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Cardioverter-defibrillator with an additional subcutaneous electrode array

Cardiodesfibrilhador implantável com elétrodo de choque subcutâneo adicional
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Defibrillation tests during implantation of a cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) assess the device’s effectiveness in detection and termination of ventricular fibrillation (VF). An appropriate safety margin is considered to be 10 J above the minimum value tested, but the utility of such tests in the context of primary prevention has recently been called into question by various authors.

We present images from a patient who required implantation of an additional subcutaneous electrode array in the left hemithorax due to the device’s failure to terminate VF.

Figure 1  Posteroanterior chest X-ray. The yellow arrows indicate the subcutaneous electrode array and the red arrow indicates the intracavitary electrode.

Figure 2  Left lateral chest X-ray. The arrows indicate the subcutaneous electrode array.
Six years after the MI and following an episode of syncope, he was diagnosed with monomorphic ventricular tachycardia, with an R–R interval of 320 ms. The echocardiogram revealed a dilated left ventricle with non-thickened walls, impaired global systolic function (ejection fraction of 35%), and apical dyskinesia, akinia of the mid segments and hypokinesia of the basal segments of the anterior wall and anterior septum. Cardiac catheterization showed chronic occlusion of the proximal left anterior descending artery and 25% stenosis of the proximal circumflex. A Guidant® MINI II ICD was implanted for secondary prevention. High defibrillation thresholds were observed during ICD implantation, requiring implantation of an additional subcutaneous electrode array to enlarge the area of shock application (Figures 1–3).

In 2002, the patient underwent elective generator replacement due to battery depletion, a CPI Prizm 2 VR ICD being implanted, and defibrillation tests were successful with a 20-J shock.
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