseline characteristics, comorbidity burdens, and treatment patterns. Although our study was not designed to capture these broader patient groups, future research including more diverse clinical settings could provide further insights into the generalizability of our findings across different real-world HFrEF populations.

There are important strengths to acknowledge as well. While not representative of all Portuguese HF care, this is a multicentric study and patients were recruited from distinct geographic locations (north to south) and various hospital settings (university to regional hospitals). Finally, this Portuguese EVOLUTION-HF cohort will be integrated with a multicountry study. By combining data across countries, researchers can gain a clearer understanding of global treatment patterns and clinical characteristics of HFrEF patients receiving dapagliflozin in real-world practice.

#### Conclusion

The results of this study confirm, in a real-world setting, the favorable safety profile of dapagliflozin that was previously reported in clinical trials, with the therapeutic levels of dapagliflozin maintained in 95% of patients. Despite a high use of HF GDMT at baseline, an increase in prescriptions and/or up-titration for betablockers, MRAs, and ARNIs was observed, alongside a decrease in the use of loop diuretics and ACEi throughout the study.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like the thank all the sites and study team members who participated in the EVOLUTION-HF-Retrospective study and contributed to the collection of data here presented, namely Dr. Alexandra Sousa, Dr. Ana Neto, Dr. Ana Rita Almeida, Dr. António Gonçalves, Dr.


Bárbara Ferreira, Dr. Carlos Xavier Resende, Dr. Carolina Lourenço, Dr. Catarina Carvalho, Dr. Cátia Ferreira, Dr. David Roque, Dr. Diogo Cunha, Dr. Elisabete Martins, Prof. Emília Moreira, Dr. Hugo Antunes, Dr. Inês Almeida, Dr. Inês Campos, Dr. Inês Fialho, Dr. Manuel Campelo, Dr. Manuela Fonseca, Dr. Marta Bernardo, Dr. Marta Braga, Dr. Paulo Araújo, Dr. Pedro Carvalho, Dr. Rita Moreira, Dr. Roberto Pinto, Dr. Sandra Amorim, Dr. Susana Costa and Dr. Tiago Silva. The authors would like to acknowledge the CRO CETERA team (Francisca Patuleia Figueiras, Susana Silva, Beatriz Ricardo) for the implementation and management of the study in Portugal, and their medical writing contribution to this article.


#### References

- 1. Rosano, G. M. C. *et al.* Impact analysis of heart failure across European countries: an ESC-HFA position paper. *ESC Heart Fail* **9**, 2767–2778 (2022).
- 2. Kaplinsky, E. DAPA-HF trial: dapagliflozin evolves from a glucose-lowering agent to a therapy for heart failure. *Drugs Context* **9**, (2020).
- 3. Ceia, F. *et al.* Prevalence of chronic heart failure in Southwestern Europe: the EPICA study. *Eur J Heart Fail* **4**, 531–539 (2002).
- ESC 365 Portuguese Heart Failure Prevalence Observational Study/ Estudo Observacional Portugues sobre Insuficiencia Cardíaca (PORTHOS). https://esc365.escardio.org/presentation/277288.
- 5. Upadhya, B. & Kitzman, D. W. Management Of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: Current Challenges And Future Directions. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs* **17**, 283 (2017).
- 6. Savarese, G. *et al.* Global burden of heart failure: a comprehensive and updated review of epidemiology. *Cardiovasc Res* **118**, 3272–3287 (2023).
- 7. Becher, P. M., Lund, L. H., Coats, A. J. S. & Savarese, G. An update on global epidemiology in heart failure. *Eur Heart J* **43**, 3005–3007 (2022).
- 8. Gouveia, M. R. de A. *et al.* Os custos da insuficiência cardíaca em Portugal e a sua evolução previsível com o envelhecimento da população. *Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia* **39**, 3–11 (2020).
- 9. Gouveia, M. *et al.* The current and future burden of heart failure in Portugal. *ESC Heart Fail* **6**, 254–261 (2019).
- 10. McMurray, J. J. V. *et al.* Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. *New England Journal of Medicine* **381**, 1995–2008 (2019).
- 11. Crespo-Leiro, M. G. *et al.* European Society of Cardiology Heart Failure Long-Term Registry (ESC-HF-LT): 1-year follow-up outcomes and differences across regions. *Eur J Heart Fail* **18**, 613–625 (2016).
- 12. Kosiborod, M. N. *et al.* Effects of Dapagliflozin on Symptoms, Function, and Quality of Life in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction: Results from the DAPA-HF Trial. *Circulation* **141**, 90–99 (2020).
- 13. McGuire, D. K. *et al.* Association of SGLT2 Inhibitors With Cardiovascular and Kidney Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA Cardiol* **6**, 148–158 (2021).
- 14. Martinez, F. A. *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Dapagliflozin in Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction According to Age: Insights From DAPA-HF. *Circulation* **141**, 100–111 (2020).


