Journal Pre-proof Transient, persistent and permanent left bundle branch block Wan Lin MA Xinyin Xie MA Min Yu PhD Dr

PII: \$0870-2551(25)00183-0

DOI: https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.repc.2024.12.010

Reference: REPC 2452

To appear in: Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia

Received Date: 1 November 2024

Please cite this article as: Lin W, Xie X, Yu M, Transient, persistent and permanent left bundle branch block, *Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia* (2025), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2024.12.010

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia.

Transient, persistent and permanent left bundle branch block?

Bloqueio transitório, persistente e permanente do ramo esquerdo?

Wan Lin, MA, Xinyin Xie, MA and Min Yu, Ph D

Department of Cardiology, the First Affiliated Hospital, Shantou University Medical College,

Shantou, Guangdong 515041, China

Correspondence: Dr. Min Yu

Department of Cardiology

the First Affiliated Hospital

Shantou University Medical College

Shantou, Guangdong 515041, China

E-mail: 717146@sina.com

Phone: +86-754-88905482

Fax:

+86-754-88259850

Letter to the Editor

Left bundle branch block (LBBB) refers to conduction delay or block in any of several sites in the intraventricular conduction system. The electrocardiogram was characteristic with prolonged QRS duration ≥120 ms, broad notched R or slurred R waves in leads I, aVL, V5 and V6, narrow or absent r waves followed by deep S waves in leads V1 and V2 [1]. It has been reported that prevalence of LBBB was 0.09% and increases with aging in China [2]. LBBB can develop with cardiovascular

disease, as an acquired mechanical complication of procedural interventions or without risk factors. Furthermore, LBBB is a hallmark of hypertensive or ischemic heart disease and leads to a dyssynchronous activation and contraction of the left ventricular (LV), induces dilated cardiomyopathy [1]. It is important to investigate the classification of LBBB and identify the true LBBB. In our opinion, there are three types of LBBB: transient, persistent and permanent LBBB.

Transient LBBB is an intraventricular conduction defect that subsequently returns to normal conduction, which was associated with phase 3 block, phase 4 block and concealed conduction. The causes of transient LBBB included tachycardia or bradycardia, anesthesia, acute pulmonary embolism, cardiac interventions among others [3]. Although LBBB is intermittent, patients with structural heart disease who develop exercise-induced LBBB had increased all-cause mortality.

The definition of persistent LBBB is unclear. In patients with transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI), persistent LBBB was defined as any LBBB that persisted >7 days at hospital discharge or 12 months after TAVI. LBBB develops in as many as 25% of patients with heart failure [4] and is associated with less left LV functional recovery on medical therapy. Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) including biventricular pacing, His bundle pacing and LBB area pacing, can reverse the conduction abnormality and LV dysfunction. Thus, persistent LBBB may be defined as correctable LBBB that is continuous and lasts for >7 days. In these cases, conduction abnormality can be reversed by medical intervention, especially by LBBB-CRT [5].

Permanent LBBB may be true LBBB with disrupted LBB activation. The conduction abnormality cannot be reversed even by removing the cause of the disease and with medical intervention.

In conclusion, although classification of LBBB contributes to optimal treatment, identifying a persistent from of permanent LBBB is a challenge in clinics.

Ethics in publishing

1. Does your research involve experimentation on animals?:

No

2. Does your study include human subjects?:

No

3. Does your study include a clinical trial?:

No

4. Are all data shown in the figures and tables also shown in the text of the Results section and discussed in the Conclusions?:

Yes

References

- [1] Amaral Marques C, Laura Costa A, Martins E. Left bundle branch block-induced dilated cardiomyopathy: Definitions, pathophysiology, and therapy. Rev Port Cardiol. 2024;43(11):623-632. doi: 10.1016/j.repc.2024.02.004.
- [2] Wang B, Wang Z, Yang X, Han X, Yang Y, Chu H, Wu S, Xia Y. Prevalence and incidence of intraventricular conduction disturbances among Chinese adults: Results from the Kailuan study. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022;9:959781. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.959781.
- [3] Bazoukis G, Tsimos K, Korantzopoulos P. Episodic Left Bundle Branch Block-A Comprehensive Review of the Literature. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2016;21(2):117-125. doi:10.1111/anec.12361
- [4] Baldasseroni S, Opasich C, Gorini M, Lucci D, Marchionni N, Marini M, Campana C, Perini G, Deorsola A, Masotti G, Tavazzi L, Maggioni AP; Italian Network on Congestive Heart Failure Investigators. Left bundle-branch block is associated with increased 1-year sudden and total mortality rate in 5517 outpatients with congestive heart failure: a report from the Italian network on congestive heart failure. Am Heart J. 2002;143(3):398-405. doi: 10.1067/mhj.2002.121264.
- [5] Wang Y, Zhu H, Hou X, Wang Z, Zou F, Qian Z, Wei Y, Wang X, Zhang L, Li X, Liu Z, Xue S, Qin C, Zeng J, Li H, Wu H, Ma H, Ellenbogen KA, Gold MR, Fan X, Zou J; LBBP-RESYNC Investigators. Randomized Trial of Left Bundle

Branch vs Biventricular Pacing for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;80(13):1205-1216. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.07.019.