Revista Portuguesa de **Cardiologia** 

Portuguese Journal of Cardiology

www.revportcardiol.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Optimizing prognosis in atrial fibrillation: A call to action in Portugal



Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia

Jorge Ferreira<sup>a,\*</sup>, Natália António<sup>b,c</sup>, Nuno Cortez-Dias<sup>d</sup>, Luciana Ricca Gonçalves<sup>e</sup>, João Sargento-Freitas<sup>c,f</sup>, Pedro von Hafe<sup>g,h</sup>, Victor Gil<sup>i,j</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, Hospital de Santa Cruz, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Carnaxide, Portugal

<sup>b</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>c</sup> Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>d</sup> Serviço de Cardiologia, Departamento de Coração e Vasos, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisboa, Portugal

- <sup>e</sup> Serviço de Imuno-hemoterapia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Porto, Portugal
- <sup>f</sup> Servico de Neurologia, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
- <sup>g</sup> Serviço de Medicina Interna, Centro Hospitalar de S. João, Porto, Portugal
- <sup>h</sup> Departamento de Medicina da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal

<sup>i</sup> Unidade Cardiovascular, Hospital Lusíadas Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>j</sup> Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

Received 21 April 2020; accepted 10 July 2020

**KEYWORDS** 

Atrial fibrillation; Stroke; Bleeding; Heart failure **Abstract** Atrial fibrillation (AF), the most common arrhythmia in the adult population worldwide, represents a significant burden in terms of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity and has repercussions on health economics. Oral anticoagulation (OAC) is key to stroke prevention in AF and, in recent years, results from landmark clinical trials of non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants (NOAC) have triggered a paradigm shift in thrombocardiology. Despite these advances, there is still a significant residual vascular risk associated with silent AF, bleeding, premature sudden death and heart failure.

The authors review AF epidemiologic data, the importance of new tools for early AF detection, the current role of catheter ablation for rhythm control in AF, the state-of-the-art in periprocedural OAC, the optimal management of major bleeding, the causes of residual premature death and future strategies for improvements in AF prognosis.

© 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* jorge\_ferreira@netcabo.pt (J. Ferreira).

<sup>2174-2049/© 2020</sup> Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Fibrilhação auricular; Acidente vascular cerebral; Hemorragia; Insuficiência cardíaca

#### Otimizar o prognóstico na fibrilhação auricular: um apelo à ação em Portugal

**Resumo** A arritmia mais comum na população adulta em todo o mundo, a fibrilhação auricular (FA), contribui decisivamente para a elevada mortalidade e morbilidade cardiovascular, com repercussões na economia da saúde. A anticoagulação oral (ACO) é a chave para a prevenção do acidente vascular cerebral na FA. Nos últimos anos, os resultados dos grandes ensaios clínicos com os ACO não antagonista da vitamina K mudaram o paradigma na trombocardiologia. Apesar deste avanço, o risco vascular residual associado à FA silenciosa, hemorragia, morte súbita prematura e insuficiência cardíaca continua a ser significativo.

Os autores fazem uma revisão dos dados epidemiológicos da FA, a importância das novas ferramentas para a deteção precoce da FA, o papel atual da ablação por cateter no controlo do ritmo na FA, o estado da arte na ACO periprocedimento, a gestão ideal de hemorragias graves, as causas de morte prematura residual e estratégias futuras para a melhoria do prognóstico da FA.

© 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# Introduction: Atrial fibrillation, a public health concern

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia in the adult population worldwide, associated with high morbidity, increased mortality risk and impaired quality of life.<sup>1</sup> Considering the aging population, the increase in predisposing factors for AF, and the improvement in healthcare with increased survival rates, AF is becoming a major and growing public health concern involving significant expenditure on health resources.<sup>2</sup>

Stroke is the leading cause of acquired disability and the second leading cause of mortality worldwide.<sup>3</sup> In Portugal, stroke leads the causes of mortality representing 11% of all deaths, 71% of which are ischemic in nature.<sup>4,5</sup> Although the global incidence of stroke is decreasing, cardioembolic stroke has tripled in recent decades with underlying AF in at least 20% of cases.<sup>6,7</sup> The key preventive therapy for stroke associated with AF is anticoagulation.<sup>1</sup>

A decade after a paradigm shift in thrombocardiology, with the advent of non-vitamin K or direct oral anticoagulants (NOACs),<sup>8</sup> the present document aims to reflect on future strategies for stroke prevention in AF (SPAF), and to further optimize overall AF prognosis in Portugal.

The authors of the present document are cardiologists, neurologists, internal medicine specialists, and immunohematologists, who work in the Portuguese National Health Service (NHS) and private hospitals (including emergency departments), and academic centers. The opinions expressed herein are based on their clinical and organizational experience and are supported by national and international evidence and guidelines. The authors undertake a review of AF epidemiologic data, consider the importance of new tools for early AF detection, the current role of catheter ablation (CA) for rhythm control in AF and the state-of-the-art periprocedural oral anticoagulation (OAC), optimal management of major bleeding, causes of residual premature death and future strategies for AF prognosis.

# International and national atrial fibrillation epidemiologic data

The 2010 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study estimated a global age-adjusted prevalence of up to 33.5 million patients with AF in 2010, representing approximately 0.5% of the entire world population and reaching 2.5–3.5% of the population in many countries.<sup>2</sup> Prevalence is likely to have been underestimated, as the GBD study did not include silent AF, a subclinical asymptomatic type of AF. This study showed that the age-adjusted incidence rates of AF were higher in developed countries compared with developing countries, with greater rates found in older individuals.<sup>2</sup> Data from the Framingham Heart Study showed that the lifetime risk for development of AF in men and women aged  $\geq$ 40 years is approximately one in four.<sup>9</sup>

In Europe, the estimated prevalence of AF is expected to increase from 8.8 million adults in 2010 to approximately 18 million by the year 2060,<sup>10</sup> and the current estimated prevalence of AF in the general population is about 3.0%.

