



REVIEW ARTICLE

Hyperkalemia and management of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: A systematic review



Cândida Fonseca^{a,b,*}, Dulce Brito^{c,d}, Patrícia Branco^e, João Miguel Frazão^{f,g}, José Silva-Cardoso^{h,i}, Paulo Bettencourt^{j,k}

^a Heart Failure Clinic, São Francisco Xavier Hospital, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental (CHLO), Lisboa, Portugal

^b NOVA Medical School/Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

^c Cardiology Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte (CHLN), Lisboa, Portugal

^d CCUL, Faculty of Medicine, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

^e Nephrology Department, Santa Cruz Hospital, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental (CHLO), Carnaxide, Portugal

^f Institute for Research and Innovation in Health Sciences (i3S) and Institute for Biomedical Engineering (INEB), Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal

^g Nephrology Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João (CHUSJ) and Faculty of Medicine, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal

^h Center for Health Technology and Services Research (CINTESIS), Porto, Portugal

ⁱ Cardiology Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João (CHUSJ), Porto, Portugal

^j Internal Medicine Department, CUF Porto Hospital, Porto, Portugal

^k Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

KEYWORDS

Clinical decision-making;
Clinical outcomes;
Drug therapy;
Heart failure;
Hyperkalemia;
Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors

Abstract

Introduction and Objectives: Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors (RAASi) are the cornerstone of treatment of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). RAASi optimization in real-life care is challenged by hyperkalemia, a potentially fatal adverse event, which can necessitate downtitration or discontinuation of RAASi and negatively impact survival in HFrEF. The literature on this problem is sparse. We performed a systematic review of studies on HFrEF to investigate the prevalence, incidence, and risk factors of hyperkalemia, RAASi prescription rates, frequency of RAASi downtitration or discontinuation due to hyperkalemia, and the potential negative effect of the latter on prognosis.

Methods: We conducted a MEDLINE (PubMed) search including observational and interventional studies published between January 1987 and May 2018.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mcandidafonseca@gmail.com (C. Fonseca).

Results: A total of 30 observational and 18 interventional studies were included in the review. The incidence of hyperkalemia reported was between 0% and 63% in observational studies and was between 0% and 30% in clinical trials. Risk factors for hyperkalemia included RAASi prescription, older age, diabetes, and chronic kidney disease. In real-life studies, RAASi were downtitrated or discontinued in 3-22% of HFrEF patients; hyperkalemia was the reported cause in 5% of cases. No reports were found on the impact on prognosis of RAASi downtitration or discontinuation due to hyperkalemia.

Conclusions: Hyperkalemia and RAASi downtitration or discontinuation are frequent, particularly in real-life HFrEF studies. Further research is needed to clarify the role of RAASi downtitration or discontinuation due to hyperkalemia and to assess its long-term prognostic impact in HFrEF patients.

© 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Tomada de decisão clínica;
Resultados clínicos;
Terapêutica medicamentosa;
Insuficiência cardíaca;
Hipercaliemia;
Inibidores do sistema renina-angiotensina-aldosterona

Hipercaliemia e otimização dos inibidores do sistema renina-angiotensina-aldosterona na insuficiência cardíaca crónica com disfunção sistólica: uma revisão sistemática

Resumo

Introdução e objetivos: Os inibidores do sistema renina-angiotensina-aldosterona (iSRAA) constituem pedras basulares para o tratamento da insuficiência cardíaca com fração de ejeção reduzida (IC-FER). A otimização dos iSRAA é dificultada pela ocorrência de hipercalemia, que obriga à redução/interrupção do iSRAA, podendo impactar negativamente na sobrevivência dos doentes com IC-FER. Esta questão é raramente abordada na literatura.

Métodos: Realizámos uma revisão sistemática dos estudos sobre doentes com IC-FER para investigar a prevalência, incidência e fatores de risco para hipercaliemia, frequência de utilização de iSRAA, frequência de redução/interrupção dos iSRAA por hipercaliemia e o possível impacto negativo da redução/interrupção dos iSRAA no prognóstico. A pesquisa foi feita na Medline (PubMed) incluindo estudos observacionais e interventivos publicados entre janeiro de 1987 e maio de 2018.

Resultados: Foram incluídos 30 estudos observacionais e 18 interventivos. A frequência relatada de hipercalemia variou entre 0% e 63% em estudos observacionais e entre 0% e 30% em ensaios clínicos. Fatores de risco para hipercalemia incluíram uso de iSRAA, idade avançada, diabetes e doença renal crónica. Em estudos de vida real, os iSRAA foram interrompidos em 3% a 22% dos doentes com IC-FER, sendo a hipercalemia a causa em 5% destes casos. Não foram encontrados relatos do impacto prognóstico da redução/interrupção dos iSRAA devida à hipercalemia.

Conclusões: A hipercaliemia e redução/interrupção dos iSRAA são frequentes, principalmente em estudos de vida real de IC-FER. Novos estudos serão necessários para esclarecer o papel da redução/interrupção dos iSRAA devida a hipercalemia e para avaliar o seu impacto prognóstico a longo prazo em doentes com IC-FER.

© 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a prevalent, fatal and costly syndrome.¹⁻⁴ HF phenotypes are categorized by clinical practice guidelines according to left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF): HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) is defined as LVEF <40%, and HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) as LVEF ≥50%.¹ Depending on the definition and cohorts considered, the prevalence of HFpEF can vary from 22% to 73%.¹ To date, however, there is a paucity of evidence on treatments that effectively reduce

morbidity or mortality in patients with HFpEF.^{1,5} In contrast, the treatment of HFrEF has evolved rapidly over the past thirty years, with major clinical benefits.^{1,5}

Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors (RAASi) – angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB), and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA) – are recommended for the treatment of HFrEF by all clinical practice guidelines with a class I recommendation, level of evidence A.^{1,5,6} Recently, the use of angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors (ARNi) has been recommended (class IB).^{1,5,6} In

addition, the guidelines recommend that most patients with HFrEF receive triple therapy, including an ACEi or ARB or ARNi, a beta-blocker, and an MRA.^{1,5,6} All of these drugs will be most effective if titrated to the recommended high target doses tested in clinical trials or tolerated by the patient.^{1,5,6} However, in spite of the consensual benefits of high dosages of RAASi, recent clinical practice-based studies report that up to two-thirds of HFrEF patients do not receive RAASi at the guideline-recommended target doses.⁷⁻¹² Moreover, clear reasons for not achieving the target doses or for discontinuing RAASi are not consistently reported.^{7-9,11,12} Nevertheless, when reported, one of the main reasons for RAASi downtitration or discontinuation is hyperkalemia.^{8,9,11}

Patients with HFrEF treated with RAASi are reported to be at higher risk of developing hyperkalemia, as are patients with advanced stages of chronic kidney disease (CKD), diabetes, and resistant hypertension.^{5,11,13,14} Notably, these are prevalent comorbidities in patients with HF.¹⁵ It is estimated that up to 40% of patients with chronic HF develop hyperkalemia in clinical practice.¹¹ Hyperkalemia is commonly associated with excessive intake of potassium salt substitutes and with various medications which are used to treat HFrEF, including RAASi, beta-blockers, and potassium-sparing diuretics.^{1,9,11,14,16} As hyperkalemia can be a serious and life-threatening condition in patients with HF and/or CKD,^{11,17-21} and its long-term treatment is currently limited by issues of efficacy, patient adherence, tolerability, and safety,²² clinical practice guidelines and expert consensus documents recommend close monitoring of hyperkalemia and RAASi downtitration or discontinuation according to different cut-offs of potassium levels.^{1,5,6,9,11,23} However, hyperkalemia management and RAASi optimization in the daily care of HFrEF patients are challenging. Several studies in real-life settings show that serum potassium levels are not properly monitored in patients receiving RAASi.^{11,24-27} Moreover, RAASi downtitration or discontinuation due to hyperkalemia may worsen patients' health outcomes, especially in the HFrEF patient subgroup who would benefit most from this therapy.^{1,5,6,11,14,21,28-32} It has been reported that RAASi downtitration or discontinuation is associated with increased mortality in patients with HF, CKD, or diabetes.⁹⁻¹¹

Concerns about hyperkalemia appear to be another significant factor in the clinical decision-making process in the care of HFrEF and RAASi optimization. Nevertheless, few published studies have focused on the frequency, cut-offs or risk factors of hyperkalemia and its association with adverse outcomes among patients with HFrEF in current clinical practice. Hence, a scoping systematic review of the literature is needed to examine the extent and nature of reporting of hyperkalemia in the context of RAASi use in HFrEF, to identify gaps in the most recent literature, and to make recommendations for future research. The authors accordingly performed a systematic review of observational and interventional studies on adult patients with HFrEF receiving RAASi. Specifically, reporting of the following issues was addressed: (i) prevalence or incidence of hyperkalemia, (ii) estimates of risk factors for hyperkalemia, (iii) RAASi prescription rates and hyperkalemia-related reasons for failure to achieve target RAASi doses or for discontinuation, and (iv) the effect of hyperkalemia-attributed RAASi downtitration or discontinuation on mortality or hospitalization.

Methods

Criteria for identification of studies

Participants

Studies were identified with the following inclusion criteria for participants: (i) ≥ 18 years old; (ii) prescribed or receiving RAASi therapy within any period before or during the study, regardless of treatment status (ongoing, discontinued, or not treated); and (iii) diagnosis of chronic HF, stable or clinically and hemodynamically stabilized after decompensation, with confirmed or probable reduced ejection fraction, i.e. HFrEF (also known as left ventricular dysfunction, systolic dysfunction, or systolic HF). Confirmed HFrEF was defined as LVEF $< 50\%$, description of moderate to severe systolic dysfunction, or as otherwise defined by the study investigators. Probable HFrEF was defined as a report of an HF diagnosis with prescription or use of RAASi within any period before or during the study, and any of the following conditions: (i) prescription or use of beta-blocker therapy; or (ii) prescription or use of other pharmacological therapies commonly used for the treatment of HFrEF, as recommended by current clinical practice guidelines for HF.^{1,5,6} Data were collected only when available for patients with HFrEF as a total sample or as a subgroup of the total sample.

Types of studies

Interventional studies, observational studies and systematic reviews with or without meta-analysis in any clinical setting (e.g., inpatients at discharge, outpatients, primary care, hospital) were included.

Intervention and comparison

Reports were included which had data on the prescription or use of all drug classes collectively known as RAASi, i.e. ACEi, ARB, ARNi, or MRA, describing any dosage or regimens versus any comparator or control (such as other RAASi, standard or optimal pharmacological therapy for HF, placebo, or no treatment).

