Primary heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: The intertwined pathophysiology and treatment of some of the most relevant phenotypes

Ryohei Ono MD PhD Luiz Menezes Falcão MD PhD

PII:	S0870-2551(25)00158-1
DOI:	https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.repc.2025.02.007
Reference:	REPC 2442
To appear in:	Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia
Received Date:	2 October 2024
Accepted Date:	9 February 2025

Please cite this article as: Ono R, Falcão LM, Primary heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: The intertwined pathophysiology and treatment of some of the most relevant phenotypes, *Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia* (2025), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2025.02.007

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia.

Review article

Artigo de revisão

Primary heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: The intertwined pathophysiology and treatment of some of the most relevant phenotypes

Insuficiência cardíaca com fração de ejeção preservada primária -interrelação fisiopatológica e terapêutica de alguns dos fenótipos mais relevantes

Ryohei Ono, MD, PhD^a; Luiz Menezes Falcão, MD, PhD^b

^a Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-8-1 Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba 260-8670, Japan

^b Academic Medical Center of Lisbon (CAML); Cardiovascular Center of the University of Lisbon (CCUL@RISE), Faculty of Medicine of the University of Lisbon, Portugal

Corresponding author

Luiz Menezes Falcão, Academic Medical Center of Lisbon/ Cardiovascular Center of the University of Lisbon.

Avenida Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-028 Lisboa, Portugal.

E-mail: luizmfalcao@sapo.pt.

TEL: +351963031729

Palavras-chave: Insuficiência cardíaca; ICpFE; Fisiopatologia; ICpFE primária; Terapêutica

Resumo

A insuficiência cardíaca com fração de ejeção preservada (ICpFE) é consequência de diversos mecanismos fisiopatológicos e pode ser dividida em dois grupos tendo por base a identificação da causa específica: primária ou secundária. A ICpFE primária é causada pela perturbação primária do relaxamento ou da distensibilidade miocárdica com a contribuição de vários factores de risco. Neste artigo, é feita uma revisão da fisiopatologia e do tratamento de algumas das formas de apresentação mais relevantes de ICpFE primária.

Os doentes com ICpFE primária representam "fenotipos" específicos e são habitualmente idosos, do sexo feminino e mais frequentemente têm uma história de hipertensão arterial, obesidade, deficiência de ferro, doença coronária, apneia do sono, diabetes mellitus, doença renal crónica e de incompetência cronotrópica.

Alguns dos principais mecanismos fisiopatológicos de cada fenótipo da ICpFE primária são os seguintes: hipertensão arterial, que promove hipertrofia ventricular esquerda e fibrose; obesidade que ocasiona inflamação sistémica e desregulação metabólica; envelhecimento que contribui para a rigidez ventriculovascular; diferenças entre sexos com o sexo feminino expressando-se com coração de menores dimensões e oscilações hormonais; deficiência de ferro contribuindo para a disfunção mitrocondial; doença coronária ocasionando redução do fluxo sanguíneo miocárdico; diabetes mellitus, que está associada com hiperglicémia, lipotoxicidade, resistência à insulina e rarefação microvascular; doença renal crónica, que ocasiona hipertensão arterial, perturbação metabólica, inflamação sistémica e disfunção endotelial;apneia do sono, que induz variações tensionais e hipóxia; e incompetência cronotrópica que se deve a redução da resposta dos receptores beta adrenérgicos. Em conclusão, cada factor interage com outros factores contribuindo para o complexo fisiopatológico da ICpFE.

2

A compreensão destes mecanismos interrelacionados é crítica para estabelecer estratégias terapêuticas adequadas para melhorar o prognóstico da ICpFE.

Abstract

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is characterized by diverse underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and can be divided into two subgroups based on the identification of the specific cause: primary and secondary HFpEF. Primary HFpEF is caused by primary impairments in myocardial relaxation or compliance with the contribution of several risk factors. Therefore, we review current literature on pathophysiology and treatment in patients with primary HFpEF.

Patients with primary HFpEF represent specific "phenotypes" and are usually elderly, more commonly women, and often with a history of arterial hypertension, obesity, iron deficiency (ID), coronary artery disease (CAD), sleep apnea, diabetes, chronic kidney disease (CKD), and chronotropic incompetence. Some of the main pathophysiological mechanisms for each phenotype of primary HFpEF are as follows: arterial hypertension, which promotes left ventricular hypertrophy and fibrosis; obesity, which contributes through systemic inflammation and metabolic dysregulation; aging, which leads to ventricular-vascular stiffening; gender differences, with women experiencing changes due to smaller heart size and hormonal shifts; ID, which affects mitochondrial function; CAD, which impairs myocardial blood flow; diabetes, which is associated with hyperglycemia, lipotoxicity, insulin resistance, and microvascular rarefaction; CKD, which leads to hypertension, metabolic disturbance, systemic inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction; sleep apnea, which induces cardiac changes through pressure swings and hypoxia; and chronotropic incompetence, which is due to reduced cardiac β-receptor responsiveness.

In conclusion, each factor intricately contributes to the complex pathophysiology of HFpEF. Understanding these interrelated mechanisms is critical for tailoring management strategies to improve outcomes in HFpEF

patients.

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is defined as a clinical syndrome consisting of symptoms that may be accompanied by signs. It arises from structural or functional heart anomalies and leads to elevated intracardiac filling pressures and/or inadequate cardiac output at rest and/or during exercise. In daily practice, HF is often categorized by left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF). The proposed classifications of EF are an important feature of the universal definition; classification is defined as follows: 1) HF with reduced EF (HFrEF): HF with an LVEF of $\leq 40\%$; 2) HF with mildly reduced EF (HFmrEF): HF with an LVEF of 41%-49%; 3) HF with preserved EF (HFpEF): HF with an LVEF of \geq 50%; and 4) HF with improved EF: HF with a baseline LVEF of \leq 40%, a \geq 10-point increase from baseline LVEF, and a second measurement of LVEF of >40% ^{1,2}. HF with supranormal EF is still a matter of debate. Approximately 50% of patients with HF are reported to have HFpEF, which is characterized by diverse underlying pathophysiological mechanisms³. Patients with HFpEF can be divided into two subgroups based on the identification of a specific cause. Secondary HFpEF is caused by conditions such as valvular heart disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, restrictive/infiltrative cardiomyopathies, and constrictive pericarditis. Primary HFpEF, on the contrary, is characterized by primary impairments in myocardial relaxation or compliance, with the contribution of several risk factors ⁴. The diagnosis of primary HFpEF, as a clinical entity, should be reserved for those cases in which there is primary diastolic dysfunction leading to HF, caused by the interaction of multiple risk factors such as hypertension, aging, systemic inflammatory status, or metabolic disorders, but without any specific underlying cardiac cause and in which there are currently no specific medical or surgical therapies.

Patients with primary HFpEF represent specific "phenotypes" and are usually elderly, more commonly women, and often with a history of arterial hypertension, obesity, iron deficiency (ID), coronary artery disease (CAD), sleep apnea, diabetes, CKD, or chronotropic incompetence (Figure 1) ⁵. In addition, "preserved EF" does not

always mean normal LV systolic performance when assessed by preload recruitable stroke work, mitral annular systolic excursion and velocity, midwall fractional shortening, and longitudinal and circumferential strain ⁶⁻⁸. For example, global longitudinal strain offers a sensitive assessment of LV function, and decreased global longitudinal strain in HFpEF is independently predictive of future clinical events and deterioration in LVEF^{9,10}. Numerous research findings indicate that minor deficits in systolic function at rest are significantly exacerbated during physical activity in patients with HFpEF; this results in reduced exercise tolerance, impaired early diastolic recoil/LV suction, decreased cardiac output, and increased LV filling pressures ^{7,10-12}. In this article, we have reviewed the intertwined pathophysiology of some of the most relevant phenotypes in primary HFpEF (Table 1).