In Portugal, the FAMA study, a large-scale cross-sectional epidemiological study conducted in 2009, reported an AF prevalence of 2.5% in individuals aged  $\geq$ 40 years, showing an increased prevalence with age, predominantly in the age group  $\geq$ 70 years.<sup>11</sup> The real prevalence of AF may have been underestimated as the frequency of paroxysmal AF was not accounted for in these data. In 2017, Primo et al. assessed the overall prevalence of AF and atrial flutter in individuals  $\geq$ 40 years using 24-hour electrocardiographic monitoring; the prevalence observed was 12.4%.<sup>12</sup> Similarly, a recent population-based study in elderly Portuguese subjects, the SAFIRA study, estimated an AF prevelance of 9.0%.<sup>13</sup>

AF has been associated with an increased risk of stroke, heart failure (HF), thromboembolism, cognitive decline, dementia, and death.<sup>1</sup> Notably, AF increases the risk of ischemic stroke up to five-fold<sup>14,15</sup> and overall, AF-related strokes are more severe and frequently fatal.<sup>16</sup> However, the high efficacy of treatment with oral anticoagulation using vitamin K antagonists (VKA, e.g. warfarin) has made AF-related strokes largely preventable, reducing their relative risk by 64% and all-cause mortality by 26% compared with control or placebo.<sup>17</sup> In recent years, NOACs have been developed to overcome some of the clinical limitations inherent to VKA therapy, especially the need for frequent laboratory monitoring, significant food and drug interactions and higher risk of intracranial bleeding.<sup>1</sup> NOACs (dabigatran etexilate, rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban) have shown to be equally as or more effective than VKA for SPAF (supplementary material).<sup>8,18-20</sup> The use of NOACs reduced stroke or systemic embolism by 19% and all-cause mortality by 10% in recent meta-analyses that compared the four NOACs with warfarin.<sup>21</sup> Moreover, a low incidence of ischemic stroke and major bleeding was also found in a global registry of long-term treatment with dabigatran, further confirming the safety and effectiveness of NOACs for SPAF.<sup>22</sup>

A nationwide cohort study performed in Taiwan showed that, although overall anticoagulation use was suboptimal, a lower risk of ischemic stroke and mortality was associated with increasing prescription rates of OAC, thus supporting the introduction of NOACs into clinical practice.<sup>23</sup> In Portugal, the increased use of NOACs for stroke prevention in AF is considered to be one of the major causes of the observed decline in ischemic stroke mortality, according to data from the Portuguese National Health Directory 2017.<sup>4</sup>

# Screening and early diagnosis of atrial fibrillation

Ischemic strokes resulting from AF are common and frequently fatal, yet, at the same time, they are largely preventable with OAC therapy.<sup>1</sup> However, a relevant proportion of stroke patients is only diagnosed with silent AF after having suffered a stroke, failing at the primary prevention of the vascular event.<sup>24</sup> A recent meta-analysis found that approximately a quarter of patients are newly diagnosed with AF after sequential cardiac monitoring following a stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA).<sup>25</sup> Similarly, the Portuguese SAFIRA epidemiological study found that 36% of the population with AF was unaware of having this condition and that 17% of them were diagnosed with paroxysmal AF.<sup>13</sup>

The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Guidelines for AF proposes the opportunistic screening of arrhythmia by pulse check or electrocardiogram (ECG) strip in people aged  $\geq$ 65 years, as a class I recommendation.<sup>1</sup> The same class of recommendation is advocated for the interrogation of cardiac implantable electronic devices and sub-cutaneous implantable cardiac monitors (ICMs) for detecting atrial high rate episodes.

The cause of approximately one third of all ischemic strokes remains unexplained after routine evaluation, therefore being classified, by exclusion, as cryptogenic. AF is very often associated with a stroke event initially labeled as cryptogenic.<sup>26,27</sup> Two randomized trials have shown that a prolonged rhythm monitoring strategy may be crucial to identify an AF episode that would not have been detected with conventional follow-up after a cryptogenic stroke event (Supplementary material).<sup>28,29</sup> The EMBRACE study found an AF incidence of 16% with a 30-day ECG monitoring strategy compared with an incidence of 3.2% in the control group undergoing 24-hr Holter monitoring.<sup>28</sup> Similarly, the CRYSTAL-AF trial compared a six to 12 month monitoring strategy with a subcutaneous ICM versus the standard followup for detecting AF.<sup>29</sup> AF was identified 9% and 12.4% of patients in the ICM group, versus 1.4% and 2.0% in the control group, after six and 12 months respectively.<sup>29</sup> The AF episodes detected during the study were most frequently asymptomatic and paroxysmal (74% and 79% at six and 12 months within the ICM group).<sup>29</sup> In patients with ischemic stroke or TIA, the ESC Guidelines suggest screening for AF using continuous ECG monitoring for at least 72 hours as a class I recommendation.<sup>1</sup> More prolonged ECG monitoring with noninvasive monitors or implantable loop recorders should be considered in these patients to detect silent AF (class IIa recommendation).<sup>1</sup>

We are currently witnessing a paradigm shift in screening tools to improve the early detection of subclinical AF.<sup>30,31</sup> Numerous devices have been developed based on different technologies. Handheld single-ECG devices (e.g. AliveCor Kardia, Mydiagnostick) operating with automated algorithms have demonstrated a good predictive diagnostic accuracy (positive predictive value ranging from 54.8 to 88.9% and negative predictive value between 91.1 and 96.1%).<sup>32</sup> Automated blood pressure monitors (e.g. Omron M6, Microlife BP A200 Plus) can identify pulse irregularity associated with AF (positive predictive value 81.5 to 83% and negative predictive value 98 to 100%).<sup>33</sup> Patch ECG monitors (e.g. Zio - iRhythm, Cardiostat - Icentia, Nuvant - Corventis) allow prolonged rhythm monitoring and were more sensitive than 24-h Holter monitoring for AF detection.<sup>34</sup> Finally, photoplethysmography (PPG) technology applied to mobile phones and smartwatches may play a key role in more widespread detection of AF. The Apple Heart Study, which assessed the performance of a smartwatch PPG-based algorithm in a broad population of over 400 000 participants, for the detection of pulse irregularity that might reveal previously unknown AF, presented a positive predictive value of 71% (Supplementary material).<sup>35</sup> Notably, an important finding to overcome concerns about potential over-notification is that only 0.5% of the participants received an irregular pulse notification. The Huawei Heart Study also demonstrated the usefulness of PPG-based technology in AF screening, with a positive predictive value of 91.6% (Supplementary material).<sup>36</sup> Any attempt to make indirect comparisons on the predictive performance of the various devices should take into account the dependence of the predictive value of a test on the prevalence of the disease.<sup>37</sup>

### Catheter ablation in atrial fibrillation

CA is a well-established and effective treatment strategy for symptomatic AF patients.<sup>1</sup> In fact, it is more effective than antiarrhythmic drug therapy in patients with symptomatic paroxysmal, persistent, and probably long-standing persistent AF.<sup>38</sup>

The greater efficacy of CA in maintaining sinus rhythm has given rise to the hypothesis that this therapy might be superior to a rate control strategy in reducing major clinical outcomes, which in patients under appropriate OAC is similar to pharmacologic rhythm control.<sup>39</sup> In the CABANA trial, which enrolled 2204 patients with new-onset or untreated AF requiring therapy, CA was not superior to pharmacologic therapy in reducing the combined primary outcome of death, disabling stroke, serious bleeding or cardiac arrest (HR 0.86, CI 95% 0.65 to 1.15) at four years (Supplementary material).<sup>40</sup> However, CA significantly reduced the relative risks of the secondary endpoints of death or cardiovascular hospitalization by 17% and recurrent AF by 48%. Moreover, CA was superior to drug therapy in reducing the relative risks of the primary endpoint by 33% and all-cause death by 40% in the treatment received analysis. However, several methodological issues, such as elevated cross-over rates between treatment arms, have limited the generalizability of the CABANA trial results. On the other hand, the CASTLE-AF trial,<sup>41</sup> which randomized 363 patients with AF and HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) to CA or standard treatment, showed evidence of prognostic benefit after three years of follow-up, with CA significantly reducing the primary outcome of death or hospitalization for HF (HR 0.62, CI 95% 0.43 to 0.87) (Supplementary material). Results from the ongoing EAST-AFNET 4 Trial may help to establish the role of CA in improving outcomes, if applied early after the initial diagnosis of AF.<sup>42</sup>