Outcome measures

Reports on HFrEF were included that provided data on at least one of the following outcomes, regardless of follow-up duration: (i) prevalence or incidence of hyperkalemia (number or percentage of patients); or (ii) significant risk estimates of hyperkalemia ($p < 0.05$) by univariate or multivariate analysis (odds ratio [OR], hazard ratio [HR], or risk ratio [RR] with 95% confidence interval [CI]); or (iii) use or prescription of RAASi at baseline/randomization/study start and/or at study end/hospital discharge (number or percentage of patients), or patients at RAASi target dose (number or percentage of patients), hyperkalemia-related reasons for failure to achieve RAASi target dose or RAASi downtitration or discontinuation (reason, number or percentage of patients); or (iv) outcome estimates due to hyperkalemia or RAASi downtitration or discontinuation (percentage of patients, p -value, OR, HR or RR with 95% CI) for all-cause mortality, HF- and/or cardiovascular (CV)-related mortality, all-cause hospitalization, HF- and/or CV-related hospitalization, or related composite endpoints. Data on hyperkalemia were collected as defined by the authors of the

Table 1 Summary of main characteristics of the included observational studies.

Study (year)	Study design	Sample size, n	Intervention or exposure (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
Abbas et al. ³⁵ (2015)	Pr, pop- and registry-based	1 491 894	MRA Sp + ACEi/ARB (matched controls)	HF + ACEi/ARB	Cases (1062) Matched controls (10620)	76.8	61	RD: 32.4-14.7% DM: 64.7-44.7%
Anton et al. ¹³ (2003)	Rt, registry-based	110	MRA Sp + ACEi/ARB	HF + ACEi/ARB + Sp + HK	Total	71	38	DM: 47%
BIOSTAT-CHF Beusekamp et al. ¹² (2018)	Pr, multicenter, international	1666	ACEi/ARB and/or BB	HFrEF (LVEF <40%)	Total	69	23	HT: 59% CKD: 45% DM: 32%
Cavallari et al. ³⁸ (2010)	Pr, cohort, multicenter	62	MRA Sp	HFrEF (LVEF <45%) + ACEi/ARB	Total	52	40	HT: 77-60% DM: 34-33%
COMPARE-HF Hernandez et al. ⁴⁹ (2012)	Rt, registry-based	5887	MRA at discharge	HFrEF (LVEF ≤35%)	MRA at discharge (1070) No MRA at discharge (4817)	76.8 77.8	35 36	HT: 71.0% RD: 9.3% DM: 39.8% HT: 72.4% RD: 12.3% DM: 38.1%
Deticek et al. ⁴² (2016)	Pr, survey	198	ACEi, ARB, MRA	HF (LVSD)	LVSD, LVEF <55% (59)	74	42	HT: 78% CKD: 32.0% DM: 29.0%
EFFECT Ko et al. ⁵¹ (2006)	Rt, population- and registry-based	9165	MRA Sp	HF (34.7% LVEF <40%) HFrEF (LVEF <40%)	Sp, EFFECT (1502) Sp at discharge, ideal (415)	73.4 -	45% -	CKD: 53.1% ^b ; DM: 39% -
ESC-HF Long-Term Registry Maggioni et al. ⁸ (2013)	Pr, registry-based, multicenter, pan-European	12 440	HF therapy	HFrEF (LVEF ≤45%)	HFrEF, LVEF ≤45% (4792)	-	-	-
Frohlich et al. ⁴⁴ (2016)	Rt, registry-based, multicenter	722	ACEi/ARB	HFrEF (LVEF <45%)	Total	69	32	HT: 59% DM: 30%
Gladowski et al. ⁴⁶ (2003)	Rt, registry-based	2697	ACEi	HFrEF (LVEF <40%)	LVEF <40% (1028)	-	-	RD: 19% DM: 40%
Goland et al. ⁴⁷ (2011)	Rt, registry-based	100	MRA Sp + ACEi/ARB + BB	HF + Sp	-	69.9	21	HT: 66%
GWTG-HF Gilstrap et al. ⁴⁵ (2017)	Rt, registry-based	16 052	ACEi/ARB	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Total	78.34	58	HT: 76.51% RD: 14.63% CKD: 10.09% ^c DM: 26.7%
Juurlink et al. ¹⁹ (2004)	Rt, ecologic study, population- and registry-based	16 961	MRA Sp, ACEi, ARB	HF + ACEi	Began Sp, before RALES (4539)	78	50	DM: 40%

Table 1 (Continued)

Study (year)	Study design	Sample size, n	Intervention or exposure (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
Kane et al. ⁵⁰ (2017)	Rt, registry-based	174	ACEi/ARB	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Began Sp, after RALES (12 422) Reduced/discontinued (30) Continued/increased (144)	78.6 62 66	50 27 35	DM: 40% HT: 97% CKD: 50% DM: 53% HT: 98% CKD: 34% DM: 57%
Lachaine et al. ⁵⁴ (2011)	Rt, population- and registry-based	82 018	MRA Sp, ACEi, ARB, BB	HF	Total, chronic HF (82 018)	77.2	52	-
Lee et al. ⁵⁵ (2013)	Rt, registry-based	2358	MRA Sp (Sp non-use)	HFrEF (LVEF <40%)	Chronic HF using Sp (12 344) Chronic HF not using Sp (69 674)	78.5 -	48 -	-
					Total	69.2	34	HT: 62.7% RD: 3.0% ^d DM: 30.6%
					Incident Sp use (521)	63.5	30	HT: 55.7% RD: 1.3% ^d DM: 29.2%
Lima et al. ⁵⁶ (2008)	Pr, cohort	186	MRA Sp (Sp non-use)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤45%)	Sp non-use (1837)	70.9	35	HT: 64.7% RD: 3.5% ^d DM: 31.0%
					Total	55.5	35	HT: 58.2% RD: 14.8% ^e DM: 20.3%
					Sp use (56)	53	24	HT: 54.6% RD: 15.2% ^e DM: 15.2%
Lopes et al. ⁵⁷ (2008)	Rt, cohort, registry-based	134	MRA Sp + ACEi/ARB + HF therapy (Sp non-use)	HFrEF (LVEF <35%)	Sp non-use (130)	58	45	HT: 61.8% RD: 14.5% ^e DM: 25.4%
					Total	66	41	DM: 27.2%
					Sp use (76)	65.7	19	DM: 23.7%
Michel et al. ⁵⁸ (2015)	Nested case-control, pop- and registry based	19 194	Risk factors for HK	HF	Sp non-use (58)	66.7	22	DM: 32.8%
					Sp withdrawal (19)	67.3	3	DM: 15.8%
					Sp maintenance (57)	65.9	16	DM: 26.3%
Norwegian Heart Failure Registry	De Blois et al. ⁴⁰ (2015)	Registry-based, multicenter	ACEi, ARB	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Cases of HK (2176)	74	42	HT: 58.2% CKD: 6.2% DM: 30.7%
All patients (5761)					70.2	29	HT: 31%	
Polson et al. ⁶³ (2017)	Rt, registry-based	15 999	RAASi	HF	Using ACEi/ARB (5160)	69.6	28	HT: 31%
					Not using ACEi/ARB (583)	74.7	35	HT: 36%
					HF receiving RAASi (3426)	69.5	44	-
					CKD + HF receiving RAASi (691)	73.7	43	-

Table 1 (Continued)

Study (year)	Study design	Sample size, n	Intervention or exposure (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
QUALIFY								
Komajda et al. ⁷ (2016)	Pr, longitudinal survey, international	7092	ACEi, ARB, MRA	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	-	63.1	26	HT: 64.6% CKD: 17.8% DM: 34.3%
Komajda et al. ⁵² (2017)	Pr, longitudinal survey, international	6669	ACEi, ARB, MRA	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Total	-	-	-
					Good adherence (1543)	62.7	25	HT: 71% CKD: 19.8% DM: 38.9%
					Moderate adherence (3631)	63	25	HT: 64.2% CKD: 18.4% DM: 33.5%
					Poor adherence (1495)	63.5	29	HT: 58.2% CKD: 14.1% DM: 30.3%
Saheb Sharif-Askari et al. ⁶⁷ (2014)	Pr	398	ACEi, ARB, MRA	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	-	62	29	-
Saito et al. ⁶⁹ (2005)	Rt, registry-based	259	MRA Sp + FS + ACEi/ARB (FS + ACEi/ARB)	HF + Sp	25 mg/d Sp + 40 mg/d FS + ACEi/ARB (86)	67.2	37	-
					50 mg/d Sp + 40 mg/d FS + ACEi/ARB (49)	67	18	-
Saito et al. ⁶⁸ (2006)	Rt, registry-based	59	MRA Sp + CVD + FS + ACEi/ARB	HF + Sp	Total 25 mg/d Sp + 20 mg/d CVD + 40 mg/d FS + 5 mg/d Ena (31)/ Can (28)	65.5	-	-
Shah et al. ²⁷ (2005)	Rt, registry-based	840	MRA Sp	HF + Sp	With follow-up (556)	69	1	-
					HK, sK ≥5.5 mmol/l (83)	70	0	-

Table 1 (Continued)

Study (year)	Study design	Sample size, n	Intervention or exposure (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
Steinman et al. ⁷³ (2013)	Interview- and registry-based	295	ACEi, ARB	HFrEF	No HK (473) -	69 74	1 2	- DM: 41%
Svensson et al. ⁷⁴ (2004)	Pr, registry-based	125	MRA Sp	HFrEF (LVEF ≤45%)	Total Taking Sp (60) Initiated Sp (65)	72.9 - -	27 - -	- - -
Tamarisia et al. ⁷⁵ (2004)	Case control study	926	MRA Sp + ACEi/ARB + HF therapy	HFrEF (LVEF <35%)	Total Sp-tolerant (134) Sp discontinuation due to sK >5 mmol/l (33) Sp discontinuation due to sCr >2.5 mg/dl and increase ≥0.5 mg/dl (34)	59 57 66 62	- 37 27 42	- DM: 34% DM: 55% DM: 42%
TSOC-HFrEF registry Chang et al. ³⁹ (2017)	Pr, survey, multicenter	1509	Non-prescription and under-prescription of HF therapy	Systolic HF	Total	63.9	28	-
Witham et al. ⁷⁸ (2004)	Rt, registry-based	226	MRA Sp	HF (evidence of LVSD)	Total	73.3	31	HT: 42.5% DM: 22.1%

^a Values are mean or median.

^b Stage III.

^c Stage IV/V.

^d eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73 m².

^e eGFR <50 ml/min.

ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; BB: beta-blocker; BIostat-CHF: BIOlogy Study to Tailored Treatment in Chronic Heart Failure; Can: candesartan; CKD: chronic kidney disease; COMPARE: Comparative Effectiveness of Therapies for Heart Failure; CVD: carvedilol; d: day; DM: diabetes mellitus; EFFECT: Enhanced Feedback for Effective Cardiac Treatment; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; Ena: enalapril; ESC: European Society of Cardiology; FS: furosemide; GWTG: Get With The Guidelines-Heart Failure Registry; HF: heart failure; HFrEF: heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HK: hyperkalemia; HT: hypertension; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; LVSD: left ventricular systolic dysfunction; MRA: mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; Pop: population; Pr: prospective; QUALIFY: QUALity of adherence to guideline recommendations for Life-saving treatment in heart failure: an international survey; an observational study; RAASI: renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors; RD: renal dysfunction; Rt: retrospective; sCr: serum creatinine; sK: serum potassium; Sp: spironolactone; TSOC: Taiwan Society of Cardiology.

Table 2 Summary of main characteristics of the included interventional studies.