- 15. Heidenreich, P. A. *et al.* 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* **145**, E895–E1032 (2022).
- 16. McDonagh, T. A. *et al.* 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* **42**, 3599–3726 (2021).
- 17. Greene, S. J. *et al.* Medical Therapy for Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **72**, 351–366 (2018).
- 18. McMurray, J. J. V. *et al.* Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. *New England Journal of Medicine* **381**, 1995–2008 (2019).
- 19. Tee, A., Campbell, G. & D'Silva, A. Evaluating initiation and real-world tolerability of dapagliflozin for the management of HFrEF. *British Journal of Cardiology* (2023) doi:10.5837/bjc.2023.002.
- 20. Cox, Z. L. *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Dapagliflozin in Patients With Acute Heart Failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **83**, 1295–1306 (2024).
- 21. Ostrominski, J. W. *et al.* Dapagliflozin and Apparent Treatment-Resistant Hypertension in Heart Failure With Mildly Reduced or Preserved Ejection Fraction: The DELIVER Trial. *Circulation* **148**, 1945–1957 (2023).

#### Figure Legend






**Figure 1.:** Overall dapagliflozin intake during the 12-month follow-up period. A.: Percentage of participants who maintained (complied) and discontinued dapagliflozin; B.: Probability of adherence throughout the study period. (in color)




**Figure 2.:** Distribution of cardiovascular medications at Index Date. A.: Percentage of participants under each medication. B.: Distribution within the beta-blockers class. C.: Distributions within the Aldosterone receptor antagonists (MRA) class. D.: Distribution within the diuretics class.


ACE - Angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB - Angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI - Angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; CV - Cardiovascular; GDMTs - guideline-directed medical therapy

according to 2021 ESC HF Guidelines; MRA - Aldosterone receptor antagonists; n – number of participants. (in color)






#### Changes in CV medication of HFrEF scope





 $\textbf{\textit{Figure 3.:}} \ Changes \ in \ cardiovascular \ medications \ for \ HFrEF \ during \ the \ 12-month \ follow-up \ period. \ *$ 

ACE - Angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARNI - Angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; CV — Cardiovascular; HFrEF — Hear failure with reduced ejection fraction; MRA - Aldosterone receptor antagonists;

\* These numbers refer to the number of changes, not changes per patient. This means that when there are 44 dose increases in beta-blockers, for example, there may have been 2 increases in one patient. It does not mean that 44 participants had changes. What we do know is the number of beta blockers that existed at baseline (n=160), and we know that there were 44 increases. (in color)







#### Table Legend

**Table 1.:** Sociodemographic and disease characteristics at index date

SD: Standard Deviation; N= number of participants; BMI: Body mass Index; BP: Blood Pressure; Hb: Hemoglobin; eGFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; NT-proBNP: N-terminal prohormone BNP; LVEF: left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; ICD: Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator; T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus; CKD: Chronic kidney Disease. (in color)

**Table 1.:** Sociodemographic and disease characteristics at index date

<u></u>		
Characteristic	Value	N*
Age, years, mean (SD)	64.7 ± 13.0	228
Male sex, n (%)	166 (72.8)	228
BMI, Kg/m², mean (SD)	27.7 ± 5.3	206
Systolic BP, mmHg, mean (SD)	120.4± 20.1	223
Diastolic BP, mmHg, mean (SD)	70.0± 12.3	222
Heart Rate, bpm, mean (SD)	68.3± 12.7	218
Laboratory values		
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 $m^2$ , mean (SD) **	76.5± 24.9	215
NT-proBNP, pg/mL, median (IQR)	920.0 (1616.5)	192
Clinical features of HF		
LVEF, %, mean (SD)	29.1 ± 6.6	
Ischemic cause, n (%)	96 (42.1)	
Non-ischemic cause, n (%)	124 (54.4)	228
Unknown cause, n (%)	8 (3.5)	
Previous HF hospitalization, n (%)	40 (17.5)	
NYHA Class, n (%)		
II)	192 (84.2)	
111	32 (14.0)	228
IV	3 (1.3)	228
Unknown	1 (0.4)	
Medical History - Conditions		
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	135 (59.2)	
Hypertension, n (%)	128 (56.1)	
Atrial fibrilliation, n (%)	61 (26.8)	
Myocardial infarction, n (%)	58 (25.4)	228
T2DM, n (%)	44 (19.3)	
Heart valve regurgitation/stenosis, n (%)	26 (11.4)	
CKD, n (%)	19 (8.3)	
Medical History – Devices/Interventions	•	
ICD, n (%)	68 (29.8)	228

<sup>\*</sup> It is important to highlight that since this is a retrospective observational study, not all variables were available for the 228 participants. Therefore, the column "N per characteristic" shows exactly how many results we were able to obtain for each characteristic. In grey, we highlighted the characteristics where we could not collect information for all (n=228) participants.