Although CA is considered a relatively safe procedure, there are some rare but severe periprocedural complications associated with the technique, with the most serious adverse events being stroke and severe bleeding.<sup>43</sup> To reduce the risk of thromboembolic complications, patients should not discontinue OAC therapy (uninterrupted strategy) before CA.<sup>1</sup> In the COMPARE trial, periprocedural stroke and bleeding complications were significantly reduced by the uninterrupted warfarin strategy compared to bridging with low-molecular-weight heparin (Supplementary material).<sup>44</sup>

The uninterrupted anticoagulation strategy for CA was also assessed with NOACs (Table 1).45-48 The VENTURE-AF trial was the first prospective randomized trial to compare the use of uninterrupted rivaroxaban versus warfarin in patients undergoing AF CA.<sup>45</sup> Results from this trial led to the conclusion that the use of uninterrupted rivaroxaban was feasible and that the bleeding event rates and ischemic outcomes were low and similar to those of uninterrupted warfarin therapy. Similar results were observed with other factor Xa (FXa) inhibitors, apixaban in the AXAFA-AFNET 5 trial, and edoxaban in the ELIMINATE-AF trial, when compared with uninterrupted warfarin (Table 1). In the RE-CIRCUIT trial, uninterrupted dabigatran was associated with fewer bleeding complications than uninterrupted warfarin, corresponding to a significant absolute risk reduction of 5.3% and a relative risk reduction (RRR) of 77% in major bleeding.46

In the RE-CIRCUIT trial, patients receiving dabigatran required a similar amount of heparin as those under warfarin to achieve the target activated clotting time (ACT) during ablation, whereas patients treated with FXa inhibitors required approximately 25% more heparin than those allocated to warfarin.<sup>45,48,49</sup> This difference may be attributed to the distinct pharmacodynamic effects on the detection or non-detection of the anticoagulant effect by ACT, for the thrombin inhibitor dabigatran and for the FXa inhibitors, respectively.<sup>49</sup>

Although the previous results are relevant to clinical practice, they are derived from clinical trials with highly

selected young populations.<sup>50</sup> Considering this, Yanagisawa et al. conducted a retrospective study to specifically assess the efficacy and safety of uninterrupted NOAC use in elderly patients undergoing CA in AF.<sup>51</sup> In this study, the elderly group (age  $\geq$ 75 years) had a significantly higher number of periprocedural bleeding events when compared to the younger group, but no statistically significant differences were found between the patients taking NOAC or warfarin in both subgroups. Even if these results are not completely unexpected, they underscore age as an important risk factor for bleeding and the need to monitor these patients more closely during the periprocedural period.

Overall, findings from the previous clinical trials support the idea of using uninterrupted NOAC during CA in AF, which corroborates the latest 2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of AF.<sup>52</sup>

# Oral anticoagulation optimization to fit patient profile

Knowledge of patient history and clinical characteristics is essential to optimize OAC therapy and reduce AF-related-risks, complications and mortality. $^{53}$ 

Several stroke risk stratification scores in AF patients have been developed to estimate the risk of thromboembolism to support the decision to initiate OAC.<sup>54–56</sup> The CHA2DS2-VASc score is one of the most referenced stroke risk stratification scores in international guidelines concerning antithrombotic prophylaxis in AF.<sup>55,56</sup> This score integrates known stroke risk factors, such as age ( $\geq$ 75 and 65 to 74 years), congestive HF (signs/symptoms of HF or reduced left ventricular ejection fraction), hypertension (blood pressure >140/90 mmHg on at least two occasions or current antihypertensive treatment), diabetes (fasting glucose  $\geq$ 126 mg/dL or treatment with oral hypoglycemic agent and/or insulin), prior stroke, TIA or thromboembolism, vascular disease (previous myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease or aortic plaque) and female individuals.<sup>55</sup>

Other risk markers, such as impaired renal function, certain biomarkers and left atrial enlargement can improve risk stratification but the gain in predictive value does not compensate the complexity of the scores that include them.<sup>57-59</sup> However, they can be useful in specific patients for risk stratification.

The benefit of OAC in patients presenting a CHA2DS2-VASc risk score  $\geq 2$  in men and  $\geq 3$  in women, is strongly supported by clinical evidence.<sup>1,60</sup> On the other hand, in intermediaterisk patients (CHA2DS2-VASc score 1 in men and 2 in women) the evidence is not as robust and the therapeutic strategies still pose challenges in clinical practice, with the need to weigh up the individual benefit of reducing thromboembolic risk and the risk of bleeding – the concept of net clinical benefit.<sup>1,60-62</sup> Based on data from the large phase III trials of NOACs, the ESC Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy and the ESC Council on Stroke state that in patients with a single stroke risk factor, NOAC with a superior net-clinical benefit should be preferred over vitamin K antagonists (VKAs).<sup>61</sup>

Concurrently, patient bleeding risk should also be assessed when defining the therapeutic strategy and as part

Study	AXAFA – AFNET 5 <sup>47</sup>	RE-CIRCUIT <sup>46</sup>	ELIMINATE-AF <sup>48</sup>	VENTURE-AF <sup>45</sup>
Uninterrupted	Apixaban	Dabigatran	Edoxaban	Rivaroxaban
NOAC				
Population	633	635	632	248
Mean age (years)	64**	59.2	59.5	59.6
CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc	2.4	2.1	NR	1.6
lschemic stroke or TIA*	0.6% vs. 0%	0% vs. 0.3%	0.3% vs. 0%	0% vs. 0.8% (NS)
Major Bleeding* (HR; CI 95% CI)	3.1% vs. 4.4% (NS)	1.6% vs. 6.9% (0.22; 0.08-0.59)	2.5% vs. 1.5% (NS)	0% vs. 0.4% (NS)
Total unit of heparin units*	NR	12 402 vs. 11910 (NS)	14 261 vs. 11 473 (p<0.0001)	13 871 vs. 10 964 (p<0.001)
Median TTR in warfarin group	84%	66%	65%	NR

Table 1Randomized trials comparing uninterrupted strategies of non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant versus warfarin in patientsundergoing catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation.

\* NOAC vs. warfarin.