Study (year)	Study design	Study size, n	Intervention (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
ARTS Pitt et al. ⁶² (2013)	RCT, multicenter	458	MRA Fin, 2.5-10 mg/d (placebo/open-label Sp, 25-50 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	A, Fin vs. placebo (65)	66.3	20.0	HT: 43.1%; DM: 13.8%
					B, Fin vs. placebo/Sp (392)	72.1	20.4	HT: 66.6%; DM: 34.2%
ARTS-HF Filippatos et al. ⁴³ (2016)	RCT, multicenter	1055	MRA Fin, 2.5-10 mg/d (MRA Epl 25-50 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Total	71.2	22.7	HT: 73.5%; CKD: 35.1% ^b ; DM: 26.5% ^c , 37.7% ^d
					Epl (221); Fin 2.5-5 mg/d (172); Fin 5-10 mg/d (163); Fin 7.5-15 mg/d (167); Fin 10-20 mg/d (169); Fin 15-20 mg/d (163)	-	-	-
ARTS-HF Japan Sato et al. ⁷⁰ (2016)	RCT, multicenter	72	MRA Fin, 2.5-10 mg/d (MRA Epl 25-50 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Total	73.1	26.4	HT: 70.8%; CKD: 41.7% ^b ; DM: 16.7% ^c , 41.7% ^d
					Epl (13); Fin 2.5-5 mg/d (13); Fin 5-10 mg/d (13); Fin 7.5-15 mg/d (11); Fin 10-20 mg/d (11); Fin 15-20 mg/d (11)	-	-	-
ATLAS Ryden et al. ⁶⁶ (2000)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	3164	ACEi Lsn, 2.5-5 mg/d (ACEi Lsn, 32.5-35 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤30%)	High-dose (1568) Low-dose (1596)	-	-	-
					Diabetic (611)	65	22.0	-
ATMOSPHERE McMurray et al. ⁸¹ (2016)	RCT, multicenter	7016	ACEi Ena, 5-10 mg bid (aliskiren, 300 mg/d or Ena+aliskiren)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤35%)	Ena + aliskiren (2340)	63.2	21.1	HT: 61.8%; DM: 28.4%
					Aliskiren (2340) Ena (2336)	63.3 63.3	22.7 21.4	HT: 62.4%; DM: 26.8% HT: 61.0%; DM: 27.9%
CHARM CHARM-Low LVEF Young et al. ⁷⁹ (2004)	Pooled RCT, prespecified analysis	4576	ARB Can, 4-32 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Total (4576)	65	-	-
					Can (2289) Placebo (2287)	65.1 65.3	25.9 26.1	HT: 48.4%; DM: 28.6% HT: 49.6%; DM: 28.5%

Table 2 (Continued)

Study (year)	Study design	Study size, n	Intervention (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
DESTINY-HF								
Anand et al. ³⁶ (2010)	RCT, multicenter	115	ARB Val, 80-320 mg qd (ARB Val, 40-160 mg bid)	HFrEF (LVEF <40%)	Total	65	21.0	-
					Val 40 mg bid - max 320 mg (160 mg bid) (60)	67.3	16.7	HT: 60%; CKD: 13%; DM: 45%
					Val 80 mg qd - max 320 mg qd (55)	63.4	25.5	HT: 82%; CKD: 13%; DM: 40%
EMPHASIS-HF								
Zannad et al. ³⁰ (2011)	RCT, multicenter	2737	MRA Epl, 25-50 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤30%)	Total	-	-	-
					Epl (1364)	68.7	22.7	HT: 66.7%; CKD: 32.2%; DM: 33.7%
					Placebo (1373)	68.6	21.9	HT: 66.2%; CKD: 34.5%; DM: 29.1%
Rosignol et al. ²¹ (2014)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	2736	MRA Epl, 25-50 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤30%)	Total; Epl (1364); placebo (1373)	-	-	-
Hamroff et al. ⁴⁸ (1997)	RCT, open-label phase	43	ARB Lsr, 25-50 mg/d + ACEi	HF + ACEi	Total	61.4	49	DM: 39%
HEAAL								
Konstam et al. ⁵³ (2009)	RCT, multicenter	3846	ARB Lsr, 150 mg/d (ARB Lsr, 50 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Lsr 150 mg (1921)	66	30	HT: 60%; DM: 31%
					Lsr 50 mg (1913)	66	29	HT: 60%; DM: 32%
Kiernan et al. ²⁰ (2012)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	3846	ARB Lsr, 150 mg/d (ARB Lsr, 50 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Lsr 150 mg (828)	-	-	-
					Lsr 50 mg (889)	-	-	-
					HK (326)	67	32.5	DM: 43.9%
					No HK (3508)	66	29.6	DM: 30.1%
Rosignol et al. ⁶⁵ (2014)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	3846	ARB Lsr, 150 mg/d (ARB Lsr, 50 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Lsr 150 mg (828); Lsr 50 mg (889)	-	-	-
Mitrovic et al. ⁵⁹ (2009)	Open-label controlled trial, multicenter	414	ARB Can, 8-32 mg/d + standard treatment	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Total	68.2	18.8	DM: 40.8%
					+Sp (158); 8 mg +BB (378); 8 mg -BB (36); 16 mg -BB (32); 32 mg +BB (270); 32 mg -BB (25)			
PARADIGM-HF								
McMurray et al. ²⁸ (2014)	RCT, multicenter	8399	ARNi LCZ696, 100-200 mg bid (ACEi Ena, 10 mg bid)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Ena (4212)	63.8	22.6	HT: 70.5%; DM: 34.6%
					LCZ696 (4187)	63.8	21.0	HT: 70.9%; DM: 34.7%

Table 2 (Continued)

Study (year)	Study design	Study size, n	Intervention (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
RALES RALES Investigators ⁶⁴ (1996)	RCT, multicenter	214	MRA Sp, 12.5-75 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF \leq 35%)	Placebo (40)	61	18	-
					12.5 mg (41); 25 mg (44); 50 mg (46); 75 mg (41)	-	-	-
Pitt et al. ²⁹ (1999)	RCT, multicenter	1663	MRA Sp, 25-50 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF <35%)	Placebo (841)	65	27	-
Vardeny et al. ⁷⁷ (2012)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	1658	MRA Sp, 25-50 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF <35%)	Sp (822) eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m ² (792)	65 70	27 30.6	- HT: 27.5%; DM: 26.2%
Vardeny et al. ⁷⁶ (2013)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	1663	MRA Sp, 25-50 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF <35%)	eGFR \geq 60 ml/min/1.73 m ² (866) African Americans (120)	61.2 53.8	23.3 34	HT: 20%; DM: 18.5% HT: 14%; DM: 11%
Vardeny et al. ¹⁴ (2014)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	1663	MRA Sp, 25-50 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF <35%)	Non-African Americans (1543) Sp (822)	66.1 -	26 -	HT: 24%; DM: 23% -
					Placebo (841)	-	-	-
					Placebo, no HK during trial (794)	65	26.7	HT: 23.7%; DM: 22.7%
					Placebo, HK during trial (47)	68.6	31.9	HT: 21.3%; DM: 31.9%
					Sp, no HK during trial (666)	64.5	26.1	HT: 23.1%; DM: 18.2%
					Sp, HK during trial (156)	68.8	28.8	HT: 25%; DM: 34.0%
Solomon et al. ⁷² (2016)	SR and meta-analysis		Neprilysin + RASi (RASi alone)	HFrEF	IMPRESS trial, omapatrilat 40 mg qd/Lsn 20 mg qd (573) OVERTURE trial, Ena 10 mg bid/omapatrilat 40 mg qd (5770) PARADIGM-HF, Ena 10 mg bid/Sac-Val 200 mg bid (8399)	- - -	- - -	- - -
SOLVD Kostis et al. ³¹ (1996)	RCT, multicenter	6769	ACEi Ena, 2.5-10 mg bid (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF \leq 35%)	Total; Ena (3382); placebo (3387)	-	-	-
de Denu et al. ⁴¹ (2006)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	6722	ACEi Ena (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF <35%)	Ena (3364)	59.8	14.2	DM: 19.1%
Bowling et al. ³⁷ (2013)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	2502	ACEi Ena, 2.5-20 mg/d (placebo)	HFrEF (LVEF \leq 35%)	Placebo (3358) Total	59.9 -	14.7 -	DM: 19.5% CKD: 41% ^e
					CKD, placebo (538)	64.5	25	HT: 45%; CKD: 100%; DM: 29%
					CKD, Ena (498)	64.1	24	HT: 50%; CKD: 100%; DM: 30%

Table 2 (Continued)

Study (year)	Study design	Study size, n	Intervention (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
TIME-CHF Muzzarelli et al. ⁶⁰ (2012)	RCT, sub-analysis	566	ACEi + ARB, Sp, intensified NT-BNP-guided therapy (standard symptom-guided therapy)	HFrEF (18% HFpEF)	No CKD, placebo (714)	57.7	16	HT: 39%; CKD: 0%; DM: 25%
					No CKD, Ena (752)	57.6	16	HT: 38%; CKD: 0%; DM: 21%
					No HK (490)	76.4	42	HT: 75%; RD: 52%; DM: 32%
Brunner-La Rocca et al. ¹⁶ (2015)	RCT, post-hoc analysis	462	ACEi + ARB, Sp, intensified NT-BNP-guided therapy (standard symptom-guided therapy)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤45%)	HK >5.5 mmol/l (76)	78	30	HT: 72%; RD: 75%; DM: 46%
					WRF III (97)	75	28	HT: 75%; RD: 68%; DM: 38%
					No WRF III (365)	76	36	HT: 70%; RD: 50%; DM: 32%
TITRATION Senni et al. ⁷¹ (2016)	RCT, multicenter	429	ARNi Sac/Val, 50-200 mg bid over 3 wk (50-200 mg bid over 6 wks)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤35%)	Total	64	21.3	CKD: 33.7%; DM: 12.2%
					Condensed regimen (247)	64.2	22.7	CKD: 33.6%; DM: 12.6%
					Conservative regimen (251)	63.8	19.9	CKD: 33.9%; DM: 12.0%
					High-dose (247)	63.1	20.6	CKD: 29.6%
					Low-dose (251)	64.9	21.9	CKD: 37.8%

Table 2 (Continued)

Study (year)	Study design	Study size, n	Intervention (control)	Population	Analyzed subgroups (n)	Age, years ^a	Female, %	Comorbidities
					ACEi/ARB-naïve (33)	-	-	-
Train-the-Trainer Peters-Klimm et al. ⁶¹ (2008)	Cluster-randomized study, cross-sectional analysis	167	Train the trainer group (standard training)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	-	68.2	31.1	HT: 76.1%; RD: 22.2%; DM: 35.9%
Peters-Klimm et al. ²⁵ (2012)	Cluster-randomized study, cross-sectional analysis	168	Train the trainer group (standard training)	HFrEF (LVEF ≤40%)	Train the trainer (91)	68.4	30.8	HT: 74.7%; RD: 20.9%; DM: 35.2%
					Standard (77)	69	31.2	HT: 77.9%; RD: 23.4%; DM: 37.7%
Zannad et al. ⁸⁰ (1992)	RCT, multicenter	278	ACEi Lsn, 5-20 mg/d (ACEi Ena, 5-20 mg/d)	HFrEF (LVEF <45%)	Lsn (138)	63	14	-
					Ena (140)	61	19	-

^a Values are mean or median.

