



REVIEW ARTICLE

Effects of exercise on endothelial progenitor cells in patients with cardiovascular disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials



Suiane L. Cavalcante^{a,b,*}, Susana Lopes^b, Lucimere Bohn^a, Iván Caverro-Redondo^{c,d},
Celia Álvarez-Bueno^{c,d}, Sofia Viamonte^e, Mário Santos^{f,g}, José Oliveira^a,
Fernando Ribeiro^b

^a Research Centre in Physical Activity, Health and Leisure, Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

^b School of Health Sciences and Institute of Biomedicine – iBiMED, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

^c Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Health and Social Research Center, Cuenca, Spain

^d Universidad Politécnica y Artística del Paraguay, Asunción, Paraguay

^e North Rehabilitation Center – Dr. Ferreira Alves, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal

^f Department of Cardiology, Hospital Center of Porto, Porto, Portugal

^g Department of Surgery and Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

Received 6 August 2018; accepted 3 February 2019

KEYWORDS

Endothelial
progenitor cells;
Flow cytometry;
Cardiovascular
disease;
Physical exercise;
Cardiac rehabilitation

Abstract The therapeutic potential of exercise training in the mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) into the peripheral blood in patients with cardiovascular disease is not yet clear. A systematic review and meta-analysis was performed in order to assess the effectiveness of exercise training in increasing the number of circulating EPCs in patients with cardiovascular disease. A literature search was conducted across the PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science and EBSCO databases, including the reference lists of relevant papers. The quality of randomized clinical trials was evaluated using the PEDro scale. The primary outcome data were circulating EPC levels. Six studies (236 participants) – three on heart failure (n=111), one on peripheral arterial disease (n=40) and two on coronary artery disease (n=85) – were included. There was an increase in EPC levels in the exercise training groups (effect size [ES]=0.57; 95% CI: 0.01-1.12), with considerable heterogeneity ($I^2=75.6\%$; $p<0.001$). In subgroup analyses, patients with heart failure showed a significant increase in EPCs in the exercise training groups (ES=0.52; 95% CI: 0.15-0.90), with low heterogeneity ($I^2=0.0\%$; $p=0.648$), while no significant increase (ES=0.67; 95% CI: -0.70-2.04; $I^2=91.2\%$; $p<0.001$) was observed in patients with arterial disease. The only study in patients with peripheral arterial disease showed a significant increase in EPC levels.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: suiane.lima@hotmail.com (S.L. Cavalcante).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Células progenitoras endoteliais;
Citometria de fluxo;
Doenças cardiovasculares;
Exercício físico;
Reabilitação cardíaca

This meta-analysis indicates that exercise training may be a therapeutic option to improve EPC levels and potentially to enhance endothelial function and repair in patients with heart failure. © 2020 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Efeito do exercício nas células progenitoras endoteliais em doentes com doença cardiovascular: uma revisão sistemática e meta-análise de estudos controlados randomizados

Resumo O potencial terapêutico do exercício físico na mobilização das células progenitoras endoteliais para circulação em doentes com doença cardiovascular não está ainda completamente estabelecido. Foi realizada uma revisão sistemática e meta-análise com o objetivo de determinar a eficácia do exercício físico no aumento do número circulante de células progenitoras endoteliais em doentes com doença cardiovascular. Foi realizada uma pesquisa nas bases de dados PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science e EBSCO, incluindo a lista de referências de artigos relevantes. A qualidade dos estudos foi avaliada pela escala PEDro. Foram incluídos seis estudos (236 participantes), três em doentes com insuficiência cardíaca (n=111), um com doença arterial periférica (n=40) e dois em doença das artérias coronárias (n=85). O exercício físico melhorou o número de células progenitoras endoteliais em doentes com doença cardiovascular (ES=0,57; IC95%: 0,01; 1,12), com considerável heterogeneidade (I²=75,6%; p<0,001). Na análise de subgrupo, os doentes com insuficiência cardíaca apresentaram um aumento significativo nas células progenitoras endoteliais em favor do grupo de exercício (ES=0,52; IC95%: 0,15; 0,90), com baixo risco de heterogeneidade (I²=0,0%; p=0,648), não se observando aumento significativo (ES=0,67; IC95%: -0,70; 2,04; I²=91,2%; p<0,001) nos doentes com doença arterial. O único estudo em doentes com doença arterial periférica reportou um aumento significativo nas células progenitoras endoteliais. Esta meta-análise indica que o exercício físico pode ser uma opção terapêutica para melhorar os níveis das células progenitoras endoteliais e, potencialmente, melhorar a função e regeneração endotelial, em doentes com insuficiência cardíaca.