\*\* Median.

CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio; NOAC: non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant; NR: not reported; NS: non-significant; TIA: transient ischemic attack; TTR: time in target range.

of clinical practice management.<sup>56</sup> The HAS-BLED score is the most commonly used in AF patients, and has been validated in patients on aspirin, OAC (VKA or NOAC) and no antithrombotic therapy, with a high predictive value for hemorrhagic events, especially intracranial hemorrhage, in different situations.<sup>56,63</sup> Since it also relies upon modifiable bleeding risk factors (uncontrolled hypertension, excessive alcohol consumption or concomitant use of other drugs that may influence the bleeding risk), and the stroke risk usually outweighs the high bleeding risk, a high HAS-BLED score of  $\geq$ 3 generally is not a reason to avoid or discontinue anticoagulation; instead, it indicates that the patient should have regular reviews of potential causes of bleeding and efforts to reduce the modifiable bleeding risk factors should be made.<sup>1,53,56</sup>

Another factor that must be considered for treatment optimization is the patient's ability to comply with treatment. Success in VKA treatment depends on anticoagulation quality control; on the other hand, patients with poor compliance will not benefit from NOACS. They have a short half-life, therefore missing a few doses will ultimately lead to sub-therapeutic drug concentrations.<sup>64</sup> In both situations, if proper treatment adherence is not achieved, a higher risk of stroke and mortality is observed.<sup>65-67</sup>

There are other important factors in OAC choice that highlight the need to assess whether dose reductions or switch are required, such as advanced age, abnormally low weight, renal insufficiency, specific bleeding risk (e.g. gastrointestinal) and drug interactions.<sup>53,64,68,69</sup> The absence of these risks should also be considered, as in the case of patients under the age of 75 years, in which dabigatran 150 mg significantly reduced the relative risks of stroke/systemic embolism (SE), major bleeding and all-cause death by 37%, 30% and 23% versus warfarin, respectively, or patients with normal renal function (CrCl>80 ml/min) in which the risk of stroke/SE was higher in patients on edoxaban compared with warfarin.<sup>70,71</sup>

The existing evidence from clinical trials and large observational studies in different settings and populations, of different available therapeutic options, allows for the choice and management of the best OAC to fit patients' characteristics (Table 2).

#### Management of major bleeding

Anticoagulant are associated with increased risk of bleeding, generally induced by traumatic, inflammatory or neoplastic vascular injury. In the GARFIELD-AF study, enrolling 28 628 patients with AF and 63% under OAC, the rate of first occurrence of clinically relevant bleeding in patients with or without OAC was 3.0%, with a fatal outcome in 6.9% of the events over two year follow-up.<sup>72</sup> Subjects on OAC were 73% more likely to experience clinically relevant bleeding. Indeed, bleeding was associated with higher mortality, representing 6% of the causes of death in patients with AF on OAC,<sup>73</sup> and was even more problematic in the presence of multiple morbidities, high risk medications, polypharmacy, or drug-drug interactions.<sup>74</sup> Notwithstanding these factors, the net clinical benefit of contemporary OAC versus no treatment was overwhelming, avoiding 50 strokes and 30 deaths, at the cost of two intracranial and one fatal bleeding event per 1000 patients treated over one year.<sup>75</sup>

In clinical trials, NOACs reduced the relative risks of bleeding by 14% for major, 52% for intracranial and 47% for fatal events compared with warfarin.<sup>21,76</sup> Despite their increased safety, the use of NOACs is generally associated with increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding<sup>21</sup> and a fatal outcome is present in 9 to 20% of patients who suffer a major bleeding event.<sup>77-79</sup> In this setting, adherence to recommended management of bleeding guidelines is mandatory (Table 3).<sup>1,64</sup>

The 2016 ESC Guidelines for AF state that the first step in the management of patients with active bleeding

Patient subset	First choice		
Nonvalvular AF, paroxysmal, persistent or permanent, with a $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc risk score $\ge 2$ in men and $\ge 3$ in	OAC is recommended and NOACs are preferred over VKAs		
women Nonvalvular AF on VKA with TTR >70%	Continue with VKA; consider NOAC if complication; SAMe-TT <sub>2</sub> R <sub>2</sub> score >2; patients preference		
$CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc 1 in men and 2 in women	OAC should be considered Dabigatran (150 mg twice daily is preferred) or apixaban may be considered		
Stable coronary artery disease or peripheral artery disease	Monotherapy with a NOAC		
Mechanical prosthetic heart valves or moderate/severe	VKA		
(rheumatic) mitral stenosis	NOACs should not be used		
Secondary stroke prevention	NOACs as a group are superior to warfarin		
High risk of gastrointestinal bleeding	Apixaban 5 mg twice daily or dabigatran 110 mg twice daily may be used		
Renal impairment	CrCl 30-49 mL/min: Apixaban 5 mg twice daily (apixaban 2.5 mg twice a day if $\geq$ 1 additional criteria: age $\geq$ 80 years, body weight $\leq$ 60 kg, serum creatinine $\geq$ 1.5 mg/dL are present), rivaroxaban 15 mg daily, edoxaban 30 mg once daily or dabigatran 110 mg twice daily		
Elderly	$\geq$ 75 years, we suggest apixaban 5 mg twice daily [2.5 mg if $\geq$ 2 of the following: age $\geq$ 80 years, body weight $\leq$ 60 kg, or creatinine $\geq$ 1.5 mg/dL]		
Age <75 years with preserved renal function	Dabigatran 150 mg twice daily may be considered		

 Table 2
 Choosing a specific oral anticoagulant and dose for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation in patient subsets.

AF: atrial fibrillation; CrCl: creatinine clearance; NOAC: non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant; OAC: oral anticoagulant; VKA: Vitamin K antagonist.

#### Table 3 Management of active bleeding in patients on oral anticoagulation.<sup>9,73</sup>

White

- Identify the bleeding site and apply local hemostatic measures
- Obtain history of OAC (type and last dose) delay next OAC dose

• Assess hemodynamic (blood pressure) and laboratorial (basic coagulation, blood count and kidney function parameters) status Light gray

- Add symptomatic treatment fluid replacement and blood transfusion
- Treat bleeding cause (e.g. endoscopy or surgery)

Gray

• Consider specific reversal agent (e.g. idarucizumab for dabigatran and andexanet alfa for FXa inhibitors)

• Consider PCC if no specific reversal agent available

OAC: oral anticoagulant; PCC: prothrombin complex concentrates. White: Minor/Moderate/Severe; Light gray: Moderate/Severe; Gray: Severe.

is the application of local hemostatic measures by means of mechanical compression.<sup>1</sup> However, this is not sufficient or even possible in several bleeding scenarios. In hemorrhages occurring in deep organs, this therapeutic approach is only possible using invasive endoscopic or surgical methods, which is not feasible in the context of systemic anticoagulation.