^b Without type 2 DM.

^c Without CKD.

^d With CKD.

^e eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m².

ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNi: angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; ARTS: mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonist Tolerability Study; ATLAS: Assessment of Treatment with Lisinopril And Survival; ATMOSPHERE: Aliskiren Trial to Minimize Outcomes in Patients with Heart Failure; BB: beta-blocker; bid: twice a day; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; Can: candesartan; CHARM: Candesartan in Heart Failure-Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity; CKD: chronic kidney disease; COMPARE: Comparative Effectiveness of Therapies for Heart Failure; d: day; DESTINY-HF: Diovan Evaluation of Safety Twice vs Once daily study in Heart Failure; DM: diabetes mellitus; EFFECT: Enhanced Feedback for Effective Cardiac Treatment; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; EMPHASIS-HF: Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and Survival Study in Heart Failure; Ena: Enalapril; Epl: eplerenone; Fin: finerenone; HEAAL: Heart failure Endpoint evaluation of Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan; HF: heart failure; HK: hyperkalemia; HT: hypertension; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; Lsn: lisinopril; Lsr: losartan; MRA: mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NT-BNP: N-terminal brain natriuretic peptide; PARADIGM-HF: Prospective comparison of angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor (ARNi) with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEi) to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and morbidity in Heart Failure; HFpEF: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; qd: once daily; RALES: Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study; RASi: renin-angiotensin system inhibitors; RCT: randomized clinical trial; RD: renal dysfunction; Sac: sacubitril; SOLVD: Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction; SR: systematic review; Sp: spironolactone; TIME-CHF: Trial of Intensified versus Standard Medical Therapy in Elderly Patients with Congestive Heart Failure; wk: week; Val: valsartan; WRF: worsening renal function.

studies, comprising abnormally high serum or plasma potassium concentrations (5.0 mmol/l or above), diagnosis code of hyperkalemia, clinically important hyperkalemia, or hyperkalemia as an investigator-reported adverse event.

Exclusion criteria

Reports were excluded according to any of the following criteria: (i) patients on acute, emergency and intravenous therapy for acute HF; (ii) patients receiving dialysis (hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis) or renal transplantation patients; (iii) publication types such as unpublished or unavailable as full-text original article, abstract, case report, comment, clinical conference, or review; (iv) not written in English; (v) hyperkalemia not a component of the reasons for RAASi downtitration or discontinuation; and (vi) no data on any of the above-mentioned outcomes of interest.

Search methods

This systematic review followed the PRISMA guidelines for conduct and reporting.³³ Studies were identified by searching the MEDLINE (PubMed) database for original full-text journal articles published between January 1, 1987 and the present. The last search was run on May 14, 2018 for 'hyperkalemia' or 'potassium' or 'guideline adherence' or 'practice guidelines as topic', crossed with 'heart failure' and 'raasi' or 'mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists' or 'angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors' or 'angiotensin receptor antagonists', for full-text articles published in English. The full search strategy is shown in Supplementary Material S1.

Selection of studies

One reviewer screened titles and abstracts of all records identified in the electronic search and classified them as eligible or not eligible. The full text of the reports identified as eligible was then retrieved, screened, and identified as eligible, potentially eligible or unclear, or not eligible, and the reasons for exclusion of reports were recorded. A second reviewer screened the potentially eligible or unclear full-text reports and identified them as eligible or not eligible and recorded the reasons for exclusion. Any disagreement was solved by consensus or by consulting a third review author. Two reviewers identified and excluded duplicated and collated reports of the same study.

Data collection and management

An electronic spreadsheet was used to record study characteristics and outcome data from the included studies. One reviewer extracted data from the included studies. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. One reviewer double-checked that data were entered correctly by comparing the data presented in the systematic review with the electronic spreadsheet. Data that were not published in a numerical format (e.g., presented graphically in the published paper) were not collected.

Data items

Data were extracted from each included study on (i) study characteristics, including study type, inclusion criteria or study population, duration of follow-up and treatment, number of participants randomized/included/analyzed, and description of analyzed subgroups; (ii) description of the intervention/exposure(s) and of comparator(s), including agent and dosage; and (iii) participant characteristics at baseline, including age and/or age subgroups, gender, serum creatinine levels, potassium levels, and HF disease characteristics, including HF diagnosis, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, LVEF, main etiology of HF, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) level, HF-related concomitant medication (including beta-blockers), and selected comorbidities, including CKD, diabetes, hypertension, and myocardial ischemia.

Data analysis

The main aim was to review the quantity and nature of the evidence for the management of RAASi and hyperkalemia, and its consequences and outcomes. Because of the expected heterogeneity between study populations, interventions, and outcome measures, a meta-analysis was not performed. As this was intended as a scoping review,³⁴ no synthesis of the evidence or evaluation of the quality of the studies was carried out.

Results

A flow diagram of the literature search and the selection process of the reports is shown in [Figure 1](#). The MEDLINE (PubMed) search resulted in 457 reports. In total, this systematic review included 62 full-text reports^{7,8,12-14,16,19-21,25,27-31,35-81} from 48 studies on HFReF populations.

The characteristics of the studies and study populations are shown in [Tables 1 and 2](#) and [Supplementary Table ST1](#). A total of 32 reports^{7,8,12,13,19,27,35,38-40,42,44-47,49-52,54-58,63,67-69,73-75,78} of 30 observational studies involving samples ranging from 59⁶⁸ to 1 491 894³⁵ participants were included in this systematic review ([Table 1](#)). Most of these studies were registry-based or surveys.^{7,8,12,13,19,27,35,39,40,42,44-47,49-51,54,55,57,58,63,67,69,73,74,78} In addition, this review included 29 reports (18 studies) of randomized clinical trials (RCTs),^{28-31,36,43,48,53,59,62,64,70,71,80,81} sub-analyses of RCTs,^{14,16,20,21,37,41,60,65,66,76,77,79} or cluster-randomized studies^{25,61} with samples ranging from 43⁴⁸ to 8399²⁸ participants, and one systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs⁷² ([Table 2](#)).

A wide range of RAASi classes and combinations were analyzed in the included studies: six reports on ACEi (four studies),^{31,37,41,46,66,80} six reports (four studies) on losartan,^{20,36,38,53,59,65} three reports on ARNi,^{28,71,72} 20 reports (17 studies) on MRA,^{21,27,29,30,38,43,49,51,55-57,62,64,70,74,75,78} one report on unspecified RAASi,⁶³ and seven reports (six studies) on ACEi, ARB, and MRA.^{7,8,19,42,52,54,67} The combinations of ACEi and/or ARB, ACEi/ARB and MRA, and enalapril and/or aliskiren were the subject of seven reports,^{12,40,44,45,50,58,73}




Figure 1 Flow diagram of the selection of reports (based on Moher et al.³³).

11 reports (nine studies),^{13,16,25,35,39,47,60,61,68,69} and one report,⁸¹ respectively.

Forty-five reports had data on hyperkalemia prevalence or incidence in HFrEF patients receiving RAASi. Hyperkalemia was reported as a secondary endpoint, adverse event, or clinical outcome. Among observational studies in real-life settings,^{12,13,19,27,35,38,44,45,47,49,51,54–57,63,68,69,74,75,78} the proportion of patients with hyperkalemia varied between 0%^{51,69} and 63%²⁷ (Supplementary Table ST2), while reports of interventional studies^{14,20,21,25,28–31,36,37,41,43,53,59,60,62,64,65,70–72,76,77,81} described hyperkalemia incidences between 0%^{36,43,70,71} and 30%⁷⁷ (Supplementary Table ST3). Interestingly, observational studies that reported a 0% prevalence of hyperkalemia analyzed a highly selected population and/or a higher cut-off for hyperkalemia. This is the case of the EFFECT study by Ko et al.,⁵¹ which reported a 0% prevalence of hyperkalemia in a subgroup analysis of ideal HFrEF patients with similar characteristics to those in the RALES trial^{13,64} (i.e., at lower risk of developing hyperkalemia by excluding patients with baseline creatinine >2.5 mg/dl, or baseline serum potassium >5 mmol/l). On the other hand, the same study reported an 18% prevalence of hyperkalemia in the total heterogeneous sample of patients with HF (HFrEF and HFpEF).⁵¹ Another observational study, by Saito et al.,⁵² also reported 0% prevalence in a subgroup of HF patients who were receiving only one ACEi or ARB, and were selected by exclusion criteria such as DM, CKD, serum creatinine outside

the normal range, or receiving any other drugs that might affect serum potassium levels. As in clinical trials, these selected populations are not representative of the majority of HFrEF patients in daily clinical practice. By contrast, hyperkalemia levels were higher in studies on subgroups of HFrEF patients receiving combined RAASi therapy (38%),^{13,74} higher doses of spironolactone (24%),⁶⁴ baseline creatinine ≥ 2.5 mg/dl (63%),²⁷ or estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <30 ml/min/1.73 m² (22%)⁴¹ or <60 ml/min/1.73 m² (26%).⁷⁷

Figure 2 provides an overview of the prevalence or incidence of hyperkalemia across studies that defined hyperkalemia as serum potassium values >5.5 mmol/l or >6.0 mmol/l. The reported proportions of HFrEF patients with hyperkalemia varies depending on the study type and RAASi therapy. Overall, observational studies with combined RAASi therapy added to HF therapy presented higher hyperkalemia levels than those of interventional studies (Figure 2).

Table 3 displays an overview of the 15 reports (12 studies) that estimated risk factors for hyperkalemia. Closer inspection of this table shows that the risk of hyperkalemia in HFrEF patients was significantly associated with RAASi use, as well as with age, diabetes, CKD, and elevated baseline potassium levels. In general, higher risk estimates were observed in observational studies than in clinical trials. Four-fold to 13-fold increases in the risk of hyperkalemia were associated with spironolactone or other MRA use added to background ACEi and/or ARB therapy,^{35,49}

Table 3 Risk factors significantly associated with hyperkalemia by univariate or multivariate analysis in observational and interventional studies on patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction receiving renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors.