© 2020 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. em nome de Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (VD) is the leading cause of death worldwide.¹ In order to decrease the high mortality burden attributable to CVD, many clinical approaches have focused on endothelial health, as a therapeutic target and an indicator of prognosis.²⁻⁴ The endothelium has essential functions in cardiovascular health, including regulating the balance of thrombotic and anticoagulant factors, regulating vascular tone and blood flow, self-repair, and promotion of angiogenesis and blood perfusion.⁵⁻⁷ Conversely, dysfunctional and/or damaged endothelium increases the risk for progression of atherosclerosis,⁸ and is considered an underlying cause in the development of CVD and an independent predictor of cardiovascular events.^{6,9} Endothelial health is modulated by various behavioral factors such as smoking,¹⁰ diet¹¹ and physical exercise.¹² Exercise training is a class IA intervention in patients with stable angina, previous myocardial infarction, and coronary artery bypass graft surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention,¹³ and in patients with heart failure (HF).¹⁴ Among other benefits, exercise training improves cardiorespiratory fitness and quality of life,^{15,16} and mitigates progression of

atherosclerosis by enhancing vascular homeostasis¹⁷ and endothelial function.^{12,18}

The number of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) is positively correlated with vascular function and inversely correlated with the Framingham risk score,¹⁹ and predicts morbidity and mortality in patients with CVD.^{20,21} EPCs are mobilized to sites of endothelial injury and differentiate into mature endothelial cells, repairing and maintaining vascular structure, in addition to mediating paracrine mechanisms and promoting angiogenesis. The role of EPCs in vascular health is thus crucial to the treatment of CVD.²²

Although some previous studies have shown that exercise training induces the mobilization of EPCs from the bone marrow to the peripheral blood,²³⁻²⁶ others have not demonstrated this effect.^{27,28} This topic has been extensively reviewed; several narrative reviews²⁹⁻⁴⁵ and systematic reviews^{12,24,46} can be found in the literature summarizing the effects and potential mechanisms by which exercise mobilizes EPCs. Nevertheless, only Pearson and Smart¹² have performed a meta-analysis, which also included non-randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Additionally, analysis of the impact of exercise on EPC numbers was a secondary aim of their analysis,¹² which may have limited further

interpretations on this issue, and was restricted to studies enrolling patients with HF. In view of the above, the aim of this study is to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs investigating the effects of physical exercise on the circulating number and function of EPCs in patients with CVD.

Databases and search strategy

This systematic review and meta-analysis followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.⁴⁷ Relevant studies were identified by searching the PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science and EBSCO databases. The search terms were the following: "cardiovascular disease*" OR "chronic disease*" OR "heart disease*" OR hypertension OR "peripheral arterial disease" OR diabetes mellitus OR "coronary artery disease" OR "heart failure" OR myocardial infarction OR "vascular disease*" in combination with "endothelial progenitor cells" OR "progenitor cells" OR "colony-forming units" OR "stem cells" OR "circulating angiogenic cells" in combination with "exercise training" OR exercise OR "cardiac rehabilitation" OR "physical exercise" OR "physical activity" OR "exercise therapy" OR "rehabilitation exercise" and avoiding the terms infant* OR child* OR rat* OR mice OR mouse OR animal* OR cancer OR neoplasm* OR neoplasia. All the keywords were MeSH terms, with the exception of "acute myocardial infarction", "progenitor cells" and "circulating angiogenic cells". Considering that EPCs were first isolated by Asahara et al. in 1997,⁴⁸ we limited the search to studies published between January 1997 and January 31, 2018. In addition, we manually searched the reference lists of landmark studies and review articles on this topic to identify any relevant studies that electronic searches might have missed.

Study selection

Studies were eligible if they (i) were RCTs; (ii) included adults (≥ 18 years old) with established CVD; (iii) compared an experimental group receiving a structured program of exercise training, either exclusively or as a component of a cardiac rehabilitation program; (iv) included a control group receiving only standard medical care (that did not perform exercise training); (v) included an exercise training program lasting at least one week; (vi) assessed circulating EPC levels before and after the intervention; and (vii) were written in English. We excluded literature review papers, letters to the editor, abstracts published in conference proceedings, studies that assessed the acute effects of a single exercise session, studies involving healthy subjects or patients with other clinical conditions (e.g. kidney disease), and animal model studies.

Initially a single author screened the titles and discarded duplicates. Subsequently, two authors independently determined whether studies fulfilled the criteria for inclusion in this review through examination of screened titles and abstracts. The same two authors then analyzed the full articles and used a standardized form to determine eligibility for inclusion in the review. Any disagreements regarding the inclusion of studies were resolved by discussion with a third

author. The process of identification and selection of the studies is displayed in [Figure 1](#).