Also, in hemorrhages at critical sites or associated with hemodynamic instability, reversal of OAC is mandatory, to improve hemostasis. For this purpose, reversal of OAC is also critical, to allow spontaneous hemostasis.<sup>64</sup>

The reversal of VKAs includes the administration of vitamin K, prothrombin complex concentrates (PCC) or fresh frozen plasma (if PCC unavailable).<sup>80</sup> Vitamin K administration is intravenous, leading to a sustained but not immediate correction of coagulopathy. For patients with major bleeding, in order to achieve a rapid correction, PCC should be concomitantly administrated, according to international normalized ratio (INR) and weight of the patient (PCC – 25 U/kg for INR 2-4; 35 U/kg for INR 4-6 and 50 U/kg if INR>6).<sup>76</sup> When PCC is not available, fresh frozen plasma is used (10-15 mL/kg); however, it presents several disadvantages (e.g. it requires ABO blood typing and thawing, it has lower concentration of coagulation factors and higher volumes are needed when compared to PCC, which can cause circulatory overload).<sup>80</sup>

Idarucizumab is approved as the specific reversal agent for dabigatran. It is an antigen-binding fragment of a humanized monoclonal antibody with a binding affinity approximately 350-fold more potent than dabigatran's affinity for thrombin.<sup>1,64,81</sup> In the RE-VERSE AD trial, an open label and single-arm study that enrolled 503 patients with uncontrolled bleeding or undergoing an urgent procedure, idarucizumab ( $2 \times 2.5$  g intravenous) rapidly, durably and safely reversed the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran (Supplementary material).<sup>81</sup> If unavailable, PCC (25-50 U/kg) can be used as an alternative.<sup>80</sup>

Andexanet alfa is a modified recombinant inactive form of human factor Xa that binds FXa inhibitors with an affinity similar to that of native FXa. It was recently approved as a specific reversal agent for the FXa inhibitors apixaban and rivaroxaban. The ANNEXA-Atrial, an open label and singlearm study, enrolled 352 patients with acute major bleeding (Supplementary material).<sup>82</sup> This trial demonstrated that and exanet alfa reduced anti-FXa activity substantially. This agent is administered as a bolus (400 mg for apixaban or rivaroxaban >7 h; 800 mg for enoxaparin, edoxaban or rivaroxaban <7 h) followed by a two-hour infusion (480 mg for apixaban or rivaroxaban >7 h; 960 mg for enoxaparin, edoxaban or rivaroxaban <7 h). For FXa inhibitors underevaluated in the ANNEXA-4, namely edoxaban, a coagulation factor supplementation with PCCs is recommended, as well as for the other agents in the absence of andexanet alfa.<sup>80</sup> The reversal agent ciraparantag, reported to bind all the NOACs, is undergoing phase III assessment.<sup>80</sup>

Reversal of the anticoagulation effect with specific agents may improve survival in patients with lifethreatening bleeds. Indeed, although the RE-VERSE AD and ANNEXA-4 trials did not include a control group, 30-day mortality for intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) was 16.4% in patients managed with idarucizumab and 21.6% in those managed with andexanet alfa.<sup>81,82</sup> These ICH mortality rates are notably lower than those observed in the pivotal SPAF NOAC trials (from 35 to 45%), independent of OAC with VKAs or NOACs, where patients were managed without the use of specific reversal agents.<sup>78,81-83</sup>

European Guidelines recommend restarting OAC after a bleeding event in all eligible patients following assessment by a multidisciplinary AF team, considering different OAC and stroke prevention interventions, improved management of factors that contributed to the bleeding, and stroke risk.<sup>1</sup> It is essential to treat the culprit vascular lesion before restarting OAC therapy. The majority of culprit lesions can be rapidly identified during diagnostic work-up of gastrointestinal and urinary tract bleeds.84,85 The role of a multidisciplinary team, comprised of stroke physicians/neurologists, cardiologists, internal medicine specialists, surgeons, neuroradiologists, immunohematologists and nurses is essential to this process, where not only when starting but also when re-starting OAC, the communication strategies, sharing of knowledge, trust, and mutual respect are crucial to patient education, compliance and treatment adherence.<sup>1,86</sup>

In patients with a contraindication for OAC treatment, left atrial appendage (LAA) occlusion may be considered for SPAF.<sup>1</sup> Most of the evidence on the benefit of LAA occlusion in SPAF comes from the PROTECT-AF and PREVAIL trials (Supplementary material).<sup>87,88</sup> In these studies LAA occlusion was



Figure 1 Causes of death in patients with a trial fibrillation under oral anticoagulation.  $^{73}\,$ 

CV: cardiovascular; SE: systemic embolism.

non-inferior to VKA treatment for the prevention of stroke with lower bleeding rates.<sup>1</sup>

# Further prognostic optimization in atrial fibrillation

Despite the great progress made in stroke prevention, death remains the most frequent major event in patients with AF on OAC.<sup>21</sup> Cardiovascular causes account for 64% and vascular causes (embolism and bleeding) for 12% of all-cause deaths (Figure 1).<sup>73</sup> Sudden cardiac death (SCD) and HF account for 43% of total mortality. NOACs showed a significant 10% reduction in all-cause death when compared to warfarin, mainly driven by a significant reduction of 50% in the relative risk of fatal bleeding.<sup>73</sup> In the RE-LY trial, dabigatran was associated with a significant relative reduction of 37% in vascular death.<sup>89</sup> Optimal treatment for HF defined by the combined use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI)/angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) and beta-blocker (BB) was associated with a significant relative reduction of 41% in SCD in patients with HF.<sup>89</sup>

Another area of particular interest in AF is HF; they frequently coexist and deteriorate each other.<sup>90-92</sup> The prevalence of AF increases with the severity of HF and is a marker of disease progression. On the other hand, AF is a predictor of mortality in patients with HF and HF is a major risk factor for stroke in AF.<sup>93,94</sup> In this setting, CA significantly reduced the relative risks of all-cause death and hospitalizations for HF by 47% and 44%, respectively in the aforementioned CASTLE-AF study.<sup>41</sup>

Ablation was associated with a lower risk of ischemic stroke compared with medical therapy in a real-world population enrolled in a Swedish registry.<sup>95</sup> The AF burden would

benefit from primary prevention and management of the shared underlying risk factors with SCD and HF. Further interventions, beyond appropriate anticoagulation, are necessary to reduce the risk of outcomes other than stroke, in patients with AF. This improvement includes the appropriate management and treatment of relevant comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, obesity, obstructive sleep apnea and thyroid disease, as well as healthy lifestyle changes<sup>96</sup>; abstinence from alcohol significantly reduced AF recurrence.<sup>97</sup> Retrospective analysis from randomized trials of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors have reported a lower incidence of new-onset AF in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM)98 and significant reductions in CV death or hospitalization for HF in patients with AF, CV disease and T2DM.<sup>99</sup> Similarly, the use of ACEI/ARB, BB or eplerenone in patients with HFrEF has been associated with a lower incidence of new-onset AF.<sup>1</sup>

# Conclusions & future strategies

Epidemiological data at a global, European and national level reveal the pandemic nature of AF and some recent studies point to a reduction in stroke events with greater access to OAC via NOACs.