Study (year)	Intervention vs. control (n) Follow-up duration ^a	Risk factor	Estimate [95% CI]
Observational studies			
Abbas et al. ³⁵ (2015)	Sp + ACEi/ARB (1062) vs. matched controls with no HK (10620) 398 (397) days	Age	OR 8.73 [5.05-15.08] ^b , <70 years ^c OR 12.32 [9.35-6.23] ^b , ≥70 years ^c
		RAASI	OR 13.59 [11.63-15.88] ^b , Sp OR 11.05 [8.67-14.08] ^b , Sp ^c OR 13.00 [9.82-17.21] ^b , Sp ST ^c OR 9.12 [6.78-12.26] ^b , Sp LT ^c OR 2.23 [1.02-4.89] ^d
Anton et al. ¹³ (2003)	Sp + ACEi/ARB (110) 12 months	Diabetes	OR 2.23 [1.02-4.89] ^d
		Other factors	OR 5.18 [2.14-12.6] ^d , Hct <0.36 OR 4.28 [1.69-10.7] ^e , Hct <0.36
COMPARE-HF Hernandez et al. ⁴⁹ (2012)	MRA at discharge (1070) vs. no MRA (4817) 3 years	RAASI	HR 5.96 [1.31-27.15] ^f HR 2.51 [1.45-4.34] ^g HR 1.48 [1.20-1.84] ^h
Lee et al. ⁵⁵ (2013)	Sp (521) vs. Sp non-use (1837) 2.5 (1.3-3.5) years	CKD	HR 3.86 [1.63-9.13] ⁱ , eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73 m ²
Lima et al. ⁵⁶ (2008)	Sp (56) vs. Sp non-use (130) 23.65 days	Sp	HR 3.46 [1.97-6.06] ⁱ , Sp, incident use
		CKD	RR 9.6 [8.03-11.20] ^e , final urea
Michel et al. ⁵⁸ (2015)	Cases of HK (2176) vs. controls (4000) 3.9 (3.21) years	Diabetes	OR 1.52 [1.31-1.75] ^j , type II OR 1.43 [1.10-1.87] ^j , type II + eGFR ≥60 ml/min OR 6.34 [5.19-7.74] ^j , type II + eGFR <60 ml/min OR 4.34 [3.51-5.37] ^j , type II + eGFR 30-59 ml/min OR 23.22 [16.25-33.18] ^j , type II + eGFR <30 ml/min
		CKD	OR 3.81 [3.29-4.42] ^j , eGFR <60 ml/min OR 16.32 [12.96-20.56] ^j , eGFR <30 ml/min OR 4.57 [3.82-5.46] ^j , eGFR 30-44 ml/min OR 1.82 [1.53-2.16] ^j , eGFR 45-59 ml/min
		RAASI	OR 3.23 [2.77-3.77] ^j , Sp, current use OR 10.68 [6.61-17.26] ^j , Sp, use <30 days OR 4.99 [3.42-7.27] ^j , Sp, use 1-3 months OR 3.40 [2.62-4.43] ^j , Sp, use >3-12 months OR 2.17 [1.76-2.66] ^j , Sp, use >12 months OR 1.70 [1.41-2.04] ^j , ACEi, current use OR 5.47 [3.66-8.19] ^j , ACEi, use <30 days OR 3.00 [2.14-4.21] ^j , ACEi, use 1-3 months OR 1.71 [1.33-2.19] ^j , ACEi, use >3-12 months OR 1.39 [1.13-1.72] ^j , ACEi, use >12 months OR 4.46 [3.47-5.74] ^j , K + -diuretics + ACEi OR 4.97 [3.87-6.39] ^j , Sp + ACEi OR 3.29 [2.41-4.50] ^j , Sp + ARB OR 2.03 [1.18-3.50] ^j , ACEi + ARB OR 6.81 [2.50-18.52] ^j , ACEi + ARB + Sp OR 7.49 [3.25-17.80] ^j , diuretic + trimethoprim OR 7.03 [3.69-13.41] ^j , ACEi + trimethoprim

Table 3 (Continued)

Study (year)	Intervention vs. control (n) Follow-up duration ^a	Risk factor	Estimate [95% CI]
		Other factors	OR 3.01 [2.61-3.48] ^l , diuretics, current use OR 9.16 [5.99-14.02] ^l , diuretics, use <30 days OR 4.74 [3.36-6.67] ^l , diuretics, use 1-3 months OR 2.98 [2.35-3.77] ^l , diuretics, use >3-12 months OR 2.16 [1.79-2.61] ^l , diuretics, use >12 months OR 2.63 [1.96-3.54] ^l , amiloride, current use OR 0.81 [0.67-0.99] ^l , loop diuretics, current use OR 0.60 [0.46-0.77] ^l , thiazides and related diuretics, current use OR 0.81 [0.69-0.96] ^l , calcium channel blockers, current use RR 0.37 [0.15-0.85] ^e , decreased LVEF per 10% increase RR 3.36 [1.17-9.69] ^e , NYHA
Svensson et al. ⁷⁴ (2004)	Sp 25 mg qd (125) vs. placebo 11 months	Other factors	
Interventional studies EMPHASIS-HF Rossignol et al. ²¹ (2014)	Epl (1364) vs. placebo (1373) 21 months, median	CKD RAASi Other factors	HR 2.23 [1.56-3.19] ^d , WRF, eGFR decrease >20% HR 1.77 [1.36-2.30] ^d , bsl eGFR ≤ median, eGFR decrease >20% HR 1.67 [1.29-2.17] ^d , Epl, eGFR decrease >20% HR 1.69 [1.25-2.29] ^d , hypertension, eGFR decrease >20% HR 0.71 [0.50-1.00] ^d , white ethnicity, eGFR decrease >20%
HEAAL Kiernan et al. ²⁰ (2012)	Lsr 150 mg (828) vs. Lsr 50 mg (889)	Age Diabetes RAASi K+ Other factors	HR 1.18 [1.06-1.32] ^l , older age, per 10 years older HR 1.77 [1.42-2.22] ^l HR 1.51 [1.21-1.89] ^l , 150 mg Lsr (vs. 50 mg) HR 1.76 [1.40-2.22] ^l , ARB use HR 2.29 [1.35-3.90] ^l , ACEi intolerance due to AE HR 2.57 [2.15-3.07] ^l , bsl K+, per 1 mmol/l HR 1.41 [1.03-1.93] ^l , bsl diuretic use HR 1.20 [1.11-1.30] ^l , Hb, per 1g/dl lower HR 1.361 [1.152-1.608] ^d
Rossignol et al. ⁶⁵ (2014)	Lsr 150 mg (828) vs. Lsr 50 mg (889) 4.7 (3.7-5.5) years	Diabetes CKD RAASi K+ Other factors	HR 1.789 [1.489-2.150] ^d , incident 20% reduction in eGFR HR 0.984 [0.980-0.989] ^d , bsl eGFR HR 1.261 [1.065-1.493] ^d , MRA HR 2.517 [2.217-2.858] ^d , bsl K+ HR 0.942 [0.892-0.996] ^d , bsl Hb HR 0.801 [0.674-0.952] ^d , white NYHA III/IV, HR 1.289 [1.088-1.529] ^d
RALES RALES Investigators ⁶⁴ (1996)	Sp 12.5 (41), 25 (44), 50 (46), or 75 (41) mg vs. placebo (40) 12 wk	CKD RAASi	Bsl sCr Dose of Sp Type of ACEi (captopril vs. other) RR 2.93, high ACEi dosage

Table 3 (Continued)

Study (year)	Intervention vs. control (n) Follow-up duration ^a	Risk factor	Estimate [95% CI]
Vardeny et al. ⁷⁷ (2012)	Sp 25-50 mg qd vs. placebo	K+ RAASi	Bsl K+ OR 3.7 [2.5-5.7] ^m , Sp use ⁿ OR 1.53 [1.16-2.02] ^m , Sp use ⁿ , lower eGFR vs. higher eGFR OR 2.9 [1.8-4.6] ^m , Sp use ^o OR 3.1 [2.2-4.4] ^m , Sp use ^p OR 3.8 [1.2-6.4] ^m , Sp use ^q OR 3.6 [1.5-8.6] ^m , Sp use with WRF, with vs. without WRF HR 1.02 [1.0-1.03] ^r , age/1 year
Vardeny et al. ¹⁴ (2014)	Sp 25-50 mg qd (822) vs. placebo (841) 24 months	Age Diabetes RAASi K+ Other factors	HR 1.47 [1.09-2.0] ^r HR 3.20 [2.26-4.47] ^r , Sp HR 1.04 [1.03-1.05] ^r , dose of Sp/12.5 mg/day HR 5.96 [1.47-24.2] ^r , bsl ACEi/ARB use HR 1.94 [1.47-2.57] ^r , bsl K+/1 mmol/l HR 1.51 [1.11-2.05] ^r , NYHA HR 0.53 [0.31-0.90] ^r , bsl BB use HR 1.09 [1.0-1.2] ^r , bsl SBP/10 mmHg
SOLVD de Denus et al. ⁴¹ (2006)	ACEi Ena (3364) vs. placebo (3358) 2.7 (1.2) years	CKD RAASi K+ Other factors	HR 1.29 [1.01-1.65] ^r , sCr model OR 1.55 [1.23-1.95] ^r HR 1.85 [1.32-2.59] ^r , renal function, sCr model HR 1.30 [1.11-1.52] ^r , renal function, CG model OR 1.75 [1.33-2.31] ^r , Cr clearance 30-59 ml/min OR 4.2 [1.97-8.85] ^r , Cr clearance <30 ml/min HR 1.94 [1.56-2.40] ^r , Ena, sCr model HR 1.92 [1.54-2.39] ^r , Ena, CG model HR 1.26 [1.20-1.33] ^r , bsl K+/0.2-mmol/l increase, sCr model HR 1.26 [1.19-1.32] ^r , bsl K+/0.2-mmol/l increase, CG model OR 2.17 [1.77-2.66] ^r , bsl K+ ≥4.5 mmol/l HR 1.48 [1.00-2.18] ^r , atrial fibrillation, sCr model HR 1.53 [1.04-2.26] ^r , atrial fibrillation, CG model HR 1.60 [1.08-2.36] ^r , bsl NYHA III/IV (vs. I), sCr model HR 1.52 [1.02-2.26] ^r , bsl NYHA III/IV (vs. I), CG model OR 1.49 [1.10-2.01] ^r , bsl NYHA III/IV HR 2.00 [1.29-3.10] ^r , bsl loop diuretic use, prevention phase, sCr model HR 1.97 [1.26-3.07] ^r , bsl loop diuretic use, prevention phase, CG model
TIME-CHF Muzzarelli et al. ⁶⁰ (2012)	ACEi + ARB, Sp, NT-BNP-guided medical vs. standard symptom-guided therapy (566) 18 months	CKD	OR 1.11 [1.04-1.19] ^r , Cr/ 10 μmol/l

Table 3 (Continued)

Study (year)	Intervention vs. control (n) Follow-up duration ^a	Risk factor	Estimate [95% CI]
		RAASi	OR 1.20 [1.00-1.42] ^r , dose of Sp per 12.5 mg OR 2.52 [1.45-4.35] ^r , dose change 25 mg OR 3.24 [1.52-6.91] ^r , dose change >25 mg OR 1.36 [1.14-1.63] ^r , maximum dose Sp per 12.5 mg OR 1.28 [1.07-1.52] ^r , maximum dose changes per 12.5 mg OR 1.59 [1.19-2.14] ^r , mean dose per 12.5 mg
		K+	OR 2.92 [1.75-4.89] ^r , K+ per mmol/l
		Other factors	OR 2.56 [1.22-5.38] ^r , gout OR 3.08 [1.37-6.95] ^r , NYHA IV

^a Values are mean, mean (SD), or median (IQR), unless otherwise stated.

^b Hospitalization with a discharge diagnosis of hyperkalemia.