1. Pathophysiology of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.

1a. Arterial hypertension

Arterial hypertension is the leading cause of HFpEF development, with prevalence ranging from 60 to 90% ^{5,13}. In patients with hypertension, pressure overload leads to left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), myocardial fibrosis, and impaired diastolic filling, which could trigger HFpEF¹⁴. Also, previous studies have demonstrated that patients with hypertension have impaired global longitudinal strain and an accompanying exaggerated circumferential strain^{10,15}. Laplace's Law is one of the primary mechanisms of the progression from hypertension to HFpEF. According to Laplace's Law, wall stress in a sphere increases in direct proportion to the internal pressure and radius, and decreases in inverse proportion to wall thickness, resulting in concentric geometry^{16,17}. Arterial hypertension leads to increased LV pressure, which induces wall thickening to reduce wall stress, and the concentric geometry leads to a reduction in chamber size and shifts end-diastolic property of the pressure-volume relationship leftward, leading to LV stiffening. Consequently, LVH leads to further myocardial dysfunction, including myocardial ischemia caused by a lack of myocardial blood supply, particularly in the midmyocardial layer^{17,18}. In addition, hypertension has also been found to be correlated

with a chronic proinflammatory state¹⁹. These result in fibrotic remodeling and ventricular stiffening, promoting elevated LV filling pressures and consequent LV diastolic dysfunction ¹³.

In addition to the relationship between arterial hypertension and HFpEF, hypertensive response to exercise may also be associated with HFpEF. Although there is no universal definition of hypertensive response to exercise, a systolic blood pressure elevation during exercise testing \geq 210 mm Hg for men and \geq 190 mm Hg for women, or diastolic blood pressure elevation >110 mm Hg in both genders, are used in some previous studies ¹⁷. Irrespective of the presence of resting hypertension, E/e' values were significantly higher in patients with hypertensive response to exercise as a surrogate parameter for elevated LV filling pressure and impaired LV diastolic function, and these patients had impaired LV longitudinal diastolic function and exercise intolerance²⁰. Another systematic review showed that patients with hypertensive response to exercise have a 36% higher risk of developing adverse cardiovascular events including CAD, which could be another factor related to HFpEF development ²¹.

1b. Obesity

Obesity is a major risk factor for HFpEF ²². Increasing body mass index (BMI) above the normal range (\geq 25 kg/m²) was found to be associated with being at an increased risk of HFpEF; a dose-dependent relationship was observed²³. Obese HFpEF was found to be associated with decreased quality of life, worse symptoms of HF, greater systemic inflammation, worse exercise capacity, and higher metabolic cost of exertion in comparison with nonobese HFpEF²⁴. In obese patients with HFpEF, dysregulation of energy storage (adipose tissue) plays an important role. Increased adiposity promotes inflammation, hypertension, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia and also impairs diastolic, systolic, arterial, skeletal muscle, and physical function^{14,25}. There is a significant inverse relationship between adiposity or BMI and brain natriuretic peptide²⁶. On the other hand, increased metabolic demand seems to lead to high-output HF in obese patients with HFpEF, but most obese HFpEF patients do not have a high cardiac index²⁷. The pathophysiology of obese patients with HFpEF

includes greater plasma volume expansion, exaggerated cardiac remodeling, increased pulmonary vascular resistance, increased mean pulmonary arterial pressure, as well as evidence of right ventricular dysfunction with increased right atrial to pulmonary capillary wedge pressure ratio, LV septal flattening, and increased pericardial restraint ^{5,22}.

1c. The elderly

The prevalence of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction is expected to rise owing to the aging population^{28,29}. Like hypertension, aging is linked to elevated ventricular-vascular stiffening, which leads to higher prevalence of LV diastolic dysfunction with aging³⁰. Aging of the myocardium itself is a specific pathophysiological process. Normal cardiac aging is characterized by structural and functional changes. Increased cardiomyocyte size, increased apoptosis with decreased myocyte number, increased fibrosis, increased collagen deposition, as well as functional changes at the cellular level may all contribute to abnormal diastolic function with normal aging³¹. Another mechanism is the decline in high-energy phosphate metabolism and peak cardiac power with age. Aging itself is associated with widespread comorbidities including hypertension, macro/microvascular arterial ischemic atrial fibrillation, heart. restrictive/infiltrative/dilated cardiomyopathies, metabolic syndrome, diabetes, CKD, thyroid disease, chronic lung disease, anemia, and chronic inflammatory disease; therefore, HFpEF can be caused by aging and its related diseases 32-34.

1d. Female gender

Epidemiological and registry studies show that women have an incidence of HFpEF similar to that in men^{35,36}. Interactions between estrogen, gene expression, inflammation, anthropometry, and comorbidities drive the higher relative prevalence of HFpEF in women³⁷. The following phenotypic characteristics of the myocardium and cardiovascular (CV) system predispose women to HFpEF more than men: smaller ventricular chambers and vasculature; increased ventricular wall thickness and concentric myocardial remodeling; decreased

diastolic compliance and diastolic reserve; increased myocardial blood flow and oxygen consumption with prominent myocardial lipid metabolism; greater arterial stiffness and pulse pressure leading to greater pulsatile afterload; and impaired pulmonary vascular reactivity with pulmonary vascular dysfunction and remodeling^{5,37}. The highest prevalence of anatomically small hearts, which have an abnormally low LV end-systolic volume, is reported in older women and is associated with an increased LVEF; women with smaller hearts may live under constant hyperdynamic conditions, compensating for the disadvantage of smaller ventricular volumes². Aging of the heart increases the susceptibility of elderly women to HFpEF because LV concentric remodeling and diastolic dysfunction are characteristic features of HFpEF, and these conditions are more pronounced in women than in men with HFpEF. Furthermore, during physical exertion, women exhibit a more significant increase in LV diastolic elastance compared to men, coupled with diminished chronotropic and contractile reserve, leading to exercise intolerance, a key clinical symptom of HFpEF ³⁸.

Certain comorbidities and clinical conditions, particularly prevalent or exclusive in women, increase the likelihood of developing HFpEF. These include metabolic risk factors such as obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. Additional contributing factors include ID and autoimmune diseases, which lead to increased inflammation and a higher risk of pulmonary hypertension. Furthermore, preeclampsia and breast cancer, along with its treatments, also elevate the risk. Many of these factors become more pronounced due to a deficiency in sex hormones following the menopause³⁷.

1e. Iron deficiency

Iron deficiency is observed in 50–75% of patients with HFpEF^{39,40}. ID is thought to be due to an increase in the regulatory protein hepcidin, which prevents iron release from enterocytes and the reticuloendothelial system and can be elevated in the setting of systemic inflammation³⁹. Iron plays an important role beyond oxygen transport and storage, as it is also essential for the normal activity of key citric acid cycle enzymes and reactive oxygen species-scavenging enzymes^{41,42}. Furthermore, iron is crucial in mitochondria for the

final step in producing adenosine triphosphate from glucose, fatty acids, or ketones; therefore, ID leads to several morphological and functional changes in mitochondria in the heart and kidneys, in which mitochondria are abundant with high-energy metabolism⁴³. The interaction of ID, HF, and CKD, is called cardiorenal iron syndrome⁴⁴. In patients with HFpEF and ID, diastolic function E/e' has been negatively correlated with iron and transferrin saturation, whereas peak VO2 was positively correlated with these iron parameters⁴⁵. Furthermore, a meta-analysis showed that patients with HFpEF and ID had lower maximal oxygen consumption, more dyspnea, reduced 6-minute walking distance, and reduced health-related quality of life³⁷.