Considering that a significant proportion of the total AF population is asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic, early detection in these undiagnosed patients is crucial for a timely start of OAC therapy in order to avoid ischemic stroke from being the first clinical manifestation of AF, as well as strategies to prevent AF progression to HF and other complications. Therefore, new technologies and tools aiming at screening and for simple, timely, and accurate AF detection will need to be implemented in routine clinical practice.

There is increasing evidence that AF CA has a prognostic impact, particularly in some patients with HF and severely reduced ejection fraction. However, to achieve the best risk-benefit ratio, the procedure should be performed under uninterrupted anticoagulation.

Even though antithrombotic prophylaxis with OAC reduces the risk of ischemic stroke in AF, major bleeding is the most frequent adverse reaction, representing 6% of the causes of death in patients with AF on OAC. Specific patient clinical characteristics may influence the bleeding risk. Therefore, choosing the appropriate OAC and the right dose that fits the patient profile better is essential in order to optimize therapy and reduce the risk of non-fatal and fatal hemorrhages in AF patients.

Managing major bleeding in patients on OAC can pose challenges. Current management focuses on the expedited search for the underlying vascular injury and local hemostasis. However, in the setting of invasive procedures, reversal of OAC is critical to undertake this therapeutic approach.

NOACs reduce premature death in patients with AF, but in the ideal scenario of widespread use of these agents, more than 40% of fatalities are due to SCD and HF. Therefore, patients with AF need evidence-based therapeutic strategies for the prevention of HF and SCD, on the development of pragmatic randomized controlled trials with these events as primary outcomes.

# **Conflicts of interest**

JF – Consulting and lecture fees from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme and Novartis.

NA - No competing financial interests or personal relationships that could influence the work reported in this paper.

NCD - Consulting and lecture fees from Abbott, Medtronic, Biosense Webster, Boston Scientific, Boehringer Ingelheim, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Daiichi-Sankyo.

LRG - Consulting and lecture fees from Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Meyer Squibb, CSL-Behring, Daiichi-Sankyo, Leo, Pfizer

JSF - Travel and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Bayer, BMS-Pfizer and Daiichi-Sankyo.

PvH - Consulting and lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Menarini and Novartis.

VG - Consulting or lecture fees from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bayer, BMS, Pfizer, Daichii-Sankyo

# Funding

This paper had a non-restrictive financial support of Boehringer Ingelheim.

# Acknowledgments

The Authors acknowledge writing assistance provided by Ana Santos from Prime Focus, funded by Boehringer Ingelheim. The support provided by Rachel Melo from Boehringer Ingelheim deserves special recognition.

### Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.repc. 2020.07.011.

### References

- 1. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Zamorano JL, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2893–962.
- 2. Chugh SS, Havmoeller R, Narayanan K, et al. Worldwide epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: a global burden of disease 2010 study. Circulation. 2014;129:837–47.
- **3.** Naghavi M, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet. 2017;390:1151–210.
- Ferreira RC, Macedo EM, Neves CR, et al. Programa Nacional para as Doenças Cérebro-cardiovasculares. Direção geral da Saúde; 2017. Available at: https://www.dgs.pt/ficheirosde-upload-2013/aaaaaaaaaa\_pndccv-2017-temp-pdf.aspx (assessed 25.03.20).
- 5. Instituto Nacional de Estatística. Causas de morte: 2015; 2017. Available at: https://www.ine.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid= INE&xpgid=ine\_publicacoes&PUBLICACOESpub\_boui=277099566 &PUBLICACOESmodo=2 [assessed 25.03.20].
- 6. Kamel H, Healey JS. Cardioembolic stroke. Circ Res. 2017;120:514-26.

- 7. Sargento-Freitas J, Silva F, Isidoro L, et al. Atrial fibrillation in cerebrovascular disease: national neurological perspective. Acta Med Port. 2013;26:86–92.
- Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2009;361:1139–51.
- **9.** Lloyd-Jones DM, Wang TJ, Leip EP, et al. Lifetime risk for development of atrial fibrillation: the framingham heart study. Circulation. 2004;110:1042–6.
- **10.** Krijthe BP, Kunst A, Benjamin EJ, et al. Projections on the number of individuals with atrial fibrillation in the European Union, from 2000 to 2060. Eur Heart J. 2013;34:2746–51.
- Bonhorst D, Mendes M, Adragão P, et al. Prevalência de fibrilhação auricular na população portuguesa com 40 ou mais anos. Estudo FAMA. Rev Port Cardiol. 2010;29:331–50.
- Primo J, Gonçalves H, Macedo A, et al. Prevalence of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in a population assessed by continuous 24-hour monitoring. Rev Port Cardiol. 2017;36:535-46.
- **13.** Monteiro P, The SAFIRA. study: A reflection on the prevalence and treatment patterns of atrial fibrillation and cardiovascular risk factors in 7500 elderly subjects. Rev Port Cardiol. 2018;37:307–13.
- Wolf PA, Abbott RDKW. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for stroke: the Framingham study. Stroke. 1991:983–9.
- Peters NS, Schilling RJ, Kanagaratnam P, et al. Atrial fibrillation: strategies to control, combat, and cure. Lancet. 2002;359:593-603.
- 16. Kimura K, Minemaisu K, Yamaguchi T. Atrial fibrillation as a predictive factor for severe stroke and early death in 15 831 patients with acute ischaemic stroke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2005;76:679–83.
- Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Ann Intern Med. 2007;146:857–67.
- Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011;365:883–91.
- Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJV, et al. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011;365:981–92.
- Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, et al. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:2093-104.
- **21.** Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet. 2014;383:955–62.
- 22. Huisman MV, Rothman KJ, Paquette M, et al. Two-year followup of patients treated with dabigatran for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: Global Registry on Long-Term Antithrombotic Treatment in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation (GLORIA-AF) registry. Am Heart J. 2018;198:55–63.
- 23. Chao TF, Chiang CE, Lin YJ, et al. Evolving changes of the use of oral anticoagulants and outcomes in patients with newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation in Taiwan. Circulation. 2018;138:1485–7.
- 24. Ziegler PD, Glotzer TV, Daoud EG, et al. Detection of previously undiagnosed atrial fibrillation in patients with stroke risk factors and usefulness of continuous monitoring in primary stroke prevention. Am J Cardiol. 2012;110:1309–14.
- 25. Sposato LA, Cipriano LE, Saposnik G, et al. Diagnosis of atrial fibrillation after stroke and transient ischaemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Neurol. 2015;14:377–87.
- **26.** Elijovich L, Josephson SA, Fung GL, et al. Intermittent atrial fibrillation may account for a large proportion of otherwise cryptogenic stroke: a study of 30-day cardiac event monitors. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2009;18:185–9.