^c Adjusted for NYHA class.

^d K+ level >5.5 mmol/l.

^e K+ level >6.0 mmol/l.

^f Hyperkalemia readmission, primary diagnosis within 30 days.

^g Hyperkalemia readmission, any diagnosis within 30 days.

^h Hyperkalemia readmission, any diagnosis within 1 year.

ⁱ K+ level >5.9 mmol/l.

^j Hyperkalemia as serum potassium levels $\geq 10\%$ upper bound of the normal range of the practice's referral laboratory (most ≥ 5.5 mmol/l).

^l Hyperkalemia as an investigator-reported AE.

^m Hyperkalemia as potassium level >5.5 mmol/l or an investigator-reported AE.

ⁿ Baseline eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²: Sp (390), placebo (402).

^o Baseline eGFR ≥ 60 ml/min/1.73 m²: Sp (430), placebo (436).

^p No WRF: Sp (683), placebo (781).

^q WRF: Sp (139), placebo (60).

^r Potassium level >5.4 mmol/l.

^k Clinically important hyperkalemia.

ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; AE: adverse event; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; BB: beta blocker; bsl: baseline; CI: confidence interval; CKD: chronic kidney disease; CG: Cockcroft-Gault; COMPARE-HF: Comparative Effectiveness of Therapies for Heart Failure; Cr: creatinine; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; EMPHASIS-HF: Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and Survival Study in Heart Failure; Ena: enalapril; Epl: eplerenone; Hb: hemoglobin; Hct: hematocrit; HEAAL: Heart failure Endpoint evaluation of Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan; HFREF: heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HK: hyperkalemia; HR: hazard ratio; K+: potassium level; Lsr: losartan; LT: long term; MRA: mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NYHA: New York Heart Association class; OR: odds ratio; qd: once daily; RAASi: renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors; RALES: Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study; RR: risk ratio; SBP: systolic blood pressure; sCr: serum creatinine; SOLVD: Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction; Sp: spironolactone; ST: short term; TIME-CHF: Trial of Intensified versus Standard Medical Therapy in Elderly Patients with Congestive Heart Failure; WRF: worsening renal function.




Figure 2 Prevalence or incidence of hyperkalemia in observational studies (A) and interventional studies (B) in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction receiving renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors (RAASi), which defined hyperkalemia as serum potassium levels >5.5 mmol/l (gray bars) or >6.0 mmol/l (black bars). The RAASi analyzed are indicated below the study names. ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; Alis: aliskiren; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; ARTS: minerAlocorticoid Receptor Antagonist Tolerability Study; ATMOSPHERE: Aliskiren Trial to Minimize Outcomes in Patients with Heart Failure; BB: beta-blockers; BIOSTAT-CHF: Biology Study to Tailored Treatment in Chronic Heart Failure; DESTINY-HF: Diovan Evaluation of Safety Twice vs oNce daily study in Heart Failure; EMPHASIS-HF: Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and Survival Study in Heart Failure; Ena: enalapril; Epl: eplerenone; Fin: finerenone; HEAAL: Heart failure Endpoint evaluation of Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan; HF: heart failure; K: potassium; PARADIGM-HF: Prospective comparison of angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and morbidity in Heart Failure; RALES: Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study; Sac: sacubitril; Sp: spironolactone; TIME-CHF: Trial of Intensified versus Standard Medical Therapy in Elderly Patients with Congestive Heart Failure; Val: valsartan.

hematocrit <0.36,¹³ CKD alone^{41,56} or concurrent with diabetes,⁵⁸ and concomitant use of potassium-sparing diuretics plus trimethoprim or ACEi⁵⁸ (Table 3).

The study selection process identified 83 reports containing data on prescription/use/discontinuation of RAASi in HFrEF patients, of which 58 were from observational studies examining real-world clinical practice (Supplementary Table ST4). Of the 83 reports, 49 (59%) did not describe any reasons for RAASi downtitration or discontinuation, while 10 (12%) reports described these reasons but did not specifically include hyperkalemia as a reason. Finally, 24 (29%) reports included hyperkalemia as a reason for RAASi downtitration or discontinuation.

Twenty-four reports contained data on proportions of HFrEF patients in whom RAASi therapy was reduced or discontinued due to hyperkalemia. Of these, 11 reports were from observational studies^{7,8,46,47,50,57,67,69,73,75,78} and 13 were from clinical trials.^{20,28,30,31,43,48,61,62,70,71,79-81} Data on the studies that reported hyperkalemia as a reason to reduce or discontinue RAASi therapy in patients with HFrEF are summarized in Supplementary Table ST5. The ESC-HF

Long-Term Registry was the first pan-European large-scale study to collect and report reasons for non-use or underuse of recommended RAASi target doses in the daily care of HF, distinguishing HFrEF patients.⁸ In real-life settings, prescription or use levels of RAASi were reported to be from 78%⁶⁷ to 93%⁷⁸ for ACEi/ARB, 66%⁷ to 91%⁵⁷ for ACEi, 12%⁵⁷ to 28%⁴⁶ for ARB, and 16%⁴⁶ to 69%⁷ for MRA in patients with HFrEF. Moreover, another large-scale real-life study (QUALIFY) reported proportions of these patients at RAASi target doses of 28% for ACEi, 40% for ARB, and 99% for MRA.⁷ As shown in Figure 3, regarding discontinuation or intolerance of RAASi in real-life settings, the proportion of HFrEF patients varied from 3%⁸ to 22%⁶⁷ for ACEi/ARB, hyperkalemia being reported as a reason in 4%^{8,67} to 21%⁷³ of cases. Notably, MRA use was reported as discontinued or not tolerated in 3%⁸ to 46%⁶⁷ of patients, with hyperkalemia being reported as a reason in 8%⁶⁷ to 36%⁸ of cases (Figure 3).

Data were collected from 17 reports (14 studies)^{12,16,19-21,30,37,39,40,42,45,49,50,52,53,65,66} on the effect of hyperkalemia or of RAASi downtitration or discontinuation on the prognosis of patients with HFrEF (Supplementary




Figure 3 Percentage of patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction in observational studies (A) and interventional studies (B) that have a record of downtitration or discontinuation of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor (RAASi) therapy due to hyperkalemia. Not tolerated (black bars) corresponds to discontinuation of RAASi; contraindicated (gray bars) to non-use, non-prescription, or discontinuation; and downtitrated (white bars) to dose reduction, non-up-titration, or not at RAASi target doses. The RAASi analyzed are indicated below the study names. ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; Alis: aliskiren; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; ARTS: mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonist Tolerability Study; ATMOSPHERE: Aliskiren Trial to Minimize Outcomes in Patients with Heart Failure; Can: candesartan; CHARM: Candesartan in Heart Failure-Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity; EMPHASIS-HF: Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and Survival Study in Heart Failure; Ena: enalapril; Epl: eplerenone; ESC: European Society of Cardiology; Fin: finerenone; HF: heart failure; Lsr: losartan; LT: long term; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; MRA: mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; PARADIGM-HF: Prospective comparison of angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and morbidity in Heart Failure; QUALIFY: QUALity of adherence to guideline recommendations for Life-saving treatment in heart failure: an international survey; an observational study; Sac: sacubitril; SOLVD: Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction; Sp: spironolactone; TITRATION: Safety and Tolerability of Initiating LCZ696 in Heart Failure Patients; val: valsartan.

Table ST6). No reports were found on the effect of RAASi downtitration or discontinuation specifically due to hyperkalemia. Nevertheless, several real-life reports, e.g. GWTG-HF,⁴⁵ Kane et al.⁵⁰, QUALIFY⁵² and the TSOc-HFrEF registry,³⁹ showed that HFrEF patients who received lower RAASi dosages or discontinued RAASi therapy had a higher risk of mortality and/or hospitalization than those who received higher dosages or continued the therapy. In addition, a large-scale real-life study by Juurlink et al.¹⁹ and clinical trials such as HEAAL²⁰ and EMPHASIS-HF²¹ indicated that hyperkalemia was associated with increased mortality and/or hospitalizations in patients with HFrEF receiving RAASi.

Discussion

This systematic review set out to examine the reporting of hyperkalemia in patients with HFrEF receiving RAASi. As

hyperkalemia is associated with worse outcomes, it has been described as a reason for RAASi downtitration or discontinuation, preventing optimized RAASi therapy in HFrEF patients who most benefit from this therapy. The first objective in this systematic review was to examine the reporting of prevalence and incidence of hyperkalemia in patients with HFrEF receiving RAASi. The most obvious findings to emerge from the analysis is that hyperkalemia is frequent in 30% to 63% of these patients, depending on the study setting (clinical trial versus real-life), background HF therapy (combination RAASi therapy), age, and/or presence of comorbidities such as CKD or diabetes. These results match those observed in recent reviews, which suggest that the incidence of hyperkalemia in clinical trials is probably underestimated.^{11,82} That is, clinical trials usually select samples of HFrEF patients at lower risk of developing hyperkalemia, for instance by excluding patients with baseline creatinine >2.5 mg/dl or eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73 m². This might hinder the extrapolation

of results of clinical trials to real-life heterogeneous populations of patients with HFrEF with diverse etiologies, comorbidities, and concomitant drugs. At the same time, several authors showed that the real-life care of patients with HFrEF can vary significantly, being influenced by factors such as patient age, physician training, or frequency of potassium monitoring,^{12,24–26,83,84} which in turn might influence the incidence of severe hyperkalemia in these patients.

Studies on patients with HFrEF receiving RAASi therapy identified RAASi use, age, diabetes and CKD as risk factors for hyperkalemia, as expected. Conditions such as HF, CKD, diabetes and hypertension, as well as pharmacological therapies for these conditions, may interfere with the kidney's ability to maintain a balance between potassium ingestion and excretion.^{82,85} Moreover, the risk of hyperkalemia associated with the combined use of ACEi/ARB with MRA or other HF therapy such as beta-blockers is greater in real-life clinical practice than is observed in clinical trials.^{35,49,58} This is in line with our earlier observations regarding the prevalence of hyperkalemia in unselected and heterogeneous real-life populations versus highly selected, homogeneous clinical trial populations. For instance, small studies based on clinical practice registries, such as that performed by Anton et al.,¹³ report very high prevalences of hyperkalemia, due to the study design and patient cohort. This study included HF patients receiving spironolactone in combination with an ACEi or an ARB. In addition, compared with clinical trial populations, such as that of RALES,^{13,64} the population analyzed included higher proportions of patients with older age, diabetes, CKD, and hematocrit <0.36, which are all synergistic risk factors for developing hyperkalemia. The risk of developing hyperkalemia may become increasingly important in patients with HFrEF,^{3,4} as CKD, diabetes, and hypertension, along with RAASi-treated HF,^{2,4} are increasing in prevalence in developed countries with the aging of the population.

