



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Manual heating of the radial artery (Balbay maneuver) to facilitate radial puncture prior to transradial coronary catheterization



Sefa Ünal^a, Burak Açar^a, Çağrı Yayla^{a,*}, Mustafa Mücahit Balci^a, Ahmet Göktuğ Ertem^a, Meryem Kara^a, Orhan Maden^a, Ahmet Temizhan^a, Muharrem Tola^b, Yücel Balbay^a

^a Türkiye Yüksek İhtisas Training and Research Hospital, Cardiology Clinic, Ankara, Turkey

^b Türkiye Yüksek İhtisas Training and Research Hospital, Radiology Clinic, Ankara, Turkey

Received 23 March 2016; accepted 7 November 2016

Available online 25 May 2017

KEYWORDS

Cardiac catheterization;
Manual heating;
Transradial access

Abstract

Objective: Transradial access is widely used for both diagnostic and interventional cardiac procedures. The use of transradial access offers several advantages, including decreased bleeding, fewer vascular complications, and reduced length of hospital stay and cost. However, the small size of the radial artery limits the size of the equipment that can be used via this approach. In this study we sought to investigate whether preprocedural manual heating of the radial artery facilitates radial artery puncture.

Methods: Patients undergoing transradial cardiac catheterization were randomized to subcutaneous nitroglycerin plus diltiazem or manual heating. The study endpoint was puncture score (score 1: easiest – puncture at first attempt; score 2: second attempt; score 3: third attempt; score 4: fourth attempt or more; score 5: puncture failed).

Results: Ninety consecutive patients were enrolled in the study, 45 allocated to the drug treatment group and 45 to the heating group. Patients underwent radial artery ultrasound before catheterization. Complications were rare: one hematoma (drug treatment group) and one radial artery occlusion (heating group). Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics were similar. Baseline radial artery diameter was similar in both groups (2.41 ± 0.46 mm and 2.29 ± 0.48 mm in the heating and drug treatment groups, respectively). However, the median puncture score was lower in the heating group (1; interquartile range 1-2) compared to the drug treatment group (2; interquartile range 1-3; $p=0.001$).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cagriyayla@gmail.com (Ç. Yayla).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Cateterização
coronária;
Aquecimento manual;
Acesso transradial

Conclusions: Preprocedural manual heating of the radial artery facilitates radial artery puncture in patients undergoing transradial cardiac catheterization.

© 2017 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Aquecimento manual da artéria radial (manobra de Balbay) para facilitar a punção radial antes da cateterização coronária

Resumo

Objetivo: O acesso transradial (ATR) é usado habitualmente quer para procedimentos diagnósticos quer de intervenção coronária. O uso de ATR oferece várias vantagens: redução das hemorragias, menos complicações vasculares, menor tempo de internamento e representa menos custos associados. Porém, o reduzido calibre da artéria radial limita o tamanho dos dispositivos que podem ser usados por este acesso. Neste estudo, investigamos se o prévio aquecimento da artéria radial facilita, ou não, a punção radial.

Métodos: Doentes submetidos a cateterismo cardíaco por ATR foram aleatorizados para uma administração subcutânea de uma combinação de nitroglicerina e diltiazem ou, em alternativa, aquecimento manual da artéria radial. O *endpoint* do estudo foi o *score* de punção (*score* 1: punção simples à primeira tentativa; *score* 2: punção à segunda tentativa; *score* 3: punção à terceira tentativa; *score* 4: punção à quarta ou mais tentativa; *score* 5: punção radial falhada).