Data extraction and assessment of methodological quality

Data were extracted for the main outcome (circulating EPC levels) as well as for the study design, population, details of the intervention (frequency, mode, duration, intensity and characteristics of the exercise) and control groups, antibodies used to identify EPCs, units of EPC measurement, functionality of EPCs in vitro (proliferative capacity, migratory capacity, number of colony forming unit endothelial cells), time of blood sample collection for EPC analysis, and exercise capacity. The methodological quality of the studies was determined using the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale. This scale comprises 10 items related to internal validity and interpretability; a higher score indicates better methodological quality.⁴⁹ Based on the PEDro score, the quality of the included RCTs were described as following: 6-10 for high-quality studies; 4-5 for fair-quality studies; and ≤ 3 for poor-quality studies.⁴⁹ Two authors independently extracted the above-mentioned data using a customized form and evaluated methodological quality, while a third author resolved disagreements.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using STATA/SE software, version 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). The standardized mean difference between the pre-post of the intervention group versus the control group was calculated for EPC levels using Cohen's *d* as the effect size statistic. The significance of the pooled effect size was estimated based on 95% confidence intervals (CI). Data not provided in the main text or tables were obtained by contacting the authors or (when there was no response) extracted from figures. One study⁵⁰ included two intervention groups (a younger group ≤ 55 years old and an older group ≥ 65 years old); the data from these groups were analyzed as independent samples.

The heterogeneity of results across studies was quantified by I^2 statistics as follows: low risk of heterogeneity (0-40%); moderate risk of heterogeneity (30-60%); substantial heterogeneity (50-90%); or considerable heterogeneity (75-100%). Significance levels were expressed as *p*-values.⁵¹

Subgroup analyses were performed based on the clinical condition: HF or arterial disease (peripheral and coronary).

Random-effects meta-regression was used to assess whether results differed according to the mean age of participants and the length of the intervention, since these could be considered sources of heterogeneity.

Publication bias was identified by visual inspection of funnel plots and the method proposed by Egger.⁵²

Systematic review

The initial search identified 651 titles. After exclusion of 227 duplicates, the titles and abstracts of the remaining 424 articles were analyzed, following which 332 articles were excluded, resulting in 92 studies qualifying for




Figure 1 Flow diagram of the literature search.

full-paper assessment. Of the 92 potentially eligible articles, only four^{25,50,53,54} met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). After screening the reference lists of relevant articles on this topic, two additional papers, not retrieved from the database search, were found.^{55,56} A total of six papers were therefore included in the systematic review and meta-analysis.

These six studies included 236 participants, 119 in exercise training and 117 in control groups (Table 1). The sample size ranged from 21 to 64 participants and overall the studies involved more men ($n=189$, almost 80%) than women ($n=47$). Two studies^{54,56} included coronary artery disease (CAD) patients ($n=85$), three studies^{50,53,55} involved patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction ($n=111$), and one study included patients with peripheral arterial

disease (PAD) ($n=40$) with mild to severe claudication.²⁵ All studies identified and quantified circulating EPCs by flow cytometry using a combination of specific antibodies: three studies used the specific antibodies CD34+/KDR+,^{50,54,56} two CD45dim/CD34+/KDR+,^{53,55} one CD34+/CD133+/KDR+²⁵ and one CD133+/KDR+.⁵⁰ Three studies quantified EPCs as the percentage of mononuclear cells,^{25,53,55} two as the absolute number per ml of peripheral blood,^{25,50} one as the percentage of absolute cell counts divided by lymphocyte counts,⁵⁶ and one as cells per 100 000 laser detection events.⁵⁴ Blood collection for EPC determination was performed 24-48 hours,⁵⁴ 72 hours,²⁵ and up to a week⁵³ after the last exercise session. Some studies did not provide the time of blood collection.^{50,55,56} Only one study reported the time of day of blood collection, between 8:00 and 9:00 am.⁵³

Table 1 Main features of the included studies.

