



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Gender differences in quality of life perception and cardiovascular risk in a community sample



Joana Prata^{a,*}, Amadeu Quelhas Martins^{b,c}, Sónia Ramos^a,
Francisco Rocha-Gonçalves^a, Rui Coelho^{c,d}

^a *Unidade de Investigação & Desenvolvimento Cardiovascular, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal*

^b *Instituto Nacional de Engenharia Biomédica (INEB), Porto, Portugal*

^c *Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal*

^d *Departamento de Neurociências Clínicas e Saúde Mental, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal*

Received 9 June 2015; accepted 13 September 2015

Available online 26 February 2016

KEYWORDS

Cardiovascular risk factors;
Self-reported health;
Quality of life;
Gender

Abstract

Introduction: Self-reported health and quality of life is an independent predictor of overall and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, and incident coronary heart disease. However, less is known regarding how gender differences in cardiovascular risk factors impact quality of life perception.

Methods: Primary healthcare users (n=261, 158 women) were screened for cardiovascular risk factors and completed the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form (SF-36).

Results: Women had significantly lower alcohol consumption, body mass index and exercise frequency than men, but more prevalent psychiatric history, depressive and anxiety symptoms, and negative affectivity. Prevalences of hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia and type D personality were similar between genders. Women reported significantly worse quality of life on most SF-36 subscales and gender differences were apparent in predictors of quality of life. Moreover, high negative affectivity was an independent predictor of worse general health for women, whereas high social inhibition and high anxiety had a comparable role for men.

Conclusion: Gender specific in cardiovascular risk factors should be considered in prevention strategies. Women reported significantly worse quality of life, putting them at higher risk for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Therefore, gender differences in predictors of quality of life warrant further investigation.

© 2016 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: joanappr@gmail.com (J. Prata).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Fatores de risco cardiovascular;
Saúde auto-relatada;
Qualidade de vida;
Especificidades de gênero

Diferenças de gênero na percepção de qualidade de vida e risco cardiovascular numa amostra comunitária

Resumo

Introdução: A percepção de qualidade de vida é um preditor independente de mortalidade geral, morbidade e mortalidade cardiovascular, e incidência de doença cardíaca coronária. Contudo, permanece por esclarecer qual o impacto que as diferenças específicas de gênero nos fatores de risco cardiovascular têm na percepção de qualidade de vida.

Métodos: Duzentos e sessenta e um utentes dos cuidados primários (158 mulheres) foram rastreados para fatores de risco cardiovascular e preencheram a versão portuguesa do *Medical Outcomes Study Short Form (SF-36)*.

Resultados: As mulheres apresentaram um padrão de menor consumo de álcool, índice de massa corporal e frequência de exercício físico, mas maior prevalência de antecedentes psiquiátricos, sintomatologia depressiva e ansiosa, e afetividade negativa. As prevalências de hipertensão, diabetes, dislipidemia e personalidade tipo D foram semelhantes entre homens e mulheres. Globalmente, as mulheres apresentaram piores índices de qualidade de vida na maioria das subescalas do SF-36 e encontraram-se diferenças de gênero nos preditores de qualidade de vida. Enquanto nas mulheres, a elevada afetividade negativa foi um preditor independente de pior saúde geral, nos homens, esse efeito foi verificado para índices elevados de inibição social e ansiedade.

Conclusões: As especificidades de gênero nos fatores de risco cardiovascular devem ser tidas em consideração nas estratégias de prevenção primária. As mulheres apresentam qualidade de vida significativamente pior, o que as coloca em maior risco de morbidade e mortalidade cardiovascular, pelo que as diferenças de gênero nos preditores de qualidade de vida devem ser investigados.

© 2016 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos os direitos reservados.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains the leading cause of premature death in Europe. Unhealthy lifestyles and psychosocial burden are commonly associated with CVD, and not surprisingly, most of its mortality is preventable through appropriate changes in lifestyle.¹ It is well established that psychosocial risk factors interact with biomedical vulnerabilities in the development of CVD, and approximately one third of the attributable risk of acute myocardial infarction can be related to major life events, depression or stress related to work, family or finances. Furthermore, when several psychosocial risk factors cluster in the same individual, the risk for both cardiac events and severe atherosclerosis is substantially increased.²

Men and women have similar biomedical risk factors for CVD, but their relative weight and impact on the pathophysiology of the disease differ markedly.³ Prevention strategies could benefit from taking these risk profile differences into account. Further, gender differences in both disease progression and impact of risk factors could explain why prevalence rates, symptom profiles and medical outcomes still have different prevalences between genders.^{4,5} Specifically, women with ischemic heart disease have worse outcomes than men regardless of age,⁴ but usually present much less severe anatomical obstructive lesions and better preserved systolic function.^{4,6} Clearly, other factors are at play in this apparent contradiction.