Resultados: Noventa doentes consecutivos foram incluídos no estudo – 45 no grupo de tratamento farmacológico e 45 no grupo de aquecimento manual. Os doentes fizeram avaliação ecográfica da artéria radial antes do cateterismo. As complicações ocorreram de forma rara: um hematoma (grupo tratamento) e uma oclusão radial (grupo aquecimento). As características basais demográficas e clínicas foram semelhantes. O diâmetro basal da artéria radial foi semelhante em ambos os grupos ($2,41 \pm 0,46$ mm e $2,29 \pm 0,48$ mm nos grupos de aquecimento e de tratamento, respetivamente). No entanto, o *score* de punção foi mais baixo no grupo aquecimento (1; intervalo interquartil 1-2) comparado com o grupo tratamento (2; intervalo interquartil 1-3; $p=0,001$).

Conclusões: O aquecimento manual da artéria radial facilita a punção radial em doentes submetidos a cateterismo cardíaco por via transradial.

© 2017 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos os direitos reservados.

Introduction

The transradial access approach is effective for cardiac catheterization and percutaneous coronary intervention.^{1,2} It is becoming increasingly popular due to lower vascular complication rates, reduced costs, and earlier mobilization.³⁻⁶ Local complications remain low even in high-risk subgroups such as the elderly or obese, females, after acute myocardial infarction or under anticoagulation.⁷⁻¹⁰ The transfemoral approach is associated with greater procedural complications, particularly access-site major bleeding, which is becoming an increasingly important issue in the era of more potent antiplatelet and antithrombotic agents used to prevent cardiac ischemia, and is associated with more adverse clinical events, including mortality.^{11,12} Although the transradial approach is a demanding technique, with a steep learning curve due to a high number of procedural failures before gaining experience, at present it is the first-line access in many interventional cardiology centers.¹³⁻¹⁵ In a comparison of radial and femoral

approaches in patients undergoing coronary angiography or intervention, a lower rate of access-related complications was observed with radial access.¹⁶ In the RIVAL study on patients with acute coronary syndrome, radial artery access reduced the composite primary outcome and mortality.¹² The RIFLE-STEACS study showed that radial access in patients with ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome is associated with significant clinical benefits, in terms of both lower morbidity and cardiac mortality.¹⁷ In the MATRIX trial of patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing invasive management, radial as compared with femoral access reduced net adverse clinical events, through a reduction in major bleeding and all-cause mortality.¹⁸ For these reasons, the radial approach is becoming increasingly popular.

The main problem is the difficulty of the initial puncture of a small and highly reactive artery. Radial spasm increases after subcutaneous injection of lidocaine during local anesthesia, because lidocaine at room temperature is colder than body temperature.

Cold stimulates the sympathetic nervous system and sympathetic stimulation causes vasoconstriction.¹⁹ Subcutaneous injections of lidocaine or other medications cause radial artery vasoconstriction, which hampers radial puncture, sheath insertion and coronary angiography. Manual heating inhibits cold-induced vasoconstriction and may decrease the duration of sheath insertion.

Topical and subcutaneous use of nitroglycerin before transradial access has been shown to facilitate radial access by increasing the diameter of the artery, improving palpability of the radial pulse and reducing arterial spasticity.^{20,21}

The present study was a prospective randomized trial comparing manual heating of the radial artery vs. the combination of subcutaneous nitroglycerin plus diltiazem before transradial access in terms of the spasmolytic effect on the radial artery and ease of transradial access.

Methods

Study population

Between July 2015 and September 2015, a total of 106 consecutive patients who underwent a transradial approach due to suspected stable coronary artery disease were enrolled in the study. Patients with previous transradial coronary revascularization, acute coronary syndrome, abnormal pulse oximetry plethysmography test, hemodynamic impairment, uncontrolled hypertension, vasculopathy, or inability to tolerate nitroglycerin or lidocaine due to allergy or medication interactions were excluded. In total, 16 patients were excluded, and 90 patients were included and randomized.

Hypertension was defined as documented systolic blood pressure of ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 90 mmHg in at least two measurements or active use of any antihypertensive agent. Diabetes was diagnosed as fasting plasma glucose over 126 mg/dl or 2-h glucose over 200 mg/dl in any measurement or active use of an antidiabetic agent. Smoking was defined as current smoking. Patients' weight and height were recorded and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kg divided by the square of height in m. Body surface area (BSA) was calculated using the Mosteller formula.