1f. Coronary artery disease

Both obstructive epicardial CAD and coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) are frequent in patients with HFpEF, although co-existence of HFpEF and obstructive coronary artery disease is less common than in the HFrEF population ^{5,46}. Myocardial ischemia due to CAD can cause LV diastolic and systolic dysfunction, and patients with obstructive CAD have higher estimated LV filling pressures on echocardiography ⁴⁶. CAD is also associated with more advanced impairment of right ventricular function, right ventricular filling, and diastolic or systolic dysfunction in HFpEF⁴⁷. On the other hand, CMD may result from altered endothelial cell function leading to decreased nitric oxide (NO) production or from the inability of vascular smooth muscle cells to vasodilate in response to NO⁴⁸. A strong association between CMD and HFpEF has been observed, and the PROMIS-HFpEF study reported a 75% prevalence of CMD in patients with HFpEF, with impaired coronary flow velocity reserve associated with systemic endothelial dysfunction and elevated natriuretic peptide levels⁴⁹. Two potential mechanisms, linking CMD and HFpEF, have been identified: impaired lusitropy and subendocardial ischemia. Limited endothelium-dependent NO bioavailability has been shown to promote fibroblast and myofibroblast proliferation and impair energy-dependent cardiomyocyte relaxation through hypophosphorylation of the cytoskeletal protein titin, resulting in impaired lusitropy and LV diastolic reserve⁵⁰. In addition, reduced adenosine triphosphate production due to inadequate myocardial perfusion is

likely to lead to incomplete diastolic relaxation due to diastolic cross-bridge cycling, and repeated cardiomyocyte injury over time may lead to subendocardial ischemia and myocardial fibrosis ⁴⁸.

1g. Sleep apnea

Pathophysiological abnormalities related to sleep apnea include intrathoracic pressure swings, intermittent hypoxia, sleep reduction and fragmentation, sympathetic nervous system activation, and endothelial dysfunction⁵¹⁻⁵³. Exaggerated intrathoracic pressure swings can contribute to cardiac remodeling¹⁷. Obstructive sleep apneas (OSA) increase LV transmural pressure through the generation of negative intrathoracic pressure and elevations in systemic blood pressure secondary to hypoxia, arousals from sleep, and increased sympathetic nervous system activity. Apnea also suppresses the sympathetic inhibitory effects of lung stretch receptors, further enhancing sympathetic nervous system activity. The combination of increased LV afterload and increased heart rate secondary to increased sympathetic nervous system activity increases myocardial oxygen^{54,55}.

Intermittent hypoxia induced by OSA results in widespread stimulations of the sympathetic nervous system, the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and, importantly, a systemic inflammatory state associated with oxidative stress^{56,57}. Regarding biomarkers, circulating interleukin-10 levels were found to be positively associated with major adverse cardiovascular events in men with HFpEF and OSA⁵⁸.

1h. Diabetes

Diabetes is one of the common comorbidities in patients with HFpEF⁵. Diabetic cardiomyopathy is the clinical entity in which patients who had been diagnosed with diabetes for a long period exhibited clinical symptoms, such as cardiomegaly and pulmonary congestion, and pathological examinations revealed myocardial hypertrophy, fibrosis, and thickening of the microvascular walls due to the buildup of acid mucopolysaccharides⁵⁹. However, recent clinical reports have noted a shift in the presentation of diabetic

cardiomyopathy, showing a phenotype that diverges from the dilated form to the restrictive form ^{59,60}. Currently, a typical patient with diabetic cardiomyopathy is described as an older woman with obesity and type 2 diabetes, characterized by a small LV cavity, normal LVEF, thickened LV walls, increased LV filling pressures, and an enlarged left atrium. This profile is indicative of a restrictive rather than a dilated cardiomyopathy and is characterized as HFpEF. Pathophysiological mechanisms include hyperglycemia, lipotoxicity, insulin resistance, advanced glycation end-products deposition, and microvascular rarefaction^{61-⁶³. In diabetic cardiomyopathy, the endothelial dysfunction is attributed to hyperglycemia, lipotoxicity, and the accumulation of advanced glycation end-products. Additionally, microvascular rarefaction contributes to reduced nitric oxide bioavailability, while hyperinsulinemia promotes cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Hyperglycemia and lipotoxicity also elevate protein kinase C activity in fibroblasts, enhancing interstitial collagen deposition. Coronary microvascular endothelial dysfunction induces LV remodeling and dysfunction by decreasing myocardial nitric oxide bioavailability and protein kinase G activity ⁵⁹.}

1i. Chronic kidney disease

The prevalence of CKD in HFpEF has been reported to be 26–49%^{64,65}. CKD is pathophysiologically linked to hypertension and subsequently leads to metabolic disturbances, systemic inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction. These factors are considered crucial in the development of HFpEF, mediated by interactions between the endothelium and cardiomyocytes, resulting in myocardial stiffening, hypertrophy, and interstitial fibrosis⁶⁶. First, elevated fibroblast growth factor 23 in patients with CKD causes endothelial dysfunction due to increased superoxide and decreased nitric oxide bioavailability ⁶⁷. Second, vitamin D deficiency in CKD is associated with systemic inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and LV remodeling⁶⁸. Third, high levels of phosphorus and parathyroid hormone are associated with ventricular hypertrophy and fibrosis^{68,69}. In addition, erythropoietin deficiency is associated with endothelial dysfunction, nitric oxide availability, and inflammation⁶⁶. Finally, proteinuria and uremic toxins affect inflammation and endothelial dysfunction by

inhibiting nitric oxide^{70,71}. Therefore, CKD induces inflammation and endothelial dysfunction, all of which could lead to HFpEF.

1j. Chronotropic incompetence

Chronotropic incompetence, broadly defined as the inability of the heart to increase its rate commensurate with increased activity or demand, is common in patients with HFpEF, and more than 50% of the patients have chronotropic incompetence⁷²⁻⁷⁴. The exaggerated decrease in chronotropic reserve in HFpEF may be due to deficits in β -adrenergic stimulation secondary to increased plasma catecholamines with exercise⁷⁵. Another study demonstrated that patients with HFpEF had reduced cardiac β -receptor responsiveness, suggesting that impaired β -receptor function may contribute to chronotropic incompetence⁷⁶. Therefore, exercise intolerance is the cardinal symptomatic manifestation of HFpEF. Administration of beta blockers blunts the chronotropic response to exercise; however, their effect on outcomes in HFpEF is not well established^{5,77,78}. Conversely, beta-blocker withdrawal increased the functional capacity and oxygen uptake significantly, and this cessation may be particularly beneficial in patients with smaller end-systolic LV volume^{79,80}. Furthermore, selective heart rate reduction of HFpEF using ivabradine, an If-inhibitor, does not show any benefit on exercise tolerance or echocardiographic parameters in HFpEF^{81,82}. In patients with HFpEF and chronotropic incompetence, the implantation of a pacemaker to enhance exercise heart rate did not improve exercise capacity, symptoms, or exercise cardiac output ⁷⁴.

2. From pathophysiology to treatment of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction

Treatment of HFpEF requires a comprehensive approach that identifies potentially treatable comorbidities as discussed above, such as pathophysiology. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) 2021 Guidelines with clinical update 2023 and ACC/AHA/HFSA guideline 2022 recommend identification and treatment for etiology and comorbidities^{13,83,84}. Therefore, identifying the causes of HFpEF pathophysiology and treating

them is very important. A comparison of major differences in the ESC and American HF Guidelines regarding HFpEF treatment is shown in Table 2. Both guidelines list diuretics for fluid retention as a Class I recommendation. The current evidence for treating HFpEF in general and according to pathophysiology is reviewed in the aforementioned table.

2a. Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor

Based on the results of the EMPEROR-Preserved and DELIVER trials, both dapagliflozin and empagliflozin have shown beneficial effects on CV mortality and HF hospitalization^{85,86}. A meta-analysis revealed that dapagliflozin and empagliflozin reduced composite cardiovascular death or first hospitalization for HF (hazard ratio 0.80 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73–0.87]) with consistent reductions in both components: cardiovascular death (0.88 [0.77–1.00]) and first hospitalization for HF (0.74 [0.67–0.83]) among 12,251 participants from DELIVER and EMPEROR-Preserved trials. These drugs are recommended for patients with HFpEF as Class I in the 2023 Focus Update of 2021 ESC HF guidelines and Class IIa in the ACC/AHA/HFSA guideline 2022.