It is well established that psychosocial factors such as negative affect and personality traits can increase the risk

for CVD.² However, it is unknown whether they also impact cardiovascular health differentially by gender, thereby contributing to higher CVD morbidity and mortality among women. Specifically, depression is an established risk factor for both CVD patients and healthy individuals, increasing both cardiac morbidity and mortality,⁷⁻⁹ while anxiety has been identified as an independent risk factor for CVD in the community.^{10,11} Similarly, type D personality is a stable personality construct marked by negative affectivity and social inhibition that combine to produce sustained high levels of mental distress and increased physical symptoms in both CVD patients and healthy participants.¹²⁻¹⁴ Overall, these psychosocial factors have been consistently linked to CVD risk, but little progress has been made in examining their differential impact by gender.

Self-reported health is an independent predictor of overall and cardiovascular mortality in most studies, and individuals who assess their health as fair or poor have a higher mortality risk than those whose assessment is better.^{15,16} Moreover, after controlling for sociodemographic characteristics, health care access and risk factors, self-reported health is still a significant and independent predictor of both global morbidity onset¹⁵ and CVD incidence.^{17,18} Specifically, the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form (SF-36) has been shown to predict mortality in community samples.^{19,20} In particular, the physical component summary of the SF-36 (derived from the sum of scores obtained on the physical functioning, role-physical, bodily pain and general health subscales) exhibits a strong association with mortality, estimates being independent of other

physical factors like obesity, physical inactivity or a low-fiber diet.^{19,20}

This study aimed to assess how gender patterns of known cardiovascular risk factors (biomedical and psychosocial) influence self-reported quality of life in a sample of primary health care users without a CVD diagnosis. To the best of our knowledge, this study is pioneering in bridging these two important issues.

Methods

Participants

As part of a prospective study, 261 primary health care users (158 women) were recruited in various health provision settings in the northern region of Portugal. The study design was explained to each participant and written informed consent was obtained. Exclusion criteria comprised either documented or self-reported CVD.

Instruments

Participants were interviewed and assessed for various demographic, behavioral, clinical and psychosocial measures. Questionnaires were used to collect these data.

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is a self-evaluation questionnaire which comprises two subscales, one measuring depression (HAD-D) and the other measuring anxiety (HAD-A).^{21,22} The HADS has been validated for the Portuguese population.²³ A HADS cutoff score greater than 7 in any of the subscales indicates the presence of symptoms, e.g. HAD-D ≥ 8 indicates depressive symptoms.

Type D personality was assessed using a Portuguese version of the Type D Scale-14 (DS14), which comprises

14 items, seven assessing negative affectivity and seven assessing social inhibition.²⁴ A score of at least 10 on both subscales identifies individuals as having type D (or distressed) personality.²⁵

Quality of life was assessed using the Portuguese version of the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form SF-36,^{26,27} which comprises 36 questions across eight subscales of functional health and well-being: physical functioning, role-physical, bodily pain, general health, mental health, role-emotional, social functioning and vitality.

Data reduction and analysis

Univariate analysis was used to compare demographic, behavioral, clinical and psychometric variables between genders. Differences between proportions were examined with chi-square tests, while mean comparisons were conducted with Student's t tests. A multivariate logistic regression analysis (backward stepwise method) was conducted to quantify independent associations of previously identified correlates with gender. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals are reported. A series of multivariate linear regression analyses were conducted separately by gender to identify putative predictors of quality of life scores. The statistical significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Univariate analysis

Sociodemographic and clinical variables were compared by gender (Table 1). No differences were found regarding age, educational level, living status or occupation. Women

Table 1 Univariate comparison of participants' sociodemographic and clinical characteristics by gender.