<sup>\*\*</sup> From the 215 eGFR assessments, only 55 (26%) participants had eGFR < 60, mL/min/1.73m2 with an average of  $44.42\pm10.21$  mL/min/1.73m2.

Coronary revascularization, n (%)	44 (19.3)	
CRT, n (%)	24 (10.5)	
Pacemaker, n (%)	8 (3.5)	

<sup>\*</sup> Since this is a retrospective observational study, not all variables were available for the 228 participants. Therefore, the column "N per characteristic" shows exactly how many results we were able to obtain for each characteristic. In grey, we highlighted the characteristics where we could not collect information for all (n=228) participants.

BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure; bpm: beats per minute; CKD: chronic kidney disease; CRT: cardiac resynchronization therapy; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; HF: heart failure; ICD: implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; IQR: interquartile range; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-hormone BNP; NYHA: New York Heart Association; SD: standard deviation; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus.

(in color)

Table 1.: Sociodemographic and disease characteristics at index date

Characteristic	Value, [± SD]	N per characteristic*
Age, years	64,67 ± 13,03	228 (100%)
Male sex, %	73	228 (100%)
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.72 ± 5.34	206 (90%)
Systolic BP, mmHg	120.44± 20.08	223 (98%)
Diastolic BP, mmHg	69.96± 12.32	222 (97%)
Heart Rate, bpm	68.25± 12.69	218 (96%)
Laboratory values		
HbA1c, %	5.98± 0.75	160 (70%)
eGFR, mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> **	76.51± 24.87	215 (94%)
Median NT-proBNP (IQR), pg/mL	920.00 (1616.50)	192 (84%)
Clinical features of HF		
LVEF, %	29.11 ± 6.57	
Ischemic cause, %	42.11	228 (100%)
Non-ischemic cause, %	54.39	
Unknown cause, %	3.51	
NYHA Class, %		
II	85	
III	14	228 (100%)
IV	1	
Medical History - Conditions, %		
Previous HF hospitalization	17.54	
Dyslipidemia	59.21	
Arterial hypertension	56.14	(()
Atrial fibrilliation	26.75	228 (100%)
T2DM	19.30	
CKD	11.40	
Medical History – Devices/Interventions, %		
ICD	29.82	220 (4000/)
Coronary Revascularization	19.30	228 (100%)
•		-

<sup>\*\*</sup> From the 215 eGFR assessments, 55 (26%) participants had eGFR < 60, mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> with an average of 44.42±10.21 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>.

I	CRT	10.53
	Pacemaker	3.51

<sup>\*</sup> It is important to highlight that since this is a retrospective observational study, not all variables were available for the 228 participants. Therefore, the column "N per characteristic" shows exactly how many results we were able to obtain for each characteristic. In grey, we highlighted the characteristics where we could not collect information for all (n=228) participants.

SD: Standard Deviation; N= number of participants; BMI: Body mass Index; BP: Blood Pressure; Hb: Hemoglobin; eGFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-hormone BNP; LVEF: left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; ICD: Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator; T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus; CKD: Chronic kidney Disease.

(in color)

<sup>\*\*</sup> From the 215 eGFR assessments, only 55 (26%) participants had eGFR < 60, mL/min/1.73 $m^2$  with an average of 44.42 $\pm$ 10.21 mL/min/1.73 $m^2$ .

Real-world Dapagliflozin Treatment Patterns in Portuguese Patients with Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction

Central Picture Caption (Central Picture submitted separately, adaptation from figures of the submitted manuscript):

Intake of HFrEF medications throughout the study.

A.At Index Date. B.Changes at follow-up.\*

CV - Cardiovascular; MRA - Aldosterone antagonist; ARNI - Angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; ACE - Angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB – Angiotensin; HRrEF – Hear failure with reduced ejection fraction


\* These numbers refer to the number of changes, not changes per patient. This means that when there are 44 dose increases in beta-blockers, for example, there may have been 2 increases in one patient. It does not mean that 44 participants had changes. What we do know is the number of beta blockers that existed at baseline (n=160), and we know that there were 44 increases.

(in color)

#### **Central Message:**

Despite the high use of GDMT at dapagliflozin intiation, an optimization of HFrEF therapy was observed during the 12-month follow-up period.

n = 224 participants A. Medications of CV scope at Baseline



#### B. Changes in Medications of HFrEF scope