2b. Angiotensin-receptor blocker/ angiotensin-receptor neprilysin inhibitor

None of the HFpEF trials with the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (perindopril) or the angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs (candesartan and irbesartan) achieved their primary endpoints⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹. Regarding the angiotensin-receptor neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI), sacubitril/valsartan did not significantly reduce the primary endpoint of CV death and total HF hospitalizations in the PARAGON trial in patients with LVEF >45%⁹⁰. In addition, one subanalysis of the PARAGON-HF trial suggested that women with HFpEF are more likely to benefit from ARNI treatment than men. Another subanalysis indicated that patients with a LVEF of 57% or lower showed a significant benefit from sacubitril/valsartan compared to valsartan alone. Therefore, this analysis suggested that the treatment was more effective particularly in patients with an LVEF in the range of

45% to 57% or amon female patients. Additionally, in a prespecified participant-level pooled analysis of PARAGLIDE-HF and PARAGON-HF, ARNI significantly reduced total HF events and CV death compared with valsartan [rate ratio of 0.86, 95% CI 0.75-0.98, P = 0.027]⁹¹. American guidelines assign a Class IIb recommendation, while no recommendation is listed in the ESC guidelines.

2c. Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist

The TOPCAT trial using spironolactone concluded that while spironolactone did not significantly reduce the primary composite outcome in the overall population of patients with HFpEF, it did reduce the rate of hospitalization for HF and showed potential regional differences in effectiveness. In American patients, spironolactone reduced the primary endpoint⁹², therefore, American guidelines assign a Class IIb recommendation, while no recommendation is listed in the ESC guidelines.

Finerenone is a nonsteroidal MRA that is more selective for the mineralocorticoid receptor than spironolactone or eplerenone. FINEARTS-HF, a global, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, event-driven trial comparing the efficacy and safety of finerenone with placebo in patients with chronic HFmrEF or HFpEF, showed that finerenone resulted in a significantly lower rate of a composite total worsening HF events and death from cardiovascular causes than placebo⁹³.

2d. Hypertension

Hypertension is the most important cause of HFpEF, and patients with HFpEF also frequently have an exaggerated hypertensive response to exercise and may present with hypertensive acute pulmonary edema. Therefore, hypertension management is recommended for patients with HFpEF as Class I in both the ESC and American HF Guidelines. Although blood pressure targets are uncertain in HFpEF, a treatment goal of <130/80 mm Hg is recommended for those with a cerebrovascular disease risk of $\geq 10\%^{94}$. However, recent findings from the OPTIMIZE-HF registry suggest that a systolic blood pressure of <120 mmHg may be linked

to worse outcomes in older patients with HFpEF⁹⁵. In patients with HFpEF, a therapeutic strategy with all major antihypertensive drug classes (angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or ARBs, beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, and thiazide/thiazide-like diuretics) is recommended. The substitution of ACE inhibitor/ARBs with ARNI and treatment with an MRA independent of resistant hypertension can be considered, particularly in the lower HFpEF spectrum⁹⁶. Although there is no specific indication to prefer one antihypertensive class over another, a network meta-analysis of 223 313 patients showed that diuretics, ACE inhibitors, and ARBs were the most effective classes of drugs for reducing the incidence of HF compared with placebo (odds ratios of 0.59, 0.71, and 0.76, respectively) and were more effective than calcium channel blockers in preventing the development of HF⁹⁷.

2e. Obesity

Obesity is a risk factor for hypertension and CAD and is also associated with an increased risk of HF. Caloric restriction and exercise training were found to have additive beneficial effects on exercise capacity and quality of life of patients with obesity and HFpEF ⁹⁸. In the STEP-HFpEF trial, the glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) agonist, semaglutide, administered once weekly at a dose of 2.4 mg for one year significantly decreased body weight (13.3% loss vs. 2.6% in the placebo group) and improved the KCCQ clinical summary score and sixminute walking distance among obese HFpEF patients (body mass index \geq 30 kg/m²)^{99,100}. In the SURMOUNT-1 trial, the combined GLP-1/glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide agonist tirzepatide (administered subcutaneously at 5–15 mg once weekly) showed notable efficacy in inducing weight loss among patients with obesity¹⁰¹. Regarding the effect of bariatric surgery for obesity on the incidence of HF, a meta-analysis showed that the pooled hazard ratio for incident HF after bariatric surgery versus control was 0.50 (95% CI 0.38-0.66, p<0.001). This analysis also found that bariatric surgery in patients with obesity was associated with reduced all-cause and CV mortality as well as lowered incidence of several CV diseases ¹⁰².

2f. Female gender

As described earlier, female patients with HFpEF may benefit from taking ARNIs. The reduction in the composite outcome of total HF hospitalizations and cardiovascular death was more pronounced in women. The interaction p-value for sex was 0.017, suggesting a statistically significant difference in treatment effect between men and women¹⁰³. In the I-PRESERVE trial, which included patients with an LVEF of 45% or higher, subgroup analysis indicated that women using irbesartan experienced a lower incidence of all-cause mortality or first cardiovascular hospitalization compared to men¹⁰⁴. Conversely, in the TOPCAT trial (LVEF \geq 45%), spironolactone did not demonstrate a reduction in the occurrence of cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization. However, the subgroup analysis revealed that women had a greater reduction in the risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality than men¹⁰⁵. A recent meta-analysis found no significant trend toward reducing events with other drugs in HFpEF patients across both sexes¹⁰⁶.

2g. Iron deficiency

In a meta-analysis study, ID in HFpEF was found to be associated with decreased peak oxygen consumption, increased dyspnea, decreased six-minute walk oxygen uptake, and decreased health-related quality of life ³⁹. Some studies suggest that ID in HFpEF may be associated with worse clinical outcomes, although others have found no association with hospitalization or death^{5,39}. The use of ferric carboxymaltose has been associated with a significant improvement in functional status in patients with HFpEF according to the NYHA scale¹⁰⁷. The potential benefits of intravenous iron supplementation in HFpEF are being investigated in ongoing trials such as FAIR-HFpEF (NCT03074591) and PREFER-HF (NCT03833336).

2h. Coronary artery disease

In patients with an LVEF of >40% following myocardial infarction, ACE inhibitors and beta blockers should be considered. In patients with HF post-myocardial infarction, beta blockers are recommended for patients

with preserved EF as Class IIa in ESC and Class I in AHA/ACC guidelines^{13,84}. ACE inhibitors are recommended for patients with preserved EF as Class IIa in both guidelines^{13,84}.

In the absence of positive clinical trials, ARNI or SGLT-2 inhibitors should not be used routinely in high-risk patients immediately after myocardial infarction, although if a patient develops chronic symptomatic HF in the weeks and months following acute myocardial infarction, then ARNI and SGLT-2 inhibitors should be initiated at the earliest opportunity according to HF guidelines¹⁰⁸.

Treatments for patients with HFpEF and CMD are limited and not well established. The 2019 ESC guidelines suggest using β -blockers, ACE inhibitors, and statins, in addition to lifestyle changes and weight loss, for patients with a coronary flow reserve below 2.0 or an index of microcirculatory resistance >25 who have a negative acetylcholine provocation test¹⁰⁹. However, these recommendations are not specifically targeted at HFpEF patients.

2i. Diabetes and chronic kidney disease

See section 2a-2c for information on individual types of therapeutic agents. SGLT-2 inhibitors and finerenone, a nonsteroidal and selective MRA, are now recommended as Class IA for the prevention of HF in patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD^{83,110}. DAPA-CKD and EMPA-KIDNEY trials demonstrated reduced cardiovascular death, HF hospitalization, and kidney disease progression in CKD patients with or without diabetes ¹¹¹⁻¹¹³. Furthermore, FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD trials showed the benefits of finerenone on cardiovascular and kidney outcomes compared to placebo in patients with CKD and type 2 diabetes.