Study	Sample	Exercise training characteristics	EPC determination	Main results (number and functionality of EPCs)	PE德罗 score
Schlager et al. ²⁵	40 PAD patients with intermittent claudication EG: 20 (69±8 years; 13 men/7 women) CG: 20 (70±1 years; 11 men/9 women)	Mode: aerobic Length: 24 wks Frequency: 2 sessions/wk Intensity: walking speed that elicited claudication symptoms within 3-5 min Session: 50 min	CD34+/CD133+/KDR+ (% MNCs and absolute number/ml peripheral blood)	Number: ↑ percentage of EPCs Functionality: ↑ migratory capacity; ↑ CFU-EC (well)	7/10
Luk et al. ⁵⁶	64 stable CAD patients EG: 32 (67.7±9 years; 24 men/8 women) CG: 32 (66.6±7 years; 24 men/8 women)	Mode: aerobic and resistance; Length: 8 wks Frequency: 3 sessions/wk Intensity: 80% HRmax (aerobic exercise) and perceived exertion and % HRmax (resistance exercise) Session: 60 min	CD34+/KDR+ (% absolute cell counts divided by lymphocyte counts)	Number: no significant change Functionality: not assessed	6/10
Eleuteri et al. ⁵³	21 male patients with HF EG: 11 (66±2 years) CG: 10 (63±2 years)	Mode: aerobic; Length: 12 wks Frequency: 5 sessions/wk Intensity: HR at ventilatory threshold Session: 40 min	CD45dim/CD34+/KDR+ (% MNCs)	Number: ↑ percentage of EPCs Functionality: not assessed	5/10
Mezzani et al. ⁵⁵	30 male patients with HF EG: 15 (65±7 years) CG: 15 (63±7 years)	Mode: aerobic Length: 12 wks Frequency: 5 sessions/wk Intensity: HR at ventilatory threshold Session: 40 min	CD45dim/CD34+/KDR+ (% MNCs)	Number: ↑ percentage of EPCs Functionality: not assessed	6/10
Gagliardi et al. ⁵⁴	21 stable CAD patients EG: 11 (59.5±2 years; 7 men/4 women) CG: 10 (65.4±1.6 years; all men)	Mode: aerobic Length: 12 wks Frequency: 3 sessions/wk Intensity: not available Session: not available	CD34+/KDR+ (cells/100 000 laser detection events)	Number: no significant change Functionality: not assessed	6/10
Sandri et al. ⁵⁰	69 HF patients Group 1 (aged ≤55): EG: 15 (50±5 years; 12 men/3 women), CG: 30 (49±5 years; 13 men/2 women) Group 2 (aged ≥65): EG: 15 (72±4 years; 12 men/3 women), CG: 15 (72±3 years; 12 men/3 women)	Mode: aerobic Length: 4 wks Frequency: 5 sessions/wk Intensity: 70% VO _{2max} Session: 30 min (4 sessions) plus 60 min (1 session)	CD34+/KDR+ and CD133+/KDR+ (cells/ml)	Number: ↑ EPCs in both EGs Functionality: ↑ migratory capacity in both EGs	5/10

↑: increased; CAD: coronary artery disease; CFU-EC: colony forming unit endothelial cells; CG: control group; EG: experimental group; HF: heart failure; HR: heart rate; HR_{max}: maximum heart rate; MNCs: mononuclear cells; PAD: peripheral arterial disease; VO_{2max}: maximum oxygen uptake; wk: week.




Figure 2 Forest plots of effect size for exercise training interventions in patients with cardiovascular disease according to clinical condition. CI: confidence interval; EPCs: endothelial progenitor cells; ES: effect size.

Regarding the characteristics of exercise training, all studies used aerobic exercise, either exclusively^{25,50,53-55} or combined with resistance exercise.⁵⁶ The length of exercise interventions ranged from four⁵⁰ to 24 weeks,²⁵ with a frequency of two,²⁵ three,^{54,56} or five^{50,53,55} sessions per week. The duration of the exercise sessions ranged from 30 to 60 min. Exercise intensity was determined using claudication symptoms,²⁵ or heart rate at the ventilatory anaerobic threshold,^{53,55} at 80% of maximum heart rate (HR_{max})⁵⁶ or at 70% of maximum oxygen uptake.⁵⁰ Only one study involved resistance exercise determined by intensity assessed through perceived exertion and HR_{max} , at a similar intensity to aerobic exercise (80% of HR_{max}).⁵⁶ One study did not report either exercise session duration or exercise intensity.⁵⁴

Meta-analysis

When exercise training was compared to control, EPC levels were higher in the exercise training groups (ES=0.57; 95% CI: 0.01, 1.12), with considerable heterogeneity ($I^2=75.6\%$; $p<0.001$) (Figure 2).

Subgroup analyses and meta-regression

Subgroup analyses were performed based on the clinical condition, i.e. patients with HF and patients with arterial disease (CAD or PAD). Studies enrolling patients with CAD and PAD were grouped together, because only one study in patients with PAD was included in this review. In patients with HF, a significant increase in circulating EPC levels was seen in the exercise training group (ES=0.52; 95% CI: 0.15-0.90), with low heterogeneity ($I^2=0.0\%$; $p=0.648$) (Figure 2).