Variable	Males	Females	p
Age (mean \pm SD)	44.0 \pm 11.4	41.8 \pm 10.2	0.107
Education (years, mean \pm SD)	16.5 \pm 3.9	16.1 \pm 3.7	0.378
Living alone (%)	20.4	15.8	0.344
Occupation (%)			0.782
Active	80.6	81.0	
Unemployed	11.7	9.5	
Retired	7.7	9.5	
Smoking (%)	22.3	26.6	0.438
Alcohol consumption (%)	50.5	20.3	<0.001
Exercise (%)			0.015
No exercise	24.3	36.1	
Occasional exercise	31.1	36.1	
Regular exercise	44.6	27.8	
BMI (mean \pm SD)	26.2 \pm 4.4	23.5 \pm 3.8	<0.001
Hypertension (%)	3.6	10.1	0.391
Diabetes (%)	4.9	1.3	0.079
Dyslipidemia (%)	25.2	17.7	0.143
Chronic illness (%)	4.9	8.9	0.223

BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation.

Table 2 Univariate comparison of participants' psychometric outcomes by gender.

Variables	Males	Females	p
<i>Depression</i>			
HADS-D (mean ± SD)	2.8±3.2	3.8±4.0	0.022
HADS-D ≥8 (%)	9.7	19.0	0.042
Antidepressant medication (%)	5.8	13.3	0.053
Psychiatric history (%)	16.5	27.2	0.044
<i>Anxiety</i>			
HADS-A (mean ± SD)	4.5±3.8	5.1±4.2	0.236
HADS-A ≥8 (%)	22.3	25.9	0.506
<i>Type D personality (DS14)</i>			
Type D (NA ≥10 and SI ≥10) (%)	22.3	18.4	0.432
NA (mean ± SD)	7.4±6.4	9.1±7.6	0.054
SI (mean ± SD)	8.0±6.6	7.7±6.8	0.743
<i>Quality of life (SF-36 components)</i>			
Physical functioning (mean ± SD)	94.5±11.9	90.7±16.5	0.031
Role-physical (mean ± SD)	97.8±10.6	90.6±24.7	0.001
Bodily pain (mean ± SD)	89.8±13.3	82.6±20.4	0.001
General health (mean ± SD)	76.5±15.6	73.1±18.8	0.116
Mental health (mean ± SD)	79.7±15.6	71.6±20.5	<0.001
Role-emotional (mean ± SD)	91.6±26.7	77.28±37.0	<0.001
Social functioning (mean ± SD)	89.4±16.0	83.04±21.0	0.006
Vitality (mean ± SD)	72.0±15.6	63.4±20.1	<0.001

DS14: Type D Scale-14; HADS-A: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety; HADS-D: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression; NA: negative affectivity; SF-36: Medical Outcomes Study Short Form; SI: social inhibition.

presented significantly lower alcohol consumption ($p<0.001$), lower body mass index ($p<0.001$) and less exercise activity ($p<0.05$) than men. Regarding psychosocial measures (Table 2), women scored significantly higher than men for HAD-D ($p<0.05$), which was corroborated by a greater proportion of women (19% vs. 9.7%) exceeding the scale cutoff for depression ($p<0.05$). A history of antidepressant medication use ($p=0.05$) and psychiatric referral and/or complaints ($p<0.05$) was also more common among women. The prevalence of type D personality was similar between genders, although women scored significantly higher than men in negative affectivity ($p=0.05$). Finally, women had lower scores in all SF-36 dimensions, indicating an overall perception of poorer health and well-being, and this was statistically significant for all dimensions with the exception of general health ($p=0.505$).

Multivariate analysis

Table 3 shows the multivariate logistic regression model (Nagelkerke $R^2=0.32$) obtained for the independent correlates of gender. Lower body mass index ($p<0.001$), less frequent exercise ($p<0.01$), and less alcohol consumption ($p<0.001$) were all independently associated with women (Table 3). Further, women were more than twice as likely than men to use antidepressants (OR 2.877, $p=0.05$) and to exceed the HADS-D cutoff for depression (OR 2.137, $p=0.098$).

Table 4 displays the results of the multivariate linear regression analysis of participants' quality of life scores (only statistically significant scores are shown). Among men, an increased sense of 'physical functioning' was predicted by lower body mass, more frequent exercise, less prevalent

Table 3 Summary of multivariate model for the independent correlates of gender (multivariate logistic regression analysis).