2j. Sleep apnea

Since sleep apnea is associated with obesity, the treatment might be similar to that for obesity itself. Therapeutic strategies for patients with HFpEF and sleep apnea are limited, especially with respect to pharmacological interventions. When focusing on the treatment of positive airway pressure therapy for sleep

apnea, observational studies have indicated that older, obese HFpEF patients with sleep-disordered breathing might benefit the most from adaptive servo-ventilation (ASV) therapy, as evidenced by significant reductions in cardiovascular mortality and morbidity, along with high adherence to the therapy^{114,115}.

Another small trial involving HFpEF patients (EF >50%, apnea-hypopnea index >15/hour, n = 36) demonstrated that ASV therapy could improve diastolic function, reduce brain natriuretic peptide levels, and lower the combined risk of cardiac death and worsening HF^{116} . In addition, treating obstructive sleep apnea may help prevent the progression of HFpEF by reducing risk factors like arterial hypertension and cardiac workload, thereby preventing cardiac remodeling¹¹⁷. Despite these findings, there is a lack of data from large-scale clinical trials for HFpEF and sleep-disordered breathing; thus, further studies are warranted.

2k. Chronotropic incompetence

Beta-blockers are widely prescribed in patients with HFpEF, although the evidence for beneficial effects in these patients is poor. Many patients with HFpEF experience chronotropic incompetence, which may deteriorate further when treated with beta blockers¹¹⁸. A recent study investigated the effects of discontinuing beta-blocker treatment on the percentage change in predicted peak oxygen consumption, indexed left ventricular diastolic volume, indexed left ventricular systolic volume (iLVESV), and LVEF in patients with HFpEF and chronotropic incompetence⁷⁹. Participants in the PRESERVE-HR trial were randomized to either stop or continue their beta-blocker therapy and then switched to the alternate intervention after two weeks. The findings indicated that HFpEF patients with chronotropic incompetence who had lower iLVESV experienced a greater short-term enhancement in maximal functional capacity following the cessation of β-blocker treatment.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have provided a contemporary overview of the intertwined pathophysiology of primary

HFpEF, which includes arterial hypertension, obesity, aging, gender differences, ID, CAD, diabetes, CKD, sleep apnea, and chronotropic incompetence, as well as its treatments. HFpEF, characterized by diverse etiological factors and clinical phenotypes, poses significant challenges in both diagnosis and management. Understanding these interrelated mechanisms is critical for tailoring management strategies to improve outcomes in HFpEF patients.

Ethics in publishing

1. Does your research involve experimentation on animals?:

No

2. Does your study include human subjects?:

No

3. Does your study include a clinical trial?:

No

4. Are all data shown in the figures and tables also shown in the text of the Results section and

discussed in the Conclusions?:

Yes

Statements and declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

Human and animal rights and consent

This article does not contain any studies with human and animal subjects conducted by any of the authors.

Acknowledgments

None.

Sontral

References

1. Bozkurt B, Coats AJ, Tsutsui H, *et al.* Universal Definition and Classification of Heart Failure: A Report of the Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology, Japanese Heart Failure Society and Writing Committee of the Universal Definition of Heart Failure. *J Card Fail* 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2021.01.022

 Ono R, Falcao LM. Supra-Normal Left Ventricular Function. Am J Cardiol 2023;207:84-92. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2023.08.169

3. Dunlay SM, Roger VL, Redfield MM. Epidemiology of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2017;14:591-602. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2017.65

4. Del Buono MG, Buckley L, Abbate A. Primary and Secondary Diastolic Dysfunction in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Am J Cardiol* 2018;122:1578-1587. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.07.012

5. Anker SD, Usman MS, Anker MS, *et al.* Patient phenotype profiling in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction to guide therapeutic decision making. A scientific statement of the Heart Failure Association, the European Heart Rhythm Association of the European Society of Cardiology, and the European Society of Hypertension. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2023;25:936-955. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2894

6. Obokata M, Reddy YNV, Borlaug BA. Diastolic Dysfunction and Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: Understanding Mechanisms by Using Noninvasive Methods. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2020;13:245-257. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.12.034

 Shah AM, Claggett B, Sweitzer NK, *et al.* Prognostic Importance of Impaired Systolic Function in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction and the Impact of Spironolactone. *Circulation* 2015;132:402-414. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015884

8. DeVore AD, McNulty S, Alenezi F, *et al.* Impaired left ventricular global longitudinal strain in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: insights from the RELAX trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;19:893-900. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.754

9. Brann A, Miller J, Eshraghian E, *et al.* Global longitudinal strain predicts clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2023;25:1755-1765. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2947

10. Biering-Sorensen T, Santos M, Rivero J, *et al.* Left ventricular deformation at rest predicts exerciseinduced elevation in pulmonary artery wedge pressure in patients with unexplained dyspnoea. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;19:101-110. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.659

11. Tan YT, Wenzelburger F, Lee E, *et al.* The pathophysiology of heart failure with normal ejection fraction: exercise echocardiography reveals complex abnormalities of both systolic and diastolic ventricular function involving torsion, untwist, and longitudinal motion. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:36-46. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.03.037

12. Hasselberg NE, Haugaa KH, Sarvari SI, *et al.* Left ventricular global longitudinal strain is associated with exercise capacity in failing hearts with preserved and reduced ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16:217-224. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeu277

13. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, *et al.* 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2021;42:3599-3726. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368

Kitzman DW, Shah SJ. The HFpEF Obesity Phenotype: The Elephant in the Room. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:200-203. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.019

15. Biering-Sorensen T, Biering-Sorensen SR, Olsen FJ, *et al.* Global Longitudinal Strain by Echocardiography Predicts Long-Term Risk of Cardiovascular Morbidity and Mortality in a Low-Risk General Population: The Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;10. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.116.005521

16. Sakata Y, Ohtani T, Takeda Y, *et al.* Left ventricular stiffening as therapeutic target for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Circ J* 2013;77:886-892. doi: 10.1253/circj.cj-13-0214

17. Wiech P, Wurzburger L, Rossi VA, et al. Hypertensive response to exercise, hypertension and heart

failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF)-a continuum of disease? *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2023. doi: 10.1007/s00508-023-02195-3

Gradman AH, Wilson JT. Hypertension and diastolic heart failure. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2009;11:422-429.
 doi: 10.1007/s11886-009-0061-5

19. Budde H, Hassoun R, Mugge A, *et al.* Current Understanding of Molecular Pathophysiology of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Front Physiol* 2022;13:928232. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.928232

20. Takamura T, Onishi K, Sugimoto T, *et al.* Patients with a hypertensive response to exercise have impaired left ventricular diastolic function. *Hypertens Res* 2008;31:257-263. doi: 10.1291/hypres.31.257

21. Schultz MG, Otahal P, Cleland VJ, *et al.* Exercise-induced hypertension, cardiovascular events, and mortality in patients undergoing exercise stress testing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Hypertens* 2013;26:357-366. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hps053

22. Shah SJ, Borlaug BA, Kitzman DW, *et al.* Research Priorities for Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Working Group Summary. *Circulation* 2020;141:1001-1026. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.041886

23. Pandey A, LaMonte M, Klein L, *et al.* Relationship Between Physical Activity, Body Mass Index, and Risk of Heart Failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;69:1129-1142. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.11.081

24. Reddy YNV, Lewis GD, Shah SJ, *et al.* Characterization of the Obese Phenotype of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A RELAX Trial Ancillary Study. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2019;94:1199-1209. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.11.037

25. Jin X, Qiu T, Li L, *et al.* Pathophysiology of obesity and its associated diseases. *Acta Pharm Sin B* 2023;13:2403-2424. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2023.01.012

26. Anjan VY, Loftus TM, Burke MA, *et al.* Prevalence, clinical phenotype, and outcomes associated with normal B-type natriuretic peptide levels in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Am J Cardiol* 2012;110:870-876. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2012.05.014

27. Obokata M, Reddy YNV, *et al.* Evidence Supporting the Existence of a Distinct Obese Phenotype of
Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circulation* 2017;136:6-19. doi:
10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026807

28. van Heerebeek L, Paulus WJ. Understanding heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: where are we today? *Neth Heart J* 2016;24:227-236. doi: 10.1007/s12471-016-0810-1