In patients with arterial disease, there was no significant increase in EPC levels in the exercise training groups (ES=0.67; 95% CI: -0.70-2.04), with considerable heterogeneity ($I^2=91.2\%$; $p<0.001$) (Figure 2). The two studies^{54,56} that enrolled patients with CAD showed no changes in circulating EPC numbers after the exercise training program, while the study²⁵ that assessed the effects of aerobic exercise in the mobilization of EPCs in patients with PAD and intermittent claudication showed a significant increase in circulating EPC levels.

The random-effects meta-regression model showed that age (Figure 3) and length of the intervention (Figure 4) are not related to heterogeneity across studies ($p=0.821$ for age, $p=0.113$ for length of the intervention).

Sensitivity analysis

The pooled effect size estimate was not significantly altered in magnitude or direction when individual study data were removed from the analysis one at a time.

Study quality and publication bias

The studies had a mean score of 6 on the PEDro scale, ranging from 5 to 7. Two studies scored 5, which indicates fair methodological quality; the remaining studies were classified as high methodological quality RCTs (Table 1).

There was no significant publication bias for exercise training intervention versus control, as demonstrated by the asymmetry of the funnel plot (Figure 5) and Egger's test ($p=0.324$).




Figure 3 Random effects meta-regression for age.




Figure 4 Random effects meta-regression for length of intervention.

Discussion

The present systematic review and meta-analysis provides a synthesis of the evidence, which suggests that exercise training interventions are successful in the mobilization of EPCs from bone marrow to circulation in patients with HF. Furthermore, the only study in patients with PAD also showed a significant increase in circulating EPC levels.

Our pooled data demonstrated that exercise training had a significant effect on EPCs in patients with HF. This finding is consistent with previous studies in patients with HF, which show an increase in the circulating levels and in-vitro functionality of EPCs after an aerobic exercise program.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ A previous systematic review and meta-analysis quantifying the effect of exercise training on endothelial function in heart failure patients, assessed by flow-mediated dilation,

but examining as a secondary aim the effects of exercise training on EPCs, also showed a significant improvement in EPCs as a result of exercise training.¹²

Various mechanisms may explain the beneficial effects of exercise training on EPC levels. In brief, exercise training influences the mobilization of EPCs by increasing shear stress and levels of nitric oxide and angiogenic factors, particularly vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), stromal cell-derived growth factor-1, hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) and matrix metalloproteinase-9.^{23,24,29} Ischemia is also a powerful stimulus for EPC mobilization, mainly due to the release of angiogenic factors such as VEGF and HIF-1.^{29,60,61} In this regard, the increase in EPCs observed in the study enrolling patients with PAD²⁵ could be explained by exercise-induced transitory ischemia, since patients were trained until moderate claudication was elicited.




Figure 5 Assessment of potential publication bias by Egger's test.

Interestingly, a previous study showed that four weeks of aerobic exercise-induced transitory ischemia was associated with a 5.2-fold increase in CD34+/KDR+ cells in patients with PAD, whereas no changes were observed in the PAD and CAD groups who underwent non-exercise-induced ischemia.⁶²

The absence of changes in EPC levels in patients with CAD in the RCTs included in this systematic review and meta-analysis has also been observed in other non-randomized trials.^{27,28} Nonetheless, conflicting responses to exercise training have been found in patients with CAD; some studies failed to show an increase in circulating EPC levels after continuous aerobic exercise at moderate intensity^{27,28} or interval training,²⁷ while others showed an increase in EPC levels in response to an aerobic exercise program^{63,64} or combined exercise training.⁶⁵ The lack of detailed descriptions regarding the dose of resistance training (number of series of exercise, number of repetitions, and resting time between series)⁵⁶ and aerobic training (session duration and exercise intensity)⁵⁴ in the included studies hinders comparisons between results and replication of their methodologies. The possibility that the exercise training protocol delivered a suboptimal shear stress stimulus that was insufficient to increase the mobilization of EPCs is noted as a possible explanation for the results observed in patients with CAD. Due to these limitations, these results should be interpreted with caution and future randomized trials are clearly needed in this population to enhance understanding of the effects of exercise training on EPCs in patients with CAD.

Study limitations

Some limitations of this review should be acknowledged. A major limitation is the considerable heterogeneity among studies. Differences in the methodological assessment of EPCs may have contributed to this level of heterogeneity. EPCs are a relatively scarce cell population, which makes their quantification by flow cytometry a challenging task.⁶⁶ The best EPC phenotype to determine EPCs in a clinical set-

ting appears to be CD34+/KDR+/CD45dim.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ The results of different studies may also to some extent be influenced by the lack of consistency in isolation strategies, use of lineage markers to determine EPCs, and units used to report EPCs.²² In this regard, the use of cells per ml as units to quantify EPCs⁵⁰ is a major limitation, due to the hemodilution that can be seen in patients with HF. Also, several studies did not clearly state the time of day of blood collection and the time from the last exercise session, as EPCs appear to display diurnal variation⁶⁹ and the timing of blood collection post-exercise could reflect the effect of the last exercise session.^{23,70} The differences between studies in disease severity, medication use and cardiovascular risk factors may also have contributed to the high heterogeneity. Data from some studies were extracted from figures, which is another potential source of error. The lack of a clear description of the characteristics (e.g., intensity, mode, duration, frequency) of the exercise training programs was also a limitation.