Blood samples were obtained from the antecubital vein after a 12-hour fasting period. A Coulter LH series analyzer (Beckman Coulter Inc., Hialeah, FL) was used to obtain a complete blood count. Plasma levels of triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, glucose, and creatinine were assessed using an automated chemistry analyzer (Abbott Aeroset, USA) using commercially available kits (Abbott, USA).

The study complied with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee. All patients provided written informed consent before the procedure.

Measurement of radial artery diameter

All patients underwent radial artery ultrasound before catheterization. The radial artery was measured using B-mode ultrasound (Mindray M7 system, Mindray Medical International Limited, Shenzhen, PRC), using a 7L4s linear probe



Figure 1 Manual heating of the radial artery with the palm (Balbay maneuver).

(5-10 mHz) before the procedure. The radial artery was located, and radial diameters were measured 3 cm proximal to the styloid process at the R peak of the electrocardiogram. For each image, the mean of five measurements was obtained.

Procedures

Patients undergoing transradial cardiac catheterization were randomized to a combination of subcutaneous nitroglycerin plus diltiazem or to manual heating (Balbay maneuver). The operators were blinded to the study. The operator's assistant used one of the two methods, and then cardiac catheterization was performed by the operator. The baseline patency of the palmar arch was assessed with a combination of pulse oximetry and plethysmography as described by Barbeau et al.²² in all patients. Patients with a type D response on the Barbeau test were excluded from the study. Routine prior sedation with agents such as midazolam or diazepam was not used in the study. In the first study arm, 200 mg nitroglycerin plus 2 mg diltiazem subcutaneously was used at the time of local anesthesia (1% lidocaine subcutaneously) regardless of the presence of a faint radial pulse. In the other study arm, manual heating (Balbay maneuver) was applied to all patients regardless of the presence of a faint radial pulse. The Balbay maneuver is defined as heating the radial artery site for three minutes with the palm (Figure 1) after administration of 1% lidocaine for local anesthesia subcutaneously. An arterial sheath is then inserted into the artery. In the study we used the Seldinger technique with a single anterior wall puncture. The artery was punctured with a sharp hollow needle, the syringe was detached and the guidewire advanced through the lumen of the needle, and the needle was withdrawn. A 10-cm 6F hydrophilic sheath (Radifocus Introducer II, Terumo, Leuven, Belgium) was then passed over the guidewire into the vessel.^{23,24} After sheath insertion, a radial cocktail containing 2 mg diltiazem, 200 mg nitroglycerin and heparin 5000 IU diluted in a 10-ml syringe was injected gradually through the sheath side arm into the radial artery. Coronary angiography was performed using 6F catheters (Boston Scientific). All coronary angiograms were

performed by two interventional cardiologists experienced in radial access.

Study endpoint

The study endpoint was puncture score, defined as score 1: successful puncture at first attempt; score 2: second attempt; score 3: third attempt; score 4: fourth attempt or more; score 5: puncture failed.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 21.0 for Windows (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Continuous variables were expressed as means \pm standard deviation and categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test normality of distribution and the Student's t test and the Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare parametric and nonparametric continuous variables, respectively. Differences between treatment groups for categorical variables were analyzed

using the chi-square test. A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 90 patients were enrolled in the study, 45 randomized to subcutaneous nitroglycerin plus diltiazem and 45 to manual heating (Balbay maneuver). The mean age of the participants was 60.2 ± 10.8 years and 68.8% were male. Baseline characteristics and laboratory parameters were similar between groups with the exception of aspirin use ($p > 0.05$). Patients' weight, height, BMI, and BSA were similar between the groups ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1). Complications were rare: one hematoma in the drug treatment group and one radial artery occlusion in the heating group. Baseline radial artery diameter was similar between the groups (2.41 ± 0.46 vs. 2.29 ± 0.48 mm; $p = 0.242$). There was also no difference between the groups in blood pressure ($p > 0.05$). Puncture score was lower in patients with manual heating than in those treated with subcutaneous nitroglycerin plus diltiazem (2 [1-3] vs. 1 [1-2]; $p = 0.001$) (Table 2). Successful puncture of the radial artery at the first attempt was

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study population.