Some studies focused on the proportions of HFrEF patients achieving RAASi target doses and reducing or discontinuing RAASi therapy due to hyperkalemia. Consistent with recent though scarce reports,^{11,82} data collected from real-life studies indicate that RAASi may be underused in the treatment of HFrEF patients with indication to receive them.^{7,8,52} In addition, hyperkalemia was reported as a reason for discontinuation or intolerance in 4–46% of patients with HFrEF receiving ACEi/ARB or MRA. However, the role and weight of hyperkalemia as a contraindication to RAASi use are not well known. Few reports distinguished between non-prescription for an undocumented reason and non-prescription due to a contraindication. Moreover, most studies reporting levels of contraindication do not distinguish between patients who have received RAASi, and discontinued due to an incident contraindication, and those who did not receive RAASi due to a prior contraindication. Nevertheless, these data are relevant to HFrEF patients who were not receiving the recommended therapy. The current review found that around 60% of reports containing data on RAASi use did not describe any reasons for their reduction or discontinuation and that less than one-third included hyperkalemia as a reason. These findings are somewhat surprising. Nowadays, there is a widespread desire to implement practice guidelines for the chronic treatment of CV and renal

diseases, especially the prescription of RAASi drugs and their titration to recommended target doses.^{1,5,6,32,86} Nevertheless, we found a lack of reports on the incidence, clinical characteristics, and risk factors of HFrEF patients who reduced or discontinued RAASi specifically due to hyperkalemia, even though this is probably the most important clinical consequence of hyperkalemia, as it may indirectly affect the prognosis of patients with HFrEF and/or CKD, diabetes, and hypertension.^{9,11,12,66,82}

In our review of the literature, no data were found on the association between RAASi downtitration or discontinuation specifically due to hyperkalemia and risk of mortality and/or hospitalization in patients with HFrEF. However, several studies based on real-life settings reported that poor adherence to or downtitration or discontinuation of RAASi, regardless of cause, is associated with increased risk of mortality and/or hospitalization.^{39,45,50,52} The benefit of these prognosis-modifying drug therapies in patients with HFrEF is now well established by a variety of studies.⁸⁷ Recent developments in the treatment of hyperkalemia might change this scenario. New drugs that bind potassium in the digestive tract, such as patiromer and sodium zirconium cyclosilicate,^{88–90} may allow the use of higher target doses of RAASi in cardiac and renal patients, as recommended in the practice guidelines.^{1,5,6,32} Currently, physicians opt to reduce or discontinue RAASi in HFrEF patients at higher risk of developing hyperkalemia because there are no better therapeutic alternatives to chronically manage hyperkalemia.

Several limitations should be kept in mind when interpreting our data. First, our systematic review relied on only one database for the identification of potentially eligible studies (MEDLINE/PubMed), which increased the possibility of missing relevant references. Secondly, most studies assessing the risk of hyperkalemia in patients with HFrEF receiving RAASi therapy had low sample sizes, few events or both. Thirdly, a potential source of bias for the study is the use of different definitions of HFrEF between studies, based on the investigators' criteria, diagnostic codes, or different LVEF cut-offs <50%. Moreover, different definitions of hyperkalemia were used between studies, such as a discharge diagnosis of hyperkalemia, an adverse event reported by an investigator, or different cut-offs of potassium levels.¹¹ Finally, observational real-life studies were based on available medical records, which appears to have led to under-reporting of reasons for RAASi downtitration or discontinuation and hyperkalemia. This under-reporting may also apply to clinical trials, as hyperkalemia is generally regarded as a secondary outcome, and hence not a subject of comprehensive literature reporting and indexing. Further investigation and systematic reviewing of the available evidence with assessment of the quality of studies is strongly recommended.

On the other hand, one of the main strengths of this review is the inclusion of reports from real-life clinical practice. Despite its exploratory nature, this work offers some insight into the impact of hyperkalemia associated with RAASi use in unselected populations, as most clinical trials do not reflect daily practice. The findings of this scoping systematic review may help others to prioritize the chronic management of hyperkalemia in patients with HFrEF receiving RAASi, as a relevant clinical benefit should be expected.

Conclusions

Hyperkalemia and RAASi downtitration or discontinuation are frequent, particularly in real-life studies. HFrEF patients at higher risk of developing hyperkalemia present comorbidities such as CKD, diabetes, and use of dual or triple therapy including RAASi and concurrent HF therapy. Although hyperkalemia has long been regarded as a reason for RAASi non-prescription, downtitration or discontinuation, it has been disregarded as a major topic in the literature.

Additional studies are needed to develop a full picture of HFrEF patients at higher risk of RAASi non-prescription, downtitration or discontinuation due to hyperkalemia in real-life settings. Moreover, further investigations will be required to assess the longer-term prognostic impact of the chronic management of hyperkalemia in real-life populations of HFrEF patients receiving RAASi. The combination of these findings will provide support for better clinical decisions to improve the prognosis of patients with HFrEF and indication to receive RAASi.

Funding

This work was supported by OM Pharma (Amadora, Portugal) for the payment of medical writing support. OM Pharma had no role in the study design, or in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data, or in the writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The views expressed in the manuscript are those of the authors and not necessarily those of OM Pharma.

Conflicts of interest

Author Cândida Fonseca has received fees for serving as a speaker, consultant and/or advisory board member from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Bayer, Novartis, Orion, Servier, and Vifor Pharma (companies that develop, market and/or distribute treatments and/or tests related to heart failure). Author Dulce Brito has received fees for serving as a speaker and/or consultant from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Orion, Pfizer, Servier, and Vifor Pharma. Author Patrícia Branco has received fees for serving as a speaker, consultant and/or advisory board member from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Bayer, Lilly and Vifor Pharma. Author João Miguel Frazão has received fees for serving as a speaker, consultant and/or advisory board member from Amgen and Vifor Pharma. Author José Silva-Cardoso has received fees for serving as a speaker and/or consultant, or research grants from Abbott, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Bial, Boehringer Ingelheim, Menarini, Merck Serono, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Orion, Pfizer, Sanofi, Servier, and Vifor Pharma. Author Paulo Bettencourt has received fees for serving as a speaker and/or consultant from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Roche Diagnostics, Servier, and Vifor Pharma.

Acknowledgments

Under the direction of the authors, Ana Morgado, Ph.D., of Scientific ToolBox Consulting (Lisbon, Portugal) provided medical writing support which was funded by OM Pharma (Amadora, Portugal).

References

1. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:2129–200.
2. Gouveia M, Ascensão R, Fiorentino F, et al. Current costs of heart failure in Portugal and expected increases due to population aging. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2020 Jan;39:3–11.
3. Gouveia M, Ascencao R, Fiorentino F, et al. The current and future burden of heart failure in Portugal. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2019;6:254–61.
4. Fonseca C, Bras D, Araujo I, et al. Heart failure in numbers: estimates for the 21st century in Portugal. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2018;37:97–104.
5. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on clinical practice guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:776–803.
6. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Chronic heart failure in adults: diagnosis and management. London, UK: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; 2018. Available at: [nice.org.uk/guidance/ng106](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng106). [accessed 16 May 2019].
7. Komajda M, Anker SD, Cowie MR, et al. Physicians' adherence to guideline-recommended medications in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: data from the QUALIFY global survey. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2016;18:514–22.
8. Maggioni AP, Anker SD, Dahlstrom U, et al. Are hospitalized or ambulatory patients with heart failure treated in accordance with European Society of Cardiology guidelines? Evidence from 12,440 patients of the ESC Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2013;15:1173–84.
9. Epstein M, Reaven NL, Funk SE, et al. Evaluation of the treatment gap between clinical guidelines and the utilization of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors. *Am J Manag Care*. 2015;21:S212–20.
10. Ouwerkerk W, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. Determinants and clinical outcome of uptitration of ACE-inhibitors and beta-blockers in patients with heart failure: a prospective European study. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1883–90.
11. Rosano GMC, Tamargo J, Kjeldsen KP, et al. Expert consensus document on the management of hyperkalaemia in patients with cardiovascular disease treated with renin angiotensin aldosterone system inhibitors: coordinated by the Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. 2018;4:180–8.
12. Beusekamp JC, Tromp J, van der Wal HH, et al. Potassium and the use of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: data from BIOSTAT-CHF. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2018;20:923–30.