Correlates	B	SE	Wald chi-square	df	Odds ratio	95% CI	p
Body mass index	-0.209	0.041	26.06	1	0.812	0.749 0.879	0.000
Occasional exercise	-0.364	0.371	0.964	1	0.695	0.336 1.437	0.326
Frequent exercise	-1.016	0.368	7.613	1	0.362	0.176 0.745	0.006
Alcohol consumption	-1.445	0.310	21.787	1	0.236	0.128 0.432	0.000
HADS-D ≥8	0.759	0.459	2.735	1	2.137	0.869 5.256	0.098
Antidepressant medication	1.057	0.549	3.708	1	2.877	0.981 8.432	0.054

CI: confidence interval; df: degrees of freedom; HADS-D: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression; SE: standard error. Backward likelihood ratio method; female gender coded as 1.

Table 4 Independent predictors of quality of life dimensions in men and women (multivariate linear regression analysis).

Predictor variables	SF-36 (standardized beta coefficients)																
	Physical functioning		Role-physical		Bodily pain		General health		Mental health		Role-emotional		Social functioning		Vitality		
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Age							0.002										
Living alone		<0.001															
Education		<0.001														0.006	
Occupation																	
BMI	0.015			0.012		0.010		0.000									
Hypertension		0.005			0.038												
Diabetes		0.004	0.005			0.027	0.043	0.001									
Dyslipidemia																	
Smoking																	
Exercise	0.001	0.039			0.024												
Chronic illness	<0.001				0.014			0.006									
Alcohol consumption											0.032		0.008				
Psychiatric history						0.017											
Antidepressant medication	<0.05	0.011		0.010													
HADS-A							0.035		<0.001	<0.001			<0.001	0.011	0.002		
HADS-D				0.009					<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		<0.001	0.001	<0.001	
DS 14 - negative affectivity						0.005		0.000	<0.001	<0.001		0.001					<0.001
DS 14 - Social inhibition	<0.001						0.007							0.003	0.040	0.	

BMI: body mass index; DS14: Type D Scale-14; F: female; HADS-A: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety; HADS-D: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression; M: male; SF-36: Medical Outcomes Study Short Form. Stepwise procedure; female gender coded as 1.

chronic illness and less antidepressant use, alongside a lower score for social inhibition (DS14). In women, living alone, higher education and more exercise predicted better 'physical functioning', while higher prevalences of hypertension, diabetes and antidepressant use were related to worse 'physical functioning'. Role limitations due to physical problems ('role-physical' subscale, on which higher scores indicate less limitation due to physical problems) were mainly associated with the prevalence of diabetes in men. In women, higher body mass, higher depression scores (HADS-D) and more antidepressant use predicted more role limitations due to physical problems. Not surprisingly, a higher prevalence of hypertension and other chronic illness was predictive of more pain complaints in men ('bodily pain' subscale, on which higher scores indicate less pain complaints), whereas more frequent exercise was predictive of less pain complaints. In women, more pain complaints were predicted by higher body mass, higher prevalences of diabetes and psychiatric referrals, and higher DS14 scores for negative affectivity. In men, better 'general health' was predicted by younger age, a lower prevalence of diabetes, and lower anxiety (HADS-A) and social inhibition (DS14) scores, while better 'mental health' was solely predicted by lower scores on the anxiety (HADS-A), depression (HADS-D) and negative affectivity (DS14) scales. In women, however, better 'general health' was predicted by lower body mass, less prevalence of diabetes and other chronic illness, and lower negative affectivity scores (DS14). Similarly to men, women reporting better 'mental health' were those with lower anxiety (HADS-A), depression (HADS-D) and negative affectivity (DS14) scores. Lower depression scores (HADS-D) predicted less role limitations due to emotional problems (a higher score on the 'role-emotional' dimension), while better 'social functioning' was associated with lower HADS-A scores in anxiety in men. In women, less role limitations due to emotional problems (a higher score on the 'role-emotional' dimension) were predicted by less alcohol consumption, and lower depression (HADS-D) and negative affectivity (DS14) scores. Concomitantly, better 'social functioning' was also predicted by less alcohol consumption and lower anxiety (HADS-A), depression (HADS-D) and social inhibition (DS14) scores. Finally, men with lower educational level and lower scores on the anxiety (HADS-A), depression (HADS-D) and social inhibition (DS14) scales had a greater sense of 'vitality'. In women, greater vitality was significantly predicted by lower depression (HADS-D) and negative affectivity (DS14) scores.