Parameters	Drug treatment (n=45)	Manual heating (n=45)	p
Age, years	58.3 ± 11.7	62.2 ± 9.6	0.097
Male, n (%)	30 (66.7)	32 (71.1)	0.649
Weight, kg	64.3 ± 12.3	67.0 ± 15.8	0.389
Height, cm	162 ± 7	163 ± 8	0.761
BMI	24.3 ± 4.9	24.9 ± 5.0	0.553
BSA, m ²	1.68 ± 0.20	1.73 ± 0.23	0.330
Smoking, n (%)	18 (40.0)	22 (48.8)	0.396
Hypertension, n (%)	23 (51.1)	32 (71.1)	0.052
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	20 (44.4)	15 (33.3)	0.280
Diabetes, n (%)	10 (22.2)	18 (40.0)	0.069
Known CAD, n (%)	18 (40.0)	23 (51.1)	0.290
Postprocedural PCI, n (%)	3 (6.6)	9 (20.0)	0.063
Creatinine, mg/dl	0.95 ± 0.20	1.02 ± 0.18	0.148
<i>Preprocedural medical therapy</i>			
Beta-blockers, n (%)	28 (62.2)	22 (48.8)	0.099
Calcium channel blockers, n (%)	9 (20.0)	10 (22.2)	0.796
Nitrates, n (%)	4 (8.8)	7 (15.5)	0.238
Aspirin, n (%)	29 (64.4)	38 (84.4)	0.030
Clopidogrel, n (%)	5 (11.1)	8 (17.7)	0.368

BMI: body mass index; BSA: body surface area; CAD: coronary artery disease; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention. Data are given as mean \pm SD or n (%).

Table 2 Preprocedural radial artery parameters of the study population.

Parameters	Drug treatment (n=45)	Manual heating (n=45)	p
Puncture score, n	2 (1-3)	1 (1-2)	0.001
Preprocedural RAD, mm	2.41 ± 0.46	2.29 ± 0.48	0.242
Left arm approach, n (%)	9 (20.0)	15 (33.3)	0.153
Systolic BP, mmHg	134.4 ± 9.0	135.0 ± 8.0	0.768
Diastolic BP, mmHg	78.0 ± 6.0	79.1 ± 6.1	0.397

BP: blood pressure; RAD: radial artery diameter. Data are given as mean \pm SD, n (%) or median (interquartile range).

Table 3 Distribution of puncture score.

Puncture score	Drug treatment (n=45)	Manual heating (n=45)	p
1	16 (35.5)	32 (71.1)	0.001
2	15 (33.3)	9 (20)	0.153
3	5 (11.1)	1 (2.2)	0.091
4	6 (13.3)	2 (4.5)	0.138
5	3 (6.8)	1 (2.2)	0.306

Data are given as n (%).

significantly more common in the manual heating group ($p=0.001$) (Table 3).

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that the Balbay maneuver after local anesthesia in the setting of radial access for coronary angiography or angioplasty was feasible, facilitated radial artery puncture and may decrease the duration of sheath insertion. This result was observed with operators highly experienced in transradial access, and could be generalized for less experienced operators, including those training in the technique. This is the first study to demonstrate the feasibility of preprocedural manual heating of the radial artery.

The radial access was first used in 1989. This approach showed real advantages, with fewer bleeding and vascular complications, earlier mobilization and earlier discharge from the hospital. But insertion of a radial sheath in the radial artery may be technically difficult because of small artery caliber, arterial vasospasm and low patient pain threshold. The radial artery has a small diameter (2.3-3.4 mm) and is composed of concentric smooth muscle layers, with dense alpha-1 adrenergic innervation.²⁵⁻²⁷ Spasm is the major complication of radial access and occurs mainly in females when there are painful and repeated punctures.