13. Anton C, Cox AR, Watson RD, et al. The safety of spironolactone treatment in patients with heart failure. *J Clin Pharm Ther.* 2003;28:285–7.
14. Vardeny O, Claggett B, Anand I, et al. Incidence, predictors, and outcomes related to hypo- and hyperkalemia in patients with severe heart failure treated with a mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2014;7:573–9.
15. Aguilar D. Heart failure, diabetes mellitus, and chronic kidney disease: a clinical conundrum. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2016;9:e003316.
16. Brunner-La Rocca HP, Knackstedt C, Eurlings L, et al. Impact of worsening renal function related to medication in heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015;17:159–68.
17. Hoss S, Elizur Y, Luria D, et al. Serum potassium levels and outcome in patients with chronic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2016;118:1868–74.
18. An JN, Lee JP, Jeon HJ, et al. Severe hyperkalemia requiring hospitalization: predictors of mortality. *Crit Care.* 2012;16:R225.
19. Juurlink DN, Mamdani MM, Lee DS, et al. Rates of hyperkalemia after publication of the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:543–51.
20. Kiernan MS, Wentworth D, Francis G, et al. Predicting adverse events during angiotensin receptor blocker treatment in heart failure: results from the HEAAL trial. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2012;14:1401–9.
21. Rossignol P, Dobre D, McMurray JJ, et al. Incidence, determinants, and prognostic significance of hyperkalemia and worsening renal function in patients with heart failure receiving the mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist eplerenone or placebo in addition to optimal medical therapy: results from the Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and Survival Study in Heart Failure (EMPHASIS-HF). *Circ Heart Fail.* 2014;7:51–8.
22. Dunn JD, Benton WW, Orozco-Torrentera E, et al. The burden of hyperkalemia in patients with cardiovascular and renal disease. *Am J Manag Care.* 2015;21:s307–15.
23. Chang AR, Sang Y, Leddy J, et al. Antihypertensive medications and the prevalence of hyperkalemia in a large health system. *Hypertension.* 2016;67:1181–8.
24. Nilsson E, Gasparini A, Arnlov J, et al. Incidence and determinants of hyperkalemia and hypokalemia in a large healthcare system. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;245:277–84.
25. Peters-Klimm F, Laux G, Campbell S, et al. Physician and patient predictors of evidence-based prescribing in heart failure: a multilevel study. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e31082.
26. Schmidt M, Mansfield KE, Bhaskaran K, et al. Adherence to guidelines for creatinine and potassium monitoring and discontinuation following renin-angiotensin system blockade: a UK general practice-based cohort study. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7:e012818.
27. Shah KB, Rao K, Sawyer R, et al. The adequacy of laboratory monitoring in patients treated with spironolactone for congestive heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;46:845–9.
28. McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, et al. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371:993–1004.
29. Pitt B, Zannad F, Remme WJ, et al. The effect of spironolactone on morbidity and mortality in patients with severe heart failure. Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study Investigators. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341:709–17.
30. Zannad F, McMurray JJ, Krum H, et al. Eplerenone in patients with systolic heart failure and mild symptoms. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364:11–21.
31. Kostis JB, Shelton B, Gosselin G, et al. Adverse effects of enalapril in the Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD). SOLVD Investigators. *Am Heart J.* 1996;131:350–5.
32. Levin A, Stevens P, Bilous R, et al. Kidney disease: Improving global outcomes (KDIGO) CKD work group KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney International Supplements.* 2013;3:1–150.
33. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6:e1000097.
34. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic reviews. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York, UK: University of York; 2009. Available at: http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/index_guidance.htm [accessed 1 May 2018].
35. Abbas S, Ihle P, Harder S, et al. Risk of hyperkalemia and combined use of spironolactone and long-term ACE inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker therapy in heart failure using real-life data: a population- and insurance-based cohort. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2015;24:406–13.
36. Anand IS, Deswal A, Kereiakes DJ, et al. Comparison of once-daily versus twice-daily dosing of valsartan in patients with chronic stable heart failure. *Vasc Health Risk Manag.* 2010;6:449–55.
37. Bowling CB, Sanders PW, Allman RM, et al. Effects of enalapril in systolic heart failure patients with and without chronic kidney disease: insights from the SOLVD Treatment trial. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;167:151–6.
38. Cavallari LH, Groo VL, Viana MA, et al. Association of aldosterone concentration and mineralocorticoid receptor genotype with potassium response to spironolactone in patients with heart failure. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2010;30:1–9.
39. Chang HY, Wang CC, Wei J, et al. Gap between guidelines and clinical practice in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: Results from TSOC-HFrEF registry. *J Chin Med Assoc.* 2017;80:750–7.
40. De Blois J, Fagerland MW, Grundtvig M, et al. ESC guidelines adherence is associated with improved survival in patients from the Norwegian Heart Failure Registry. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother.* 2015;1:31–6.
41. de Denus S, Tardif JC, White M, et al. Quantification of the risk and predictors of hyperkalemia in patients with left ventricular dysfunction: a retrospective analysis of the Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) trials. *Am Heart J.* 2006;152:705–12.
42. Deticek A, Locatelli I, Roblek T, et al. Therapy modifications during hospitalization in patients with chronic heart failure. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2016;29:52–8.
43. Filippatos G, Anker SD, Bohm M, et al. A randomized controlled study of finerenone vs. eplerenone in patients with worsening chronic heart failure and diabetes mellitus and/or chronic kidney disease. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:2105–14.
44. Frohlich H, Nelges C, Tager T, et al. Long-term changes of renal function in relation to ace inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker dosing in patients with heart failure and chronic kidney disease. *Am Heart J.* 2016;178:28–36.
45. Gilstrap LG, Fonarow GC, Desai AS, et al. Initiation, continuation, or withdrawal of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers and outcomes in patients hospitalized with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6.
46. Gladowski P, Fetterolf D, Beals S, et al. Analysis of a large cohort of health maintenance organization patients with congestive heart failure. *Am J Med Qual.* 2003;18:73–81.
47. Goland S, Naugolny V, Korbut Z, et al. Appropriateness and complications of the use of spironolactone in patients treated in a heart failure clinic. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2011;22:424–7.
48. Hamroff G, Blaufarb I, Mancini D, et al. Angiotensin II-receptor blockade further reduces afterload safely in patients maximally treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors for heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 1997;30:533–6.
49. Hernandez AF, Mi X, Hammill BG, et al. Associations between aldosterone antagonist therapy and risks of mortality and

- readmission among patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *JAMA*. 2012;308:2097–107.
50. Kane JA, Kim JK, Haidry SA, et al. Discontinuation/dose reduction of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers during acute decompensated heart failure in African-American patients with reduced left-ventricular ejection fraction. *Cardiology*. 2017;137:121–5.
 51. Ko DT, Juurlink DN, Mamdani MM, et al. Appropriateness of spironolactone prescribing in heart failure patients: a population-based study. *J Card Fail*. 2006;12:205–10.
 52. Komajda M, Cowie MR, Tavazzi L, et al. Physicians' guideline adherence is associated with better prognosis in outpatients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: the QUALIFY international registry. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2017;19:1414–23.
 53. Konstam MA, Neaton JD, Dickstein K, et al. Effects of high-dose versus low-dose losartan on clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure (HEAAL study): a randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet*. 2009;374:1840–8.
 54. Lachaine J, Beauchemin C, Ramos E. Use, tolerability and compliance of spironolactone in the treatment of heart failure. *BMC Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;11:4.
 55. Lee KK, Shilane D, Hlatky MA, et al. Effectiveness and safety of spironolactone for systolic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013;112:1427–32.
 56. Lima MV, Ochiai ME, Cardoso JN, et al. Hyperkalemia during spironolactone use in patients with decompensated heart failure. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2008;91:94–9, 177–182.
 57. Lopes RJ, Lourenço AP, Mascarenhas J, et al. Safety of spironolactone use in ambulatory heart failure patients. *Clin Cardiol*. 2008;31:509–13.
 58. Michel A, Martin-Perez M, Ruigomez A, et al. Risk factors for hyperkalaemia in a cohort of patients with newly diagnosed heart failure: a nested case-control study in UK general practice. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2015;17:205–13.
 59. Mitrovic V, Appel KF, Proskynitopoulos N, et al. Effects of candesartan cilexetil "add-on" treatment in congestive heart failure outpatients in daily practice. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2009;98:379–89.
 60. Muzzarelli S, Maeder MT, Toggweiler S, et al. Frequency and predictors of hyperkalemia in patients ≥ 60 years of age with heart failure undergoing intense medical therapy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2012;109:693–8.
 61. Peters-Klimm F, Muller-Tasch T, Schellberg D, et al. Guideline adherence for pharmacotherapy of chronic systolic heart failure in general practice: a closer look on evidence-based therapy. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2008;97:244–52.
 62. Pitt B, Kober L, Ponikowski P, et al. Safety and tolerability of the novel non-steroidal mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist BAY 94-8862 in patients with chronic heart failure and mild or moderate chronic kidney disease: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:2453–63.
 63. Polson M, Lord TC, Kangethe A, et al. Clinical and economic impact of hyperkalemia in patients with chronic kidney disease and heart failure. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm*. 2017;23:S2–9.
 64. Investigators RALES. Effectiveness of spironolactone added to an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and a loop diuretic for severe chronic congestive heart failure (the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study [RALES]). *Am J Cardiol*. 1996;78:902–7.
 65. Rossignol P, Dobre D, Gregory D, et al. Incident hyperkalemia may be an independent therapeutic target in low ejection fraction heart failure patients: insights from the HEAAL study. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;173:380–7.
 66. Ryden L, Armstrong PW, Cleland JG, et al. Efficacy safety of high-dose lisinopril in chronic heart failure patients at high cardiovascular risk including those with diabetes mellitus. Results from the ATLAS. *Eur Heart J*. 2000;21:1967–78.
 67. Saheb Sharif-Askari N, Sulaiman SA, Saheb Sharif-Askari F, et al. Assessment of guideline adherence in hospitalised heart failure patients with systolic dysfunction in Dubai. United Arab Emirates. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;172:e491–3.
 68. Saito M, Nakayama D, Takada M, et al. Carvedilol accelerate elevation of serum potassium in chronic heart failure patients administered spironolactone plus furosemide and either enalapril maleate or candesartan cilexetil. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2006;31:535–40.
 69. Saito M, Takada M, Hirooka K, et al. Serum concentration of potassium in chronic heart failure patients administered spironolactone plus furosemide and either enalapril maleate, losartan potassium or candesartan cilexetil. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2005;30:603–10.
 70. Sato N, Ajioka M, Yamada T, et al. Randomized controlled study of finerenone A. eplerenone in Japanese patients with worsening chronic heart failure vs. diabetes /or chronic kidney disease. *Circ J*. 2016;80:1113–22.
 71. Senni M, McMurray JJ, Wachter R, et al. Initiating sacubitril/valsartan (LCZ696) in heart failure: results of TITRATION, a double-blind, randomized comparison of two uptitration regimens. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2016;18:1193–202.
 72. Solomon SD, Claggett B, McMurray JJ, et al. Combined neprilysin and renin-angiotensin system inhibition in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2016;18:1238–43.
 73. Steinman MA, Dimaano L, Peterson CA, et al. Reasons for not prescribing guideline-recommended medications to adults with heart failure. *Med Care*. 2013;51:901–7.
 74. Svensson M, Gustafsson F, Galatius S, et al. How prevalent is hyperkalemia and renal dysfunction during treatment with spironolactone in patients with congestive heart failure? *J Card Fail*. 2004;10:297–303.
 75. Tamirisa KP, Aaronson KD, Koelling TM. Spironolactone-induced renal insufficiency hyperkalemia in patients with heart failure. *Am Heart J*. 2004;148:971–8.
 76. Vardeny O, Cavallari LH, Claggett B, et al. Race influences the safety and efficacy of spironolactone in severe heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2013;6:970–6.
 77. Vardeny O, Wu DH, Desai A, et al. Influence of baseline and worsening renal function on efficacy of spironolactone in patients with severe heart failure: insights from RALES (Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:2082–9.
 78. Witham MD, Gillespie ND, Struthers AD. Tolerability of spironolactone in patients with chronic heart failure - a cautionary message. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2004;58:554–7.
 79. Young JB, Dunlap ME, Pfeffer MA, et al. Mortality and morbidity reduction with candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction: results of the CHARM low-left ventricular ejection fraction trials. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2618–26.
 80. Zannad F, van den Broek SA, Bory M. Comparison of treatment with lisinopril versus enalapril for congestive heart failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 1992;70:78C–83C.
 81. McMurray JJ, Krum H, Abraham WT, et al. Aliskiren, enalapril, or aliskiren and enalapril in heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:1521–32.
 82. Tromp J, van der Meer P. Hyperkalaemia: aetiology, epidemiology, and clinical significance. *Eur Heart J Suppl*. 2019;21:A6–11.
 83. Costantini O, Huck K, Carlson MD, et al. Impact of a guideline-based disease management team on outcomes of hospitalized patients with congestive heart failure. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161:177–82.
 84. Brunner-La Rocca HP, Linssen GC, Smeele FJ, et al. Contemporary drug treatment of chronic heart failure with reduced

- ejection fraction: the CHECK-HF Registry. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2019;7:13–21.
85. Palmer BF. Managing hyperkalemia caused by inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:585–92.
 86. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology and the European Society of Hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology and the European Society of Hypertension. *J Hypertens.* 2018;36:1953–2041.
 87. Komajda M, Böhm M, Borer JS, et al. Incremental benefit of drug therapies for chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a network meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2018;20:1315–22.
 88. Anker SD, Kosiborod M, Zannad F, et al. Maintenance of serum potassium with sodium zirconium cyclosilicate (ZS-9) in heart failure patients: results from a phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015;17:1050–6.
 89. Packham DK, Rasmussen HS, Lavin PT, et al. Sodium zirconium cyclosilicate in hyperkalemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372:222–31.
 90. Pitt B, Bakris GL, Bushinsky DA, et al. Effect of patiromer on reducing serum potassium and preventing recurrent hyperkalemia in patients with heart failure and chronic kidney disease on RAAS inhibitors. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015;17:1057–65.