Discussion

This study sought to examine how gender patterns of cardiovascular risk factors associate with self-reported quality of life in a sample of primary health care users without a CVD diagnosis. Our main findings pinpointed gender differences in risk factors mostly related to participants' life style and mental/affective status. Specifically, women revealed significantly lower rates of alcohol consumption and exercise but presented more depressive symptoms and negative affectivity. In agreement with these findings, a history of antidepressant use and psychiatric referrals was also more common among women. Further, our multivariate analysis

expanded these findings to confirm most of these risk factors as independent correlates for females.

Interestingly, traditional biomedical CVD risk factors, such as smoking, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia, did not differ between genders. This finding partially supports the hypothesis of a different pathophysiological impact of CVD risk for female gender, possibly through the deleterious action of psychosocial risk factors like those mentioned above. In line with this suggestion, the quality of life assessment for female participants indicated overall poorer health and well-being, despite the absence of any objective gender difference in biomedical risk factors, which is in agreement with other studies that also reported lower quality of life scores for women.²⁸

It is still not fully understood how educational level can influence the risk for CVD. Several studies have examined this and suggest that education partially affects this risk through increased prevalence of CVD risk factors at lower educational levels.²⁹ In our study, despite the high educational level of the participants, we found a relatively high prevalence of risk factors which are mostly lifestyle dependent. We cannot exclude the possibility that our high educational level sampling masked any latent differences in traditional CVD risk factors. However, 36.1% of the women in our study did not exercise at all, which is higher than expected as studies have shown that lower education or occupation levels are usually associated with a higher prevalence of sedentariness among women.³⁰ Also, no gender differences were found in smoking patterns, with the majority of participants being non-smokers. This follows the trend of western populations, where the prevalence of smoking is declining for both genders and increasing in lower social classes.³¹

Women had a prevalence of depressive symptoms that was more than twice that reported by men. In addition, psychiatric referrals and antidepressant use were also more prevalent among women. These data are in line with previous evidence showing that women are about twice as likely as men to experience depression.³² In addition, 22.3% of men and 25.9% of women were classified as anxious according to the HADS-A. This finding is apparently at odds with studies indicating a protective effect of educational level against anxiety and depression,³³ but it might derive from an overall young sample. Finally, the prevalence of type D personality was similar between genders, replicating previous research.^{34,35} Nevertheless, women did score significantly higher than men on the negative affectivity subscale of the DS14, which has been considered elsewhere as a key predictor of worse subjective health outcomes.³⁶

This study examined how gender patterns in CVD risk influence self-reported health and quality of life perception. Women reported significantly worse levels of well-being and health across most subscales of the SF-36 questionnaire, with the exception of the general health subscale (for which scores did not reach statistical significance, although they were still lower). Based on the findings of others,^{15,17,18} this could indicate that, in this study, women faced a higher risk for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Firstly, recent evidence indicates that poor self-rated health is strongly associated with cardiovascular mortality, irrespective of prior CVD,¹⁶ and with the incidence of coronary heart disease.^{17,18} Secondly, the physical health component of the

SF-36 has been specifically identified as a strong predictor of CVD incidence and death in post-menopausal women.²⁰ Thirdly, although the mechanisms underlying this association remain speculative, the fact is that the SF-36 physical health component exhibits a strong association with mortality, while this effect is independent of other risk factors like obesity, physical inactivity or diet.^{19,20}

Among the gender distinctiveness apparent in the multivariate linear regression profiles of the quality of life scores, role limitations due to physical problems ('role-physical') and more pain complaints ('bodily pain') were predicted by psychosocial risk factors such as depression and negative affectivity in women, whereas in men these two dimensions appear to depend more on biomedical factors such as diabetes, hypertension, exercise and chronic illness. A recent study with healthy participants found that type D personality was significantly associated with lower quality of life and more physical symptoms, with the negative affectivity component standing out as the key predictor of subjective health outcomes.³⁶ In our study, high negative affectivity was a strong independent predictor of worse general health for women, whereas high social inhibition and high anxiety had a comparable role for men. These results are worth highlighting given the predictive value of the SF-36 physical health component for CVD mortality and morbidity. Indeed, this distinct gender pattern may be the key to the differential impact of psychosocial risk factors on CVD risk, and should therefore be examined.