29. Hiraiwa H, Okumura T, Murohara T. Drug Therapy for Acute and Chronic Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction with Hypertension: A State-of-the-Art Review. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs* 2024. doi: 10.1007/s40256-024-00641-9

Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Borlaug BA, *et al.* Age- and gender-related ventricular-vascular stiffening:
 a community-based study. *Circulation* 2005;112:2254-2262. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.541078
 Loffredo FS, Nikolova AP, Pancoast JR, *et al.* Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: molecular pathways of the aging myocardium. *Circ Res* 2014;115:97-107. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.302929
 Sanderson JE. Factors related to outcome in heart failure with a preserved (or normal) left ventricular ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2016;2:153-163. doi: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcw026
 Parcha V, Patel N, Kalra R, *et al.* Clinical, Demographic, and Imaging Correlates of Anemia in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction (from the RELAX Trial). *Am J Cardiol* 2020;125:1870-1878. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2020.03.006

34. Borlaug BA, Sharma K, Shah SJ, *et al.* Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: JACC Scientific Statement. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2023;81:1810-1834. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2023.01.049

35. Sotomi Y, Hikoso S, Nakatani D, *et al.* Sex Differences in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2021;10:e018574. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.018574

36. Desai RJ, Mahesri M, Chin K, *et al.* Epidemiologic Characterization of Heart Failure with Reduced or Preserved Ejection Fraction Populations Identified Using Medicare Claims. *Am J Med* 2021;134:e241-e251. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.09.038

37. Beale AL, Meyer P, Marwick TH, *et al.* Sex Differences in Cardiovascular Pathophysiology: Why
Women Are Overrepresented in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circulation* 2018;138:198205. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034271

38. Ha JW, Lee HC, Park S, *et al.* Gender-related difference in left ventricular diastolic elastance during exercise in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Circ J* 2008;72:1443-1448. doi: 10.1253/circj.cj-07-1028

39. Beale AL, Warren JL, Roberts N, *et al.* Iron deficiency in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open Heart* 2019;6:e001012. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2019-001012

40. Masini G, Graham FJ, Pellicori P, *et al.* Criteria for Iron Deficiency in Patients With Heart Failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2022;79:341-351. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.11.039

41. Jankowska EA, von Haehling S, Anker SD, *et al.* Iron deficiency and heart failure: diagnostic dilemmas and therapeutic perspectives. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:816-829. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs224

42. Rocha BML, Cunha GJL, Menezes Falcao LF. The Burden of Iron Deficiency in Heart Failure: Therapeutic Approach. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;71:782-793. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.12.027

43. Alnuwaysir RIS, Hoes MF, van Veldhuisen DJ, *et al.* Iron Deficiency in Heart Failure: Mechanisms and Pathophysiology. *J Clin Med* 2021;11. doi: 10.3390/jcm11010125

44. Patel RN, Sharma A, Prasad A, *et al.* Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction With CKD: A Narrative Review of a Multispecialty Disorder. *Kidney Med* 2023;5:100705. doi: 10.1016/j.xkme.2023.100705

45. Gevaert AB, Mueller S, Winzer EB, *et al.* Iron Deficiency Impacts Diastolic Function, Aerobic Exercise Capacity, and Patient Phenotyping in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Subanalysis of the OptimEx-Clin Study. *Front Physiol* 2021;12:757268. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.757268

46. Rush CJ, Berry C, Oldroyd KG, *et al.* Prevalence of Coronary Artery Disease and Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction in Patients With Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *JAMA Cardiol* 2021;6:1130-1143. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2021.1825

47. Jia H, Liu L, Bi X, *et al.* Right ventricular-arterial uncoupling as an independent prognostic factor in acute heart failure with preserved ejection fraction accompanied with coronary artery disease. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2023;136:1198-1206. doi: 10.1097/CM9.0000000002637

48. Sinha A, Rahman H, Perera D. Coronary microvascular dysfunction and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: what are the mechanistic links? *Curr Opin Cardiol* 2023;38:521-526. doi: 10.1097/HCO.000000000001082

49. Shah SJ, Lam CSP, Svedlund S, *et al.* Prevalence and correlates of coronary microvascular dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: PROMIS-HFpEF. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:3439-3450. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy531

50. Del Buono MG, Montone RA, Camilli M, *et al.* Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction Across the Spectrum of Cardiovascular Diseases: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;78:1352-1371. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.07.042

51. Butt JH, Jering K, RA DEB, *et al.* Heart Failure, Investigator-Reported Sleep Apnea and Dapagliflozin: A Patient-Level Pooled Meta-Analysis of DAPA-HF and DELIVER. *J Card Fail* 2024;30:436-448. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2023.08.027

52. Cistulli PA, Malhotra A, Cole KV, *et al.* Positive Airway Pressure Therapy Adherence and Health Care Resource Use in Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2023;12:e028733. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.122.028733

Cowie MR, Linz D, Redline S, *et al.* Sleep Disordered Breathing and Cardiovascular Disease: JACC
 State-of-the-Art Review. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;78:608-624. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.05.048

54. Bradley TD, Floras JS. Sleep apnea and heart failure: Part I: obstructive sleep apnea. *Circulation* 2003;107:1671-1678. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000061757.12581.15

55. Yu L, Li H, Liu X, *et al.* Left ventricular remodeling and dysfunction in obstructive sleep apnea : Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Herz* 2020;45:726-738. doi: 10.1007/s00059-019-04850-w

56. Lavie L. Intermittent hypoxia: the culprit of oxidative stress, vascular inflammation and dyslipidemia in obstructive sleep apnea. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2008;2:75-84. doi: 10.1586/17476348.2.1.75

57. Lavie L. Oxidative stress inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in obstructive sleep apnea. *Front Biosci (Elite Ed)* 2012;4:1391-1403. doi: 10.2741/469

58. Yakovlev A, Teplyakov A, Grakova E, *et al.* Serum Cytokines as Biomarkers in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction and Sleep Apnea: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Life (Basel)* 2023;13. doi: 10.3390/life13030628

59. Seferovic PM, Paulus WJ. Clinical diabetic cardiomyopathy: a two-faced disease with restrictive and dilated phenotypes. *Eur Heart J* 2015;36:1718-1727, 1727a-1727c. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv134

60. Forbes JM, Cooper ME. Mechanisms of diabetic complications. *Physiol Rev* 2013;93:137-188. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00045.2011

61. van Heerebeek L, Hamdani N, Handoko ML, *et al.* Diastolic stiffness of the failing diabetic heart: importance of fibrosis, advanced glycation end products, and myocyte resting tension. *Circulation* 2008;117:43-51. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.728550

62. Shenouda SM, Widlansky ME, Chen K, et al. Altered mitochondrial dynamics contributes to endothelial dysfunction in diabetes mellitus. *Circulation* 2011;124:444-453. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.014506

63. Boudina S, Abel ED. Diabetic cardiomyopathy revisited. *Circulation* 2007;115:3213-3223. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.679597

64. Ather S, Chan W, Bozkurt B, *et al.* Impact of noncardiac comorbidities on morbidity and mortality in a predominantly male population with heart failure and preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:998-1005. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.11.040

65. Yancy CW, Lopatin M, Stevenson LW, et al. Clinical presentation, management, and in-hospital outcomes of patients admitted with acute decompensated heart failure with preserved systolic function: a

report from the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE) Database. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:76-84. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.09.022

66. Ter Maaten JM, Damman K, Verhaar MC, *et al.* Connecting heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and renal dysfunction: the role of endothelial dysfunction and inflammation. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016;18:588-598. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.497

67. Silswal N, Touchberry CD, Daniel DR, *et al.* FGF23 directly impairs endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation by increasing superoxide levels and reducing nitric oxide bioavailability. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2014;307:E426-436. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00264.2014