Future prospects

This analysis has several implications for further research. In most studies, the sample was composed mainly (or exclusively) of male patients; therefore, future studies should include mixed-gender samples and sub-analysis by gender, when possible, to ascertain the possible existence of between-gender differences, since hormones could influence EPC levels.⁷¹ It would be useful to assess endothelial function and correlate any changes with changes in EPC levels; the only study included in this review that assessed the relation between endothelial function and EPCs found no correlation. Although the effect of exercise on both endothelial function and EPC levels shares common mechanisms (decreasing inflammatory cytokine concentrations and increasing endothelial nitric oxide synthase activity and endothelium-dependent nitric oxide production),^{12,29,72} the relation between the two variables still lacks clarification.

Future studies should use standard gating strategies and assessment protocols for the quantification of EPCs.

Conclusions

This meta-analysis found that exercise training improves the number of circulating EPCs in patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction. This increase in circulating EPC levels may enhance endothelial function and repair. However, whether the same effects occur in patients with preserved ejection fraction, or whether the positive results observed in the only study in patients with PAD are replicated in further studies, is still unclear.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments

The Research Centre in Physical Activity, Health and Leisure (CIAFEL) is funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the Operational Competitiveness Programme (COMPETE) and by the FCT (grant UIDB/00617/2020). IBI-MED is a research unit supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (REF: UID/BIM/04501/2020) and FEDER/Compete2020 funds. This work was supported by FCT under the grant PTDC/MEC-CAR/30011/2017 and by the FEDER under the grant POCI-01-0145-FEDER-030011.

References

- Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts). Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:2315–81.
- Brainin P, Frestad D, Prescott E. The prognostic value of coronary endothelial and microvascular dysfunction in subjects with normal or non-obstructive coronary artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol*. 2018;254:1–9.
- Shechter M, Matetzky S, Arad M, et al. Vascular endothelial function predicts mortality risk in patients with advanced ischaemic chronic heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2009;11:588–93.
- Brevetti G, Silvestro A, Schiano V, et al. Endothelial dysfunction and cardiovascular risk prediction in peripheral arterial disease: additive value of flow-mediated dilation to ankle-brachial pressure index. *Circulation*. 2003;108:2093–8.
- Widmer RJ, Lerman A. Endothelial dysfunction and cardiovascular disease. *Glob Cardiol Sci Pract*. 2014;2014:291–308.
- Versari D, Daghini E, Virdis A, et al. Endothelial dysfunction as a target for prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32 Suppl. 2:314–21.
- Ribeiro F, Alves AJ, Teixeira M, et al. Endothelial function and atherosclerosis: circulatory markers with clinical usefulness. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2009;28:1121–51.
- Lusis AJ. Atherosclerosis. *Nature*. 2000;407:233–41.
- World Health Organization. Global atlas on cardiovascular disease prevention and control. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
- Messner B, Bernhard D. Smoking and cardiovascular disease: mechanisms of endothelial dysfunction and early atherogenesis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2014;34:509–15.
- Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G. Mediterranean dietary pattern, inflammation and endothelial function: a systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014;24:929–39.
- Pearson MJ, Smart NA. Effect of exercise training on endothelial function in heart failure patients: a systematic review meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol*. 2017;231:234–43.
- Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, et al. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33:1635–701.
- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:2129–200.
- Kato M, Kubo A, Nihei F, et al. Effects of exercise training on exercise capacity, cardiac function, BMI, and quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials. *Int J Rehabil Res*. 2017;40:193–201.
- Lin X, Zhang X, Guo J, et al. Effects of exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness and biomarkers of cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015;4.
- Padilla J, Simmons GH, Bender SB, et al. Vascular effects of exercise: endothelial adaptations beyond active muscle beds. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2011;26:132–45.
- Ashor AW, Lara J, Siervo M, et al. Exercise modalities and endothelial function: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sports Med*. 2015;45:279–96.
- Hill JM, Zalos G, Halcox JP, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, vascular function, and cardiovascular risk. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:593–600.
- Werner N, Kosiol S, Schiegl T, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells and cardiovascular outcomes. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353:999–1007.
- Van Craenenbroeck AH, Van Craenenbroeck EM. Endothelial progenitor cells and cardiovascular risk: does ageing trump all other factors? *Ann Transl Med*. 2016;4:553.
- Simard T, Jung RG, Motazedian P, et al. Progenitor cells for arterial repair: incremental advancements towards therapeutic reality. *Stem Cells Int*. 2017;2017:14.
- Ribeiro F, Ribeiro IP, Gonçalves AC, et al. Effects of resistance exercise on endothelial progenitor cell mobilization in women. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:17880.
- Ribeiro F, Ribeiro IP, Alves AJ, et al. Effects of exercise training on endothelial progenitor cells in cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2013;92:1020–30.
- Schlager O, Giurgea A, Schuhfried O, et al. Exercise training increases endothelial progenitor cells and decreases asymmetric dimethylarginine in peripheral arterial disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Atherosclerosis*. 2011;217:240–8.
- Van Craenenbroeck EM, Vrints CJ, Haine SE, et al. A maximal exercise bout increases the number of circulating CD34+/KDR+ endothelial progenitor cells in healthy subjects. Relation with lipid profile. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2008;104:1006–13.
- Van Craenenbroeck EM, Frederix G, Pattyn N, et al. Effects of aerobic interval training and continuous training on cellular markers of endothelial integrity in coronary artery disease:

- a SAINTEX-CAD substudy. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2015;309:H1876–82.
28. Hansen D, Eijnde BO, Roelants M, et al. Clinical benefits of the addition of lower extremity low-intensity resistance muscle training to early aerobic endurance training intervention in patients with coronary artery disease: a randomized controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med*. 2011;43:800–7.
 29. De Biase C, De Rosa R, Luciano R, et al. Effects of physical activity on endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs). *Front Physiol*. 2014;4:414.
 30. Guo Y, Ledesma RA, Peng R, et al. The beneficial effects of cardiac rehabilitation on the function and levels of endothelial progenitor cells. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2017;26:10–7.
 31. Linke A, Erbs S, Hambrecht R. Effects of exercise training upon endothelial function in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Front Biosci*. 2008;13:424–32.
 32. Recchioni R, Marcheselli F, Antonicelli R, et al. Physical activity and progenitor cell-mediated endothelial repair in chronic heart failure: Is there a role for epigenetics? *Mech Ageing Dev*. 2016;159:71–80.
 33. Thijssen DH, Torella D, Hopman MT, et al. The role of endothelial progenitor and cardiac stem cells in the cardiovascular adaptations to age and exercise. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)*. 2009;14:4685–702.
 34. Wahl P, Brixius K, Bloch W. Exercise-induced stem cell activation and its implication for cardiovascular and skeletal muscle regeneration. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol*. 2008;17:91–9.
 35. Witkowski S, Jenkins NT, Hagberg JM. Enhancing treatment for cardiovascular disease: exercise and circulating angiogenic cells. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2011;39:93–101.
 36. Volaklis KA, Tokmakidis SP, Halle M. Acute and chronic effects of exercise on circulating endothelial progenitor cells in healthy and diseased patients. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2013;102:249–57.
 37. Wahl P, Bloch W, Schmidt A. Exercise has a positive effect on endothelial progenitor cells, which could be necessary for vascular adaptation processes. *Int J Sports Med*. 2007;28:374–80.
 38. Van Craenenbroeck EM, Conraads VM. Mending injured endothelium in chronic heart failure: a new target for exercise training. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;166:310–4.
 39. Van Craenenbroeck EM, Conraads VM. Endothelial progenitor cells in vascular health: focus on lifestyle. *Microvasc Res*. 2010;79:184–92.
 40. Aicher A, Zeiher AM, Dimmeler S. Mobilizing endothelial progenitor cells. *Hypertension*. 2005;45:321–5.
 41. Brixius K, Funcke F, Graf C, et al. Endothelial progenitor cells: a new target for the prevention of cardiovascular diseases. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil*. 2006;13:705–10.
 42. Lenk K, Uhlemann M, Schuler G, et al. Role of endothelial progenitor cells in the beneficial effects of physical exercise on atherosclerosis and coronary artery disease. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2011;111:321–8.
 43. Moebius-Winkler S, Schuler G, Adams V. Endothelial progenitor cells and exercise-induced redox regulation. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2011;15:997–1011.
 44. Ross MD, Malone E, Florida-James G. Vascular ageing and exercise: focus on cellular reparative processes. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2016;2016:15.
 45. Wang Y, Li M, Dong F, et al. Physical exercise-induced protection on ischemic cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2015;8:19859–66.
 46. Palmefors H, DuttaRoy S, Rundqvist B, et al. The effect of physical activity or exercise on key biomarkers in atherosclerosis – a systematic review. *Atherosclerosis*. 2014;235:150–61.
 47. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, University of Oxford. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA); 2015. Available at: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>
 48. Asahara T, Murohara T, Sullivan A, et al. Isolation of putative progenitor endothelial cells for angiogenesis. *Science*. 1997;275:964–7.
 49. Moseley AM, Herbert RD, Maher CG, et al. Reported quality of randomized controlled trials of physiotherapy interventions has improved over time. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64:594–601.