- 27. Kamel H. Heart-rhythm monitoring for evaluation of cryptogenic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:2532–3.
- Gladstone DJ, Spring M, Dorian P, et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with cryptogenic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:2467-77.
- Sanna T, Diener H-C, Passman RS, et al. Cryptogenic stroke and underlying atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2014;370: 2478–86.
- **30.** Freedman B. Screening for atrial fibrillation. Circulation. 2017;135:1851-67.
- **31.** Jones NR, Taylor CJ, Hobbs FDR, et al. Screening for atrial fibrillation: a call for evidence. Eur Heart J. 2020;41:1075–85.
- **32.** Desteghe L, Raymaekers Z, Lutin M, et al. Performance of handheld electrocardiogram devices to detect atrial fibrillation in a cardiology and geriatric ward setting. Europace. 2017;19:29–39.
- Marazzi G, Iellamo F, Volterrani M, et al. Comparison of Microlife BP A200 Plus and Omron M6 blood pressure monitors to detect atrial fibrillation in hypertensive patients. Adv Ther. 2012;29:64–70.
- 34. Barrett PM, Komatireddy R, Haaser S, et al. Comparison of 24-hour Holter monitoring with 14-day novel adhesive patch electrocardiographic monitoring. Am J Med. 2014;127, 95.e11–95.e17.
- **35.** Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, et al. Large-scale assessment of a smartwatch to identify atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2019;381:1909–17.
- Guo Y, Wang H, Zhang H, et al. Mobile photoplethysmographic technology to detect atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;74:2365–75.
- Śimundić AM. Measures of diagnostic accuracy: basic definitions. EJIFCC. 2009;19:203–11.
- Calkins H, Reynolds MR, Spector P, et al. Treatment of atrial fibrillation with antiarrhythmic drugs or radiofrequency ablation: two systematic literature reviews and meta-analyses. Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol. 2009;2:349–61.
- Al-Khatib SM, LaPointe NMA, Chatterjee R, et al. Rate- and rhythm-control therapies in patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2014;160:760–73.
- 40. Packer DL, Mark DB, Robb RA, et al. Effect of catheter ablation vs antiarrhythmic drug therapy on mortality, stroke bleeding, and cardiac arrest among patients with atrial fibrillation: the CABANA Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2019;321: 1261–74.
- **41.** Marrouche NF, Brachmann J, Andresen D, et al. Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation with heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:417–27.
- **42.** Kirchhof P, Breithardt G, Camm AJ, et al. Improving outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation: rationale and design of the early treatment of atrial fibrillation for stroke prevention trial. Am Heart J. 2013;166:442–8.
- Guo XY, Ma CS. Atrial fibrillation ablation: Indications, outcomes, complications, and future directions. Chin Med J (Engl). 2017;130:1891–3.
- 44. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Santangeli P, et al. Periprocedural stroke and bleeding complications in patients undergoing catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation with different anticoagulation management: Results from the role of coumadin in preventing thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation (AF) patients undergoing catheter ablation (COMPARE) randomized trial. Circulation. 2014;129:2638–44.
- **45.** Cappato R, Marchlinski FE, Hohnloser SH, et al. Uninterrupted rivaroxaban vs. uninterrupted vitamin K antagonists for catheter ablation in non-valvular atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J. 2015;36:1805–11.
- 46. Calkins H, Willems S, Gerstenfeld EP, et al. Uninterrupted dabigatran versus warfarin for ablation in atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2017;376:1627–36.

- **47.** Kirchhof P, Haeusler KG, Blank B, et al. Apixaban in patients at risk of stroke undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation. Eur Heart J. 2018;39:2942–55.
- **48.** Hohnloser SH, Camm J, Cappato R, et al. Uninterrupted edoxaban vs. Vitamin K antagonists for ablation of atrial fibrillation: the ELIMINATE-AF trial. Eur Heart J. 2019;40:3013–21.
- **49.** Calkins H, Willems S, Verma A, et al. Heparin dosing in uninterrupted anticoagulation with dabigatran vs. warfarin in atrial fibrillation ablation: RE-CIRCUIT study. EP Eur. 2019:1–7.
- Di Biase L, Romero J. Safety and efficacy of uninterrupted direct oral anticoagulant administration in elderly patients undergoing catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2018;4:601–3.
- 51. Yanagisawa S, Inden Y, Fujii A, et al. Uninterrupted direct oral anticoagulant and warfarin administration in elderly patients undergoing catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation: a comparison with younger patients. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2018;4:592–600.
- 52. Calkins H, Hindricks G, Cappato R, et al. 2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation. Hear Rhythm. 2017;14:e275-444.
- 53. Freedman B, Potpara TS, Lip GYH. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. Lancet. 2016;388:806–17.
- 54. Gage BF, Waterman AD, Shannon W, et al. Validation of clinical classification schemes for predicting stroke: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Med Assoc. 2001;285:2864–70.
- **55.** Lip GYH, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, et al. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach. Chest. 2010;137:263–72.
- 56. Lip GYH, Freedman B, de Caterina R, et al. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: past, present and future comparing the guidelines and practical decision-making. Thromb Haemost. 2017;117:1230–9.
- 57. Singer DE, Chang Y, Borowsky LH, et al. A new risk scheme to predict ischemic stroke and other thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation: the ATRIA study stroke risk score. J Am Heart Assoc. 2013;2:e000250.
- 58. Hijazi Z, Lindbäck J, Alexander JH, et al. The ABC (age, biomarkers, clinical history) stroke risk score: a biomarkerbased risk score for predicting stroke in atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:1582–90.
- **59.** Hamatani Y, Ogawa H, Takabayashi K, et al. Left atrial enlargement is an independent predictor of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. Sci Rep. 2016;6:31042.
- Lim WY, Khan F. Management of atrial fibrillation: recommendations from NICE. Br J Hosp Med. 2015;76:C108-12.
- 61. Sulzgruber P, Wassmann S, Semb AG, et al. Oral anticoagulation in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation and a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 1: a current opinion of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy and European Society of Cardiology Council on Stroke. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother. 2019;5:171–80.
- **62.** Lip G, Skjøth F, Nielsen P, et al. Non-valvular atrial fibrillation patients with none or one additional risk factor of the CHA2DS2-VASc score. Thromb Haemost. 2015;114:826–34.
- **63.** Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, et al. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation. Chest. 2010;138:1093–100.
- **64.** Steffel J, Verhamme P, Potpara TS, et al. The 2018 European Heart Rhythm Association Practical Guide on the use of nonvitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J. 2018;39:1330–93.
- **65.** Martinez C, Katholing A, Wallenhorst C, et al. Therapy persistence in newly diagnosed non-valvular atrial fibrillation treated

with warfarin or NOAC. A cohort study. Thromb Haemost. 2016;115:31–9.