68. Bucharles S, Barberato SH, Stinghen AE, *et al.* Hypovitaminosis D is associated with systemic inflammation and concentric myocardial geometric pattern in hemodialysis patients with low iPTH levels. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2011;118:c384-391. doi: 10.1159/000323664

69. Rostand SG, Drueke TB. Parathyroid hormone, vitamin D, and cardiovascular disease in chronic renal failure. *Kidney Int* 1999;56:383-392. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.1999.00575.x

70. Moradi H, Sica DA, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Cardiovascular burden associated with uremic toxins in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Am J Nephrol* 2013;38:136-148. doi: 10.1159/000351758

71. Tsioufis C, Dimitriadis K, Chatzis D, *et al.* Relation of microalbuminuria to adiponectin and augmented C-reactive protein levels in men with essential hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;96:946-951. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.05.052

72. Brubaker PH, Kitzman DW. Chronotropic incompetence: causes, consequences, and management. *Circulation* 2011;123:1010-1020. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.940577

73. Borlaug BA, Melenovsky V, Russell SD, *et al.* Impaired chronotropic and vasodilator reserves limit exercise capacity in patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction. *Circulation* 2006;114:2138-2147. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.632745

74. Reddy YNV, Koepp KE, Carter R, et al. Rate-Adaptive Atrial Pacing for Heart Failure With Preserved

Ejection Fraction: The RAPID-HF Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2023;329:801-809. doi: 10.1001/jama.2023.0675

75. Nair N. Epidemiology and pathogenesis of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Rev Cardiovasc Med* 2020;21:531-540. doi: 10.31083/j.rcm.2020.04.154

76. Sarma S, Stoller D, Hendrix J, *et al.* Mechanisms of Chronotropic Incompetence in Heart Failure With
Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circ Heart Fail* 2020;13:e006331. doi:
10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.119.006331

77. Conraads VM, Metra M, Kamp O, *et al.* Effects of the long-term administration of nebivolol on the clinical symptoms, exercise capacity, and left ventricular function of patients with diastolic dysfunction: results of the ELANDD study. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2012;14:219-225. doi: 10.1093/eurjhf/hfr161

78. Wolsk E, Kaye DM, Komtebedde J, *et al.* Determinants and consequences of heart rate and stroke volume response to exercise in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021;23:754-764. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2146

79. Palau P, de la Espriella R, Seller J, *et al.* beta-Blocker Withdrawal and Functional Capacity Improvement in Patients With Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *JAMA Cardiol* 2024;9:392-396. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2023.5500

80. Palau P, Seller J, Dominguez E, *et al.* Effect of beta-Blocker Withdrawal on Functional Capacity in Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;78:2042-2056. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.08.073

81. Komajda M, Isnard R, Cohen-Solal A, *et al.* Effect of ivabradine in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the EDIFY randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;19:1495-1503. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.876

Pal N, Sivaswamy N, Mahmod M, *et al.* Effect of Selective Heart Rate Slowing in Heart Failure With
Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circulation* 2015;132:1719-1725. doi:

10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017119

83. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, *et al.* 2023 Focused Update of the 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2023;44:3627-3639. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad195

84. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, *et al.* 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2022;145:e895-e1032. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000001063

85. Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Empagliflozin in Heart Failure with a Preserved Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1451-1461. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107038

86. Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Claggett B, *et al.* Dapagliflozin in Heart Failure with Mildly Reduced or Preserved Ejection Fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2022;387:1089-1098. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2206286

87. Yusuf S, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, *et al.* Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and preserved left-ventricular ejection fraction: the CHARM-Preserved Trial. *Lancet* 2003;362:777-781. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14285-7

88. Massie BM, Carson PE, McMurray JJ, et al. Irbesartan in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:2456-2467. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0805450

89. Cleland JG, Tendera M, Adamus J, *et al.* The perindopril in elderly people with chronic heart failure (PEP-CHF) study. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:2338-2345. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehl250

90. Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Anand IS, *et al.* Angiotensin-Neprilysin Inhibition in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2019;381:1609-1620. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1908655

91. Vaduganathan M, Mentz RJ, Claggett BL, *et al.* Sacubitril/valsartan in heart failure with mildly reduced or preserved ejection fraction: a pre-specified participant-level pooled analysis of PARAGLIDE-HF and PARAGON-HF. *Eur Heart J* 2023;44:2982-2993. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad344

92. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Assmann SF, *et al.* Regional variation in patients and outcomes in the Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure With an Aldosterone Antagonist (TOPCAT) trial. *Circulation* 2015;131:34-42. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013255

93. Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Vaduganathan M, *et al.* Finerenone in Heart Failure with Mildly Reduced or Preserved Ejection Fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2024. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2407107

94. von Haehling S, Assmus B, Bekfani T, *et al.* Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: diagnosis, risk assessment, and treatment. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2024. doi: 10.1007/s00392-024-02396-4

95. Faselis C, Lam PH, Zile MR, *et al.* Systolic Blood Pressure and Outcomes in Older Patients with HFpEF and Hypertension. *Am J Med* 2021;134:e252-e263. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.08.030

96. Mancia G, Kreutz R, Brunstrom M, *et al.* 2023 ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension: Endorsed by the International Society of Hypertension (ISH) and the European Renal Association (ERA). *J Hypertens* 2023;41:1874-2071. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000003480

97. Sciarretta S, Palano F, Tocci G, *et al.* Antihypertensive treatment and development of heart failure in hypertension: a Bayesian network meta-analysis of studies in patients with hypertension and high cardiovascular risk. *Arch Intern Med* 2011;171:384-394. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2010.427

98. Kitzman DW, Brubaker P, Morgan T, *et al.* Effect of Caloric Restriction or Aerobic Exercise Training on Peak Oxygen Consumption and Quality of Life in Obese Older Patients With Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2016;315:36-46. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.17346

99. Kosiborod MN, Abildstrom SZ, Borlaug BA, *et al.* Design and Baseline Characteristics of STEP-HFpEF Program Evaluating Semaglutide in Patients With Obesity HFpEF Phenotype. *JACC Heart Fail* 2023;11:1000-1010. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2023.05.010

100. Kosiborod MN, Petrie MC, Borlaug BA, *et al.* Semaglutide in Patients with Obesity-Related HeartFailure and Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2024;390:1394-1407. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2313917

101. Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, *et al.* Tirzepatide Once Weekly for the Treatment of Obesity.*N Engl J Med* 2022;387:205-216. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2206038

102. van Veldhuisen SL, Gorter TM, van Woerden G, *et al.* Bariatric surgery and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2022;43:1955-1969. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac071

103. McMurray JJV, Jackson AM, Lam CSP, *et al.* Effects of Sacubitril-Valsartan Versus Valsartan in Women Compared With Men With Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction: Insights From PARAGON-HF. *Circulation* 2020;141:338-351. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.044491

104. Lam CS, Carson PE, Anand IS, *et al.* Sex differences in clinical characteristics and outcomes in elderly patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: the Irbesartan in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction (I-PRESERVE) trial. *Circ Heart Fail* 2012;5:571-578. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.112.970061

105. Merrill M, Sweitzer NK, Lindenfeld J, *et al.* Sex Differences in Outcomes and Responses to Spironolactone in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Secondary Analysis of TOPCAT Trial. *JACC Heart Fail* 2019;7:228-238. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2019.01.003

106. Wang N, Evans J, Sawant S, Sindone J, *et al.* Sex-specific differences in the efficacy of heart failure therapies: a meta-analysis of 84,818 patients. *Heart Fail Rev* 2023;28:949-959. doi: 10.1007/s10741-022-10275-1

107. Lopez-Vilella R, Lozano-Edo S, Arenas Martin P, *et al.* Impact of intravenous ferric carboxymaltose on heart failure with preserved and reduced ejection fraction. *ESC Heart Fail* 2022;9:133-145. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.13753

108. Carberry J, Marquis-Gravel G, O'Meara E, *et al.* Where Are We With Treatment and Prevention of Heart Failure in Patients Post-Myocardial Infarction? *JACC Heart Fail* 2024;12:1157-1165. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2024.04.025

109. Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic

coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J 2020;41:407-477. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz425

110. Beghini A, Sammartino AM, Papp Z, et al. 2024 update in heart failure. ESC Heart Fail 2024. doi:
10.1002/ehf2.14857

Heerspink HJL, Stefansson BV, Correa-Rotter R, *et al.* Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney
 Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:1436-1446. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2024816

112. Nuffield Department of Population Health Renal Studies G, Consortium SiM-AC-RT. Impact of diabetes on the effects of sodium glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors on kidney outcomes: collaborative meta-analysis of large placebo-controlled trials. *Lancet* 2022;400:1788-1801. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)02074-

8

113. Herrington WG, Staplin N, Wanner C, et al. Empagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease.N Engl J Med 2023;388:117-127. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2204233

114. Tamisier R, Damy T, Bailly S, *et al.* Adaptive servo ventilation for sleep apnoea in heart failure: the FACE study 3-month data. *Thorax* 2022;77:178-185. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2021-217205

115. Tamisier R, Damy T, Bailly S, *et al.* FACE study: 2-year follow-up of adaptive servo-ventilation for sleep-disordered breathing in a chronic heart failure cohort. *Sleep Med* 2024;113:412-421. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2023.07.014

116. Yoshihisa A, Suzuki S, Yamaki T, *et al.* Impact of adaptive servo-ventilation on cardiovascular function and prognosis in heart failure patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction and sleepdisordered breathing. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013;15:543-550. doi: 10.1093/eurjhf/hfs197

117. Pengo MF, Soranna D, Giontella A, *et al.* Obstructive sleep apnoea treatment and blood pressure: which phenotypes predict a response? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2020;55. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01945-2019

118. Wernhart S, Papathanasiou M, Rassaf T, *et al.* The controversial role of beta-blockers in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Pharmacol Ther* 2023;243:108356. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2023.108356

Sonula Realing

Figure legends

Figure 1. Central illustration. Phenotypes of primary heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Patients with primary HFpEF represent specific "phenotypes" and are usually elderly, more commonly women, and often with a history of arterial hypertension, obesity, iron deficiency, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, sleep apnea, and chronotropic incompetence.



Tables

Table 1. Main pathophysiological mechanisms by different phenotypes of primary HFpEF.

Phenotype	Proposed pathophysiological mechanisms	
Arterial Hypertension	• Causing left ventricular hypertrophy and fibrosis, and leading to diastolic filling impairments/elevated LV filling pressure.	
	Related to chronic proinflammatory state.	
	• Hypertensive response to exercise causing impaired LV longitudinal diastolic function and exercise intolerance.	
Obesity	• Leading to systemic inflammation, increased adiposity, and metabolic disturbances; all contributing to HFpEF through ventricular	
	remodeling and impaired cardiac function.	
	• Greater plasma volume expansion, exaggerated cardiac remodeling, increased pulmonary vascular resistance, increased mean	
	pulmonary arterial pressure, and evidence of right ventricular dysfunction with increased right atrial to pulmonary capillary wedge	
	pressure ratio and LV septal flattening, and increased pericardial restraint.	
Elderly	Increasing ventricular-vascular stiffening, leading to diastolic dysfunction.	
	• Aging of the myocardium itself (increased cardiomyocyte size, increased apoptosis with decreased myocyte number, increased	
	fibrosis, increased collagen deposition, and functional changes at the cellular level).	
	 Decreasing high-energy phosphate metabolism. 	
	• Comorbidities like hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and atrial fibrillation are prevalent.	
Female sex	Smaller heart size and increased arterial stiffness.	
	• Certain comorbidities predominantly in woman, including iron deficiency, autoimmune diseases, preeclampsia, and breast cancer,	
	are risk factors of HFpEF.	
Iron deficiency	 Impairment of citric acid cycle enzymes and reactive oxygen species-scavenging enzymes. 	

	• Affecting morphological and functional changes in mitochondria in the heart and kidneys (cardiorenal iron syndrome)
Coronary artery	Myocardial ischemia causing LV diastolic and systolic dysfunction, and increased LV filling pressures.
disease	CMD leads to impaired lusitropy and subendocardial ischemia.
Diabetes	• Hyperglycemia, lipotoxicity, insulin resistance, advanced glycation end-products deposition and microvascular rarefaction lead to
	endothelial dysfunction, reduced nitric oxide bioavailability, interstitial collagen deposition, and LV remodeling.
Chronic kidney	• Linked to hypertension and subsequently leading to metabolic disturbances, systemic inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction.
disease	
Sleep apnea	• Intrathoracic pressure swings, intermittent hypoxia, sleep reduction and fragmentation, sympathetic nervous system activation,
	and endothelial dysfunction.
Chronotropic	• Inability to adequately increase heart rate with exercise due to deficits in β-adrenergic stimulation secondary to increased plasma
incompetence	catecholamines with exercise or impaired β -receptor function.

CMD: coronary microvascular dysfunction; LV: left ventricular; HFpEF: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction

Table 2. Comparison of major differences in the European Society of Cardiology and Americ	an Heart Failure Guidelines for HFpEF treatment.
---	--

	ESC guideline 2021 with clinical update 2023	ACC/AHA/HFSA guideline 2022
Diuretic for fluid retention	Class I (C)	Class I (C)
Dapagliflozin/Empagliflozin	Class I (A)	Class IIa (B)
ARBs/ARNI	-	Class IIb (B)
MRAs	-	Class IIb (B)
Treatment for etiology, CV and non-CV comorbidities	Class I (C)	Identification and treatment of specific causes,
		and management of contributing comorbidities
		such as hypertension, CAD, and AF

Hypertension	Hypertension management Class I (C)	Hypertension management Class I (C)
Obesity	Caloric restriction and exercise training	Maintaining normal weight Class I (B)
Elderly	- 0	-
Female gender	-	-
Iron deficiency	-	-
Coronary artery disease	Beta blockers Class IIa (B) post MI	Beta blockers Class I (B) post MI
	ACE inhibitor Class IIa (A) post MI	ACE inhibitor Class IIa (A) post MI
Sleep apnea	- ,0	CPAP Class IIa (B)
Chronotropic incompetence		-

Parentheses indicate levels of evidence, as defined in the guidelines.

ACC: American College of Cardiology; ACE: angiotensin-converting enzyme; AF: atrial fibrillation; AHA: American Heart Association; ARB: angiotensin-

receptor blocker; ARNI: angiotensin-receptor neprilysin inhibitor; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; CV: cardiovascular; ESC: European Society of

Cardiology; HF: heart failure; HFSA: Heart Failure Society of America; MI: myocardial infarction.

Dear Dr. Mário Oliveira,

September 25, 2024

I, along with my coauthor, would like to ask you to consider the attached manuscript entitled "Primary heart failure with preserved ejection fraction – The intertwined pathophysiology of some of the most relevant phenotypes" for publication in *Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia*.

In the present report, we describe a review on the intertwined pathophysiology and treatments of primary heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). Heart failure is often categorized by left ventricular ejection fraction, and HFpEF is characterized by diverse underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and can be divided into two subgroups based on the identification of the specific cause: primary and secondary HFpEF. Primary HFpEF is caused by primary impairments in myocardial relaxation or compliance with the contribution of the intertwining of several risk factors. Of note, patients with primary HFpEF represent specific "phenotypes" and are usually elderly, more commonly in women, and often with a history of arterial hypertension, obesity, iron deficiency, coronary artery disease, sleep apnea, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, and chronotropic incompetence. Each factor intricately contributes to the complex pathology of HFpEF. Understanding these interrelated mechanisms is critical for tailoring management strategies to improve outcomes in HFpEF patients.

This manuscript has not been published or presented elsewhere in part or in entirety, and is not under consideration by another journal. All the authors have approved the manuscript and agree with submission to your esteemed journal. There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to hearing from you.

Ryohei Ono, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine. TEL: +81-47-712-2511 E-mail: r.ono.circulation@chiba-u.jp

1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba-city, Chiba, Japan