This study involves a population with highly specific characteristics, which is relevant for the following reasons. Firstly, our participants were relatively young and had a high educational level. While this requires caution regarding the generalization of findings to other populations, the fact is that studies aiming to enhance CVD prevention tend to be conducted with increasingly young samples.^{37,38} Secondly, our investigation followed an observational cross-sectional design, which precludes any generalization concerning longitudinal trends of the associations found. Thirdly, most of the data collection relied on self-reporting, hence reflecting the individual's assessment of currently experienced symptoms. Although memory bias could have distorted the data, such an effect is likely to be reflected similarly within the whole sample. Finally, multivariate analyses of quality of life scores were conducted separately by gender. On the one hand, sample size was limited in order to run meaningful multivariate linear regression comparisons between genders. On the other hand, the available evidence regarding self-rated health by gender is still inconsistent, with studies indicating no differences,³⁹ a tendency for men to assess their health more positively than women,²⁸ or even the opposite.⁴⁰

Conclusions and implications for practice

This study shows that community participants without documented CVD present gender-specific patterns of CVD risk factors and that these impact quality of life differentially. In our view, these gender specifics need to be considered in health prevention strategies, given the predictive value of quality of life for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Conflicts of interest and funding

The lead author received funding from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia in the form of a PhD grant (SFRH/BD/70327/2010). The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, et al. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012): the Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice. *Atherosclerosis*. 2012;223(1):1–68.
2. Von Känel R. Psychosocial stress and cardiovascular risk: current opinion. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2012;142:w13502.
3. Maas AHEM, van der Schouw YT, Regitz-Zagrosek V, et al. Red alert for women's heart: the urgent need for more research and knowledge on cardiovascular disease in women: proceedings of the workshop held in Brussels on gender differences in cardiovascular disease, 29 September 2010. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32(11):1362–8.
4. Gulati M, Shaw LJ, Bairey Merz CN. Myocardial ischemia in women: lessons from the NHLBI WISE study. *Clin Cardiol*. 2012;35(3):141–8.
5. Vaccarino V, Badimon L, Corti R, et al. Ischaemic heart disease in women: are there sex differences in pathophysiology and risk factors? Position paper from the working group on coronary pathophysiology and microcirculation of the European Society of Cardiology. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2011;90(1):9–17.
6. Edwards ML. The enigma of heart disease in women: new insights may precipitate diagnosis and improve patient outcomes. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract*. 2012;24(10):574–8.
7. Rosengren A, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11119 cases and 13648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet*. 2004;364(9438):953–62.
8. Gustad LT, Laugsand LE, Janszky I, et al. Symptoms of anxiety and depression and risk of acute myocardial infarction: the HUNT-2 Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1394–403.
9. Seldenrijk A, Vogelzangs N, Batelaan NM, et al. Depression, anxiety and 6-year risk of cardiovascular disease. *J Psychosom Res*. 2015;78(2):123–9.
10. Janszky I, Ahnve S, Lundberg I, et al. Early-onset depression, anxiety, and risk of subsequent coronary heart disease: 37-year follow-up of 49,321 young Swedish men. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56(1):31–7.