Tarhan et al. reported that hypothermia plays an important role in radial artery vasospasm.²⁸ It is important to determine the main factors that cause abnormal vasoconstrictor activation in the vessel, which include trauma from the lidocaine injector, temperature changes, and release of vasoconstrictors and certain hormones. For a transradial approach, lidocaine or other subcutaneous pharmacological agents such as nitrates or calcium channel blockers injected into the radial access site are at room temperature. Room temperature (around 22-24°C) is always colder than body temperature. Exposure to cold stimulates cold receptors in the skin, which causes cold thermal sensations and stimulates the sympathetic nervous system. Sympathetic stimulation causes vasoconstriction in skin, arms and legs.¹⁹ This physiological response of the body after lidocaine or other subcutaneous injections causes radial artery vasoconstriction, which hampers radial puncture, sheath insertion and coronary angiography. Manual heating may decrease the duration of sheath insertion.

In our study we performed the Balbay maneuver to counteract the vasoconstrictor effects of cold. Manual heating of the radial access site results in vasodilation, a stronger radial

pulse and greater likelihood of successful radial artery puncture with the Seldinger needle. Puncture scores were lower in patients with manual heating than in those treated with subcutaneous nitroglycerin plus diltiazem. This result shows that the Balbay maneuver after lidocaine injection facilitates radial puncture and sheath insertion. The technique is cheap, involving no additional cost, and can be performed by anyone. We believe that the Balbay maneuver could be routinely used in transradial coronary catheterization.

Our study is limited by being in a single center with a small sample, and so further investigation is needed. It was also not a double-blind study; only the operators were blinded. Furthermore, there was no control group who did not undergo either subcutaneous nitroglycerin plus diltiazem injection or manual heating.

Conclusion

Manual heating of the radial artery site significantly reduced the number of radial puncture attempts. Preprocedural manual heating of the radial artery area by the Balbay maneuver is feasible and facilitates radial artery puncture in patients undergoing transradial cardiac catheterization.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the relevant clinical research ethics committee and with those of the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the written informed consent of the patients or subjects mentioned in the article. The corresponding author is in possession of this document.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Kiemeneij F, Laarman GJ, Slagboom T, et al. Outpatient coronary stent implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1997;29:323-7.
2. Agostoni P, Biondi-Zoccai GG, de Benedictis ML, et al. Radial versus femoral approach for percutaneous coronary diagnostic and interventional procedures; systematic overview and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:349-56.
3. Kiemeneij F, Laarman GJ, Odekerken D, et al. A randomized comparison of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty by the radial, brachial and femoral approaches: the access study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1997;29:1269-75.
4. Mann T, Cubeddu G, Bowen J, et al. Stenting in acute coronary syndromes: a comparison of radial versus femoral access sites. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1998;32:572-6.

5. Youn YJ, Lee JW, Ahn SG, et al. Current practice of transradial coronary angiography and intervention: results from the Korean Transradial Intervention Prospective Registry. *Korean Circ J*. 2015;45:457–68.
6. Marques N, Faria R, Sousa P, et al. The impact of direct access to primary angioplasty on reducing the mortality associated with anterior ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the experience of the Algarve region of Portugal. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2012;31:647–54.
7. Hetherington SL, Adam Z, Morley R, et al. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: changing patterns of vascular access, radial versus femoral artery. *Heart*. 2009;95:1612–8.
8. Hamon M, Sabatier R, Zhao Q, et al. Mini-invasive strategy in acute coronary syndromes: direct coronary stenting using 5 Fr guiding catheters and transradial approach. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2002;55:340–3.
9. Louvard Y, Benamer H, Garot P, et al. Comparison of transradial and transfemoral approaches for coronary angiography and angioplasty in octogenarians (the OCTOPLUS study). *Am J Cardiol*. 2004;94:1177–80.
10. Molinari G, Nicoletti I, De Benedictis M, et al. Safety and efficacy of the percutaneous radial artery approach for coronary angiography and angioplasty in the elderly. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2005;17:651–4.
11. Chase AJ, Fretz EB, Warburton WP, et al. Association of the arterial access site at angioplasty with transfusion and mortality: the M.O.R.T.A.L study (Mortality benefit Of Reduced Transfusion after percutaneous coronary intervention via the Arm or Leg). *Heart*. 2008;94:1019–25.
12. Jolly SS, Yusuf S, Cairns J, et al. Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography and intervention in patients with acute coronary syndromes (RIVAL): a randomised, parallel group, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2011;377:1409–20.
13. Fukuda N, Iwahara S, Harada A, et al. Vasospasms of the radial artery after the transradial approach for coronary angiography and angioplasty. *Jpn Heart J*. 2004;45:723–31.
14. Bazemore E, Mann JT 3rd. Problems and complications of the transradial approach for coronary interventions: a review. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2005;17:156–9.
15. Inan MB, Acikgoz B, Yazicioglu L, et al. A rare complication of radial artery catheterization. *Vascular*. 2011;19:167–9.
16. Jolly SS, Amlani S, Hamon M, et al. Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography or intervention and the impact on major bleeding and ischemic events: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am Heart J*. 2009;157:132–40.
17. Romagnoli E, Biondi-Zoccai G, Sciahbasi A, et al. Radial versus femoral randomized investigation in ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: the RIFLE-STEACS (Radial Versus Femoral Randomized Investigation in ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:2481–9.
18. Valgimigli M, Gagnor A, Calabro P, et al. Radial versus femoral access in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing invasive management: a randomised multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385:2465–76.
19. Rintamäki H. Human responses to cold. *Alaska Med*. 2007;49:29–31.
20. Ouadhour A, Sideris G, Smida W, et al. Usefulness of subcutaneous nitrate for radial access. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2008;72:343–6.
21. Beyer AT, Ng R, Singh A, et al. Topical nitroglycerin and lidocaine to dilate the radial artery prior to transradial cardiac catheterization: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial: the PRE-DILATE Study. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;168:2575–8.
22. Barbeau GR, Arseneault F, Dugas L, et al. Evaluation of the ulnopalmar arterial arches with pulse oximetry and plethysmography: comparison with the Allen's test in 1010 patients. *Am Heart J*. 2004;147:489–93.
23. Rao SV, Tremmel JA, Gilchrist IC, et al. Best practices for transradial angiography and intervention: a consensus statement from the society for cardiovascular angiography and intervention's transradial working group. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2014;83:228–36.
24. Hamon M, Pristipino C, Di Mario C, et al. Consensus document on the radial approach in percutaneous cardiovascular interventions: position paper by the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions and Working Groups on Acute Cardiac Care** and Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology. *EuroIntervention*. 2013;8:1242–51.
25. Ruiz-Salmeron RJ, Mora R, Velez-Gimon M, et al. Radial artery spasm in transradial cardiac catheterization. Assessment of factors related to its occurrence, and of its consequences during follow-up. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2005;58:504–11.
26. Yoo BS, Yoon J, Ko JY, et al. Anatomical consideration of the radial artery for transradial coronary procedures: arterial diameter, branching anomaly and vessel tortuosity. *Int J Cardiol*. 2005;101:421–7.
27. Madssen E, Haere P, Wiseth R. Radial artery diameter and vasodilatory properties after transradial coronary angiography. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2006;82:1698–702.
28. Tarhan IA, Kehlibar T, Yapici F, et al. Efficacy of physiologic temperature on the spasm of harvested radial artery. *Heart Surg Forum*. 2006;9:E765–9.