From Rhythm to Rupture: A Case of Double Left Ventricular Perforation

Rita Almeida Carvalho Débora Sá Catarina Brízido Francisco Gama

PII: \$0870-2551(25)00097-6

DOI: https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.repc.2024.12.005

Reference: REPC 2426

To appear in: Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia

Received Date: 15 October 2024

Accepted Date: 26 December 2024

Please cite this article as: Carvalho RA, Sá D, Brízido C, Gama F, From Rhythm to Rupture: A Case of Double Left Ventricular Perforation, *Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia* (2025), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2024.12.005

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia.

Title:

From Rhythm to Rupture: A Case of Double Left Ventricular Perforation

Do ritmo à rotura: Um caso de dupla perfuração do ventrículo esquerdo

Authors and affiliations:

Rita Almeida Carvalho 1\*, Débora Sá 2, Catarina Brízido 1, Francisco Gama 1

1- Cardiology Department, Hospital de Santa Cruz, Unidade Local de Saúde

Lisboa Ocidental, Lisbon, Portugal

2- Cardiology Department, Hospital Dr. Nélio Mendonça, Serviço de Saúde da

Região Autónoma da Madeira, Funchal, Portugal

Corresponding author:

\*Email address: rita.acarvalho29@gmail.com (R. Almeida Carvalho)

An 89-year-old man presented to the emergency department after recurrent syncopal episodes. Electrocardiographic monitoring yielded complete heart block with periods of ventricular asystole. A temporary transvenous pacemaker was inserted through the right femoral vein under fluoroscopic guidance. Following insertion, the patient reported severe chest pain, and undersensing with electrical capture failure was noted. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) revealed a de novo 12 mm circumferential pericardial effusion without tamponade (Figure 1). Cardiac computed tomography subsequently identified a sequential cardiac perforation: the pacemaker lead penetrated the mid interventricular septum, then perforated the lateral left ventricular wall into the pericardial space (Figure 2). Due to the urgent need for device removal, anticoagulation was withheld. In the operating room, the electrode was successfully extracted by traction under TTE guidance. Although no additional pericardial effusion was seen post-removal, prophylactic pericardiocentesis was performed. The procedure was immediately complicated by an embolic ischemic stroke of the left anterior cerebral artery. Criteria for endovascular intervention were not met. He remained two weeks in the intensive care unit and was discharged one month after initial admission with left hemiparesis. This

case highlights the potential for severe complications with temporary pacemaker insertion and underscores the need for vigilant monitoring post-implantation.

Ethics in publishing

1. Does your research involve experimentation on animals?:

No

2. Does your study include human subjects?:

Yes

If yes; please provide name of the ethical committee approving these experiments and the

registration number.:

This case study was written for educational purposes rather than research, and the data were collected as part of routine care. All procedures were conducted in accordance with approved ethical standards. It was determined that permission could be granted by the hospital and patient, rather than through an Ethics Committee review.

If yes; please confirm authors compliance with all relevant ethical regulations. :

Yes

If yes; please confirm that written consent has been obtained from all patients. :

Yes

3. Does your study include a clinical trial?:

No

4. Are all data shown in the figures and tables also shown in the text of the Results section and

discussed in the Conclusions?:

Yes