- **66.** Gorst-Rasmussen A, Skjøth F, Larsen TB, et al. Dabigatran adherence in atrial fibrillation patients during the first year after diagnosis: a nationwide cohort study. J Thromb Haemost. 2015;13:495–504.
- **67.** Shore S, Carey EP, Turakhia MP, et al. Adherence to dabigatran therapy and longitudinal patient outcomes: insights from the Veterans Health Administration. Am Heart J. 2014;167:810–7.
- **68.** Diener HC, Aisenberg J, Ansell J, et al. Choosing a particular oral anticoagulant and dose for stroke prevention in individual patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation: part 1. Eur Heart J. 2017;38:852–9.
- **69.** Diener HC, Aisenberg J, Ansell J, et al. Choosing a particular oral anticoagulant and dose for stroke prevention in individual patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation: Part 2. Eur Heart J. 2017;38:860–8.
- **70.** Lauw MN, Eikelboom JW, Coppens M, et al. Effects of dabigatran according to age in atrial fibrillation. Heart. 2017;103:1015–23.
- 71. Bohula EA, Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, et al. Impact of renal function on outcomes with edoxaban in the ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Trial. Circulation. 2016;134:24-36.
- 72. Bassand JP, Accetta G, Al Mahmeed W, et al. Risk factors for death, stroke, and bleeding in 28,628 patients from the GARFIELD-AF registry: rationale for comprehensive management of atrial fibrillation. PLOS ONE. 2018;13:1–17.
- **73.** Gómez-Outes A, Lagunar-Ruíz J, Terleira-Fernández AI, et al. Causes of death in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:2508–21.
- **74.** Chang SH, Chou IJ, Yeh YH, et al. Association between use of non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants with and without concurrent medications and risk of major bleeding in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. JAMA. 2017;318:1250–9.
- **75.** Eikelboom JW, Quinlan DJ, Connolly SJ, et al. Dabigatran efficacy-safety assessment for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Thromb Haemost. 2012;10:966–8.
- **76.** Caldeira D, Rodrigues FB, Barra M, et al. antagonist oral anticoagulants and major bleeding-related fatality in patients with atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart. 2015;101:1204–11.
- Majeed A, Hwang HG, Connolly SJ, et al. Management and outcomes of major bleeding during treatment with dabigatran or warfarin. Circulation. 2013;128:2325–32.
- **78.** Held C, Hylek EM, Alexander JH, et al. Clinical outcomes and management associated with major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with apixaban or warfarin: Insights from the ARISTOTLE trial. Eur Heart J. 2015;36:1264–72.
- **79.** Piccini JP, Garg J, Patel MR, et al. Management of major bleeding events in patients treated with rivaroxaban vs. warfarin: results from the ROCKET AF trial. Eur Heart J. 2014;35: 1873–80.
- 80. Tomaselli GF, Mahaffey KW, Cuker A, et al. 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on Management of Bleeding in Patients on Oral Anticoagulants: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70:3042–67.
- Pollack CV, Reilly PA, Van Ryn J, et al. Idarucizumab for dabigatran reversal – full cohort analysis. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:431–41.
- Connolly SJ, Crowther M, Eikelboom JW, et al. Full study report of andexanet alfa for bleeding associated with factor Xa inhibitors. N Engl J Med. 2019;380:1326–35.
- **83.** Hart RG, Diener HC, Yang S, et al. Intracranial hemorrhage in atrial fibrillation patients during anticoagulation with warfarin or dabigatran: the RE-LY trial. Stroke. 2012;43:1511–7.
- **84.** Desai J, Kolb JM, Weitz JI, et al. Gastrointestinal bleeding with the new oral anticoagulants defining the issues and the management strategies. Thromb Haemost. 2013;110:205–12.

- 85. Satasivam P, Reeves F, Lin M, et al. The effect of oral anticoagulation on the prevalence and management of haematuria in a contemporary Australian patient cohort. BJU Int. 2012;110:80-4.
- 86. Zweiker D, Zweiker R, Winkler E, et al. Association between subjective risk perception and objective risk estimation in patients with atrial fibrillation: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(9.).
- **87.** Reddy VY, Doshi SK, Sievert H, et al. Percutaneous left atrial appendage closure for stroke prophylaxis in patients with atrial fibrillation: 2.3-year follow-up of the PROTECT AF (Watchman Left Atrial Appendage System for Embolic Protection in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation) Trial. Circulation. 2013;127:720–9.
- 88. Holmes DR Jr, Kar S, Price MJ, et al. Prospective randomized evaluation of the Watchman Left Atrial Appendage Closure device in patients with atrial fibrillation versus longterm warfarin therapy: the PREVAIL trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:1–12.
- **89.** Marijon E, Le Heuzey JY, Connolly S, et al. Causes of death and influencing factors in patients with Atrial fibrillation: a competing-risk analysis from the randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulant therapy study. Circulation. 2013;128:2192–201.
- **90.** Cleland JGF, Khand A, Clark A. The heart failure epidemic: exactly how big is it? Eur Heart J. 2001;22:623-6.
- **91.** Nieuwlaat R, Eurlings LW, Cleland JG, et al. Atrial fibrillation and heart failure in cardiology practice: reciprocal impact and combined management from the perspective of atrial fibrillation results of the Euro Heart Survey on Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;53:1690–8.

- **92.** Maisel WH, Stevenson LW. Atrial fibrillation in heart failure: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and rationale for therapy. Am J Cardiol. 2003;91:2D-8D.
- **93.** Dries DL, Exner DV, Gersh BJ, et al. Atrial fibrillation is associated with an increased risk for mortality and heart failure progression in patients with asymptomatic and symptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction: a retrospective analysis of the SOLVD trials. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32: 695–703.
- **94.** Hart RG, Pearce LA, Albers GW, et al. Independent predictors of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. Neurology. 2007;69:546–54.
- **95.** Friberg L, Tabrizi F, Englund A. Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation is associated with lower incidence of stroke and death: data from Swedish health registries. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2478–87.
- **96.** Lau DH, Nattel S, Kalman JM, et al. Modifiable risk factors and atrial fibrillation. Circulation. 2017;136:583–96.
- Voskoboinik A, Kalman JM, Silva A, et al. Alcohol abstinence in drinkers with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2020;382: 20-8.
- **98.** Zelniker TA, Bonaca MP, Furtado R, et al. Effect of dapagliflozin on atrial fibrillation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: insights from the DECLARE-TIMI 58 Trial. Circulation. 2020;141:1227-34.
- **99.** Böhm M, Slawik J, Brueckmann M, et al. Efficacy of empagliflozin on heart failure and renal outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation: data from the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2019;22:126–35.