 50. Sandri M, Viehmann M, Adams V, et al. Chronic heart failure and aging – effects of exercise training on endothelial function and mechanisms of endothelial regeneration: results from the Leipzig Exercise Intervention in Chronic heart failure and Aging (LEICA) study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2016;23:349–58.
 51. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6:e1000097.
 52. Sterne JA, Egger M, Smith GD. Systematic reviews in health care: investigating and dealing with publication and other biases in meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2001;323:101–5.
 53. Eleuteri E, Mezzani A, Di Stefano A, et al. Aerobic training and angiogenesis activation in patients with stable chronic heart failure: a preliminary report. *Biomarkers*. 2013;18:418–24.
 54. Gagliardi JA, Maciel N, Castellano JL, et al. Relationship between endothelial progenitor cells and vascular endothelial growth factor and its variation with exercise. *Thromb Res*. 2016;137:92–6.
 55. Mezzani A, Grassi B, Jones AM, et al. Speeding of pulmonary VO₂ on-kinetics by light-to-moderate-intensity aerobic exercise training in chronic heart failure: clinical and pathophysiological correlates. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;167:2189–95.
 56. Luk TH, Dai YL, Siu CW, et al. Effect of exercise training on vascular endothelial function in patients with stable coronary artery disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2012;19:830–9.
 57. Gatta L, Armani A, Iellamo F, et al. Effects of a short-term exercise training on serum factors involved in ventricular remodeling in chronic heart failure patients. *Int J Cardiol*. 2012;155:409–13.
 58. Van Craenenbroeck EM, Hoymans VY, Beckers PJ, et al. Exercise training improves function of circulating angiogenic cells in patients with chronic heart failure. *Basic Res Cardiol*. 2010;105:665–76.
 59. Sarto P, Balducci E, Balconi G, et al. Effects of exercise training on endothelial progenitor cells in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Card Fail*. 2007;13:701–8.
 60. Takahashi T, Kalka C, Masuda H, et al. Ischemia- and cytokine-induced mobilization of bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells for neovascularization. *Nat Med*. 1999;5:434–8.
 61. Forsythe JA, Jiang BH, Iyer NV, et al. Activation of vascular endothelial growth factor gene transcription by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1996;16:4604–13.
 62. Sandri M, Adams V, Gielen S, et al. Effects of exercise and ischemia on mobilization and functional activation of blood-derived progenitor cells in patients with ischemic syndromes: results of 3 randomized studies. *Circulation*. 2005;111:3391–9.
 63. Steiner S, Niessner A, Ziegler S, et al. Endurance training increases the number of endothelial progenitor cells in patients with cardiovascular risk and coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis*. 2005;181:305–10.
 64. Paul JD, Powell TM, Thompson M, et al. Endothelial progenitor cell mobilization and increased intravascular nitric oxide in patients undergoing cardiac rehabilitation. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev*. 2007;27:65–73.
 65. Laufs U, Werner N, Link A, et al. Physical training increases endothelial progenitor cells, inhibits neointima formation, and enhances angiogenesis. *Circulation*. 2004;109:220–6.
 66. Van Craenenbroeck EM, Van Craenenbroeck AH, van Ierssel S, et al. Quantification of circulating CD34+/KDR+/CD45dim

- endothelial progenitor cells: analytical considerations. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;167:1688–95.
67. Schmidt-Lucke C, Fichtlscherer S, Aicher A, et al. Quantification of circulating endothelial progenitor cells using the modified ISHAGE protocol. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e13790.
 68. Fadini GP, Losordo D, Dimmeler S. Critical reevaluation of endothelial progenitor cell phenotypes for therapeutic and diagnostic use. *Circ Res.* 2012;110:624–37.
 69. Thomas HE, Redgrave R, Cunnington MS, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells exhibit diurnal variation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2008;28:21–2.
 70. Adams V, Lenk K, Linke A, et al. Increase of circulating endothelial progenitor cells in patients with coronary artery disease after exercise-induced ischemia. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2004;24:684–90.
 71. Strehlow K, Werner N, Berweiler J, et al. Estrogen increases bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cell production and diminishes neointima formation. *Circulation.* 2003;107:3059–65.
 72. Landmesser U, Drexler H. The clinical significance of endothelial dysfunction. *Curr Opin Cardiol.* 2005;20:547–51.