11. Roest AM, Martens EJ, de Jonge P, et al. Anxiety and risk of incident coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56(1):38–46.
12. Kupper N, Denollet J. Type D personality as a prognostic factor in heart disease: assessment and mediating mechanisms. *J Pers Assess*. 2007;89(3):265–76.
13. Hausteiner C, Klupsch D, Emeny R, et al. Clustering of negative affectivity and social inhibition in the community: prevalence of type D personality as a cardiovascular risk marker. *Psychosom Med*. 2010;72(2):163–71.
14. Mols F, Denollet J. Type D personality in the general population: a systematic review of health status, mechanisms of disease, and work-related problems. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2010;8:9 <http://www.hqlo.com/content/>
15. Latham K, Peek CW. Self-rated health and morbidity onset among late midlife U.S. adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2013;68:107–16.
16. Mavaddat N, Parker RA, Sanderson S, et al. Relationship of self-rated health with fatal and non-fatal outcomes in cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(7):e103509, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103509>.
17. van der Linde RM, Mavaddat N, Luben R, et al. Self-rated health and cardiovascular disease incidence: results from a longitudinal population-based cohort in Norfolk, UK. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e65290, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065290>, pii:PONE-D-12-40521.
18. Ul-Haq Z, Mackay D, Pell J. Association between self-reported general and mental health and adverse outcomes: a retrospective cohort study of 19 625 Scottish adults. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9:1–10.
19. Kroenke CH, Kubzansky LD, Adler N, et al. Prospective change in health-related quality of life and subsequent mortality among middle-aged and older women. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(11):2085–91.
20. Saquib N, Brunner R, Kubo J, et al. Self-perceived physical health predicts cardiovascular disease incidence and death among postmenopausal women. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13(1):468.
21. Bjelland I, Dahl A, Haug T, et al. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *J Psychosom Res*. 2002;52(2):69–77.
22. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 1983;67(6):361–70.
23. Pais-Ribeiro J, Silva I, Ferreira T, et al. Validation study of a Portuguese version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Psychol Health Med*. 2007;12(2):225–35.
24. Prata J, Ramos S, Coelho R. Type D personality and depression in a sample of Portuguese patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Bridging East West Psychiatry*. 2008;VI(1):34–42.
25. Denollet J. DS14: standard assessment of negative affectivity, social inhibition, and Type D personality. *Psychosom Med*. 2005;67(1):89–97.
26. Sneed NV, Paul S, Michel Y, et al. Evaluation of 3 quality of life measurement tools in patients with chronic heart failure. *Heart Lung*. 2001;30(5):332–40.
27. Ferreira P. Criação da versão portuguesa do MOS SF-36, Parte II – Testes de validação. *Acta Méd Port*. 2000;13:119–27.
28. Martinelli LMB, Mizutani BM, Mutti A, et al. Quality of life and its association with cardiovascular risk factors in a community health care program population. *Clinics*. 2008;63(6):783–8.
29. Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos CE, Chrysohou CA, et al. The association between educational status and risk factors related to cardiovascular disease in healthy individuals: the ATTICA study. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2004;14(3):188–94.
30. Stringhini S, Sabia S, Shipley M, et al. Association of socioeconomic position with health behaviors and mortality. *JAMA*. 2010;303(12):1159–66.
31. Roskam AR, Schaap MM. Socioeconomic inequalities in health in 22 European countries. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(23):2468–81.
32. Möller-Leimkühler AM. Higher comorbidity of depression and cardiovascular disease in women: a biopsychosocial perspective. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;11(8):922–33.
33. Bjelland I, Krokstad S, Mykletun A, et al. Does a higher educational level protect against anxiety and depression? The HUNT study. *Soc Sci Med*. 2008;66(6):1334–45.
34. Grande G, Romppel M, Glaesmer H, et al. The type-D scale (DS-14) – norms and prevalence of type-D personality in a population-based representative sample in Germany. *Pers Individ Differ*. 2010;48:935–9.
35. Barnett MD, Ledoux T, Garcini LM, et al. Type D personality and chronic pain: construct validity of the DS-14. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 2009;16:194–9.
36. Stevenson C, Williams L. Type D personality, quality of life and physical symptoms in the general population: a dimensional analysis. *Psychol Health*. 2014;29(3):365–73.
37. Thompson M, Dana T, Bougatsos C, et al. Screening for hypertension in children and adolescents to prevent cardiovascular disease. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(3):490–525.
38. Tizón-Marcos H, de la Paz Ricapito M, Pibarot P, et al. Characteristics of trabeculated myocardium burden in young and apparently healthy adults. *Am J Cardiol*. 2014;114(7):1094–9.
39. Juul T, Petersen MA, Holzner B, et al. Danish population-based reference data for the EORTC QLQ-C30: associations with gender, age and morbidity. *Qual Life Res*. 2014;23:2183–93.
40. Zaninotto P, Sacker A, Breeze E, et al. Gender-specific changes in well-being in older people with coronary heart disease: evidence from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Aging Ment Health*. 2016;20(4):